TRANSCRIPTION RE:

CSUMB Founding Faculty Oral History Project 1995-98 Rina Benmayor, Project Director

Interview with Betty McEady, Professor Emerita Center for Liberal Studies and Education Teacher Education College of Professional Studies

Interviewer, Rina Benmayor, Professor Emerita School of Humanities and Communication, College of Arts, Humanities, and Social Sciences

Transcribed by:

Carol Roberts carris.roberts@gmail.com

Narrator: Betty McEady Interviewer: Rina Benmayor

Benmayor: Today is October 22, 2019. I am Rina Benmayor and I am interviewing Betty McEady

2 for the CSUMB Founding Faculty Oral History Project. Betty, do we have your permission to record this

interview in audio and video?

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

McEady: Yes. Thank you.

Benmayor: Okay, great. Okay, can we start by you stating your name and when you came to

CSUMB and when you left and what your position was?

7 **McEady:** Okay. My name as Betty McEady and I was there in 1995 and retired in 2007. But there

is no way that many of us at CSU Monterey Bay in 1995 through at least 2005 could state what their

position was – so it's *positions*.

Benmayor: [Chuckles] Okay. What were you hired to do?

McEady: [Chuckles] Oh, okay. I was hired to help develop the Teacher Education Program and to

primarily develop the Liberal Studies Program for state certification. Secondly, once I got there for the

interview I was also hired to help with developing the First Year Experience course called Pro Seminar.

Benmayor: Yup. I remember that. So Betty, let me take you back to the very first time you heard

about this University starting up. Where were you? How did you find out about it? And what attracted you

to apply for a position here?

McEady: When I heard about Monterey Bay I was actually at Sac State or CSU Sacramento. The

older I am the more I say Sac State and San Francisco State. I actually was at San Francisco State first and

then transferred to Sac State. I was a part of a group of faculty with Teacher Ed. But also there were a

group of multicultural faculty who wanted to start their own department. Some of the faculty in the

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

traditional Teacher Ed program were saying, "Oh, no, no, no. Don't do that. Don't do that, don't break away, that's a terrible thing to do." Of course, I didn't see that at all and I certainly wanted to have relationships with the Multicultural Department if we could make it a department. At the time it was a program. So there I was at Sac State in this dilemma, wanting to be both a part of the traditional Education Department because that's where tenure is granted, in departments. Tenure is not granted in programs. Many of the traditional faculty were secretly encouraging me to stay away from that faculty. And so far, I decided that I really wanted to join them. Fortunately a little angel somewhere came and asked if I would [3:40] serve on a hiring committee for a new dean that was coming to Sac State. That I took advantage of. Along comes the angel, Diane Cordero de Noriega. In her application for dean, of course, she expressed her awareness of the feud, and I called it the feud. It was not major fighting but the possibility of this Multicultural Program wanting to break away from the traditional program. She said that she actually had a solution. Ultimately she was hired as the Dean and gathered faculty and administrators who would be willing to talk about some policies that would meet state requirements as well as University requirements at Sac State and also some rules that both the multicultural faculty and traditional faculty could buy into. So that's what happened. We decided then that we would meet the state requirements for converting the program into a department. There would be a Teacher Education Department. A traditional or mainstream Teacher Ed., and a Multicultural Education Department. The second part I was concerned about was could I join both? It was open for faculty to do that if they chose. They could either be in the mainstream Teacher Ed Program or the Multicultural Education Program.

And it was working. There were still some hidden animosity but it was not as strong as it was before the Multicultural Education Program was actually converted to departmental status. Those of us who didn't have tenure then were quite pleased because then we could apply for tenure either in the Multicultural Education Department or the traditional department. So of course we were all pleased with that. Then one of the Multicultural Education faculty said, "Have you heard about the new university that's

opening up in Monterey, at Fort Ord?" At the time I had not. He said he was going to apply but since I knew a lot about outcomes based education and wanted to be in a multicultural educational context that I should apply. And so I did.

So at the time I also knew a former full professor from San Francisco State. Actually, she had gone

over to San Marcos when it opened as one of the newest campuses. I guess that would be the 20th campus in the CSU system. I really envied that she had this opportunity to be a part of a startup university. Although she was an administrator, she was faculty and an administrator. Then I heard that she was transferring to CSU Monterey Bay and would probably be the Dean or Director, whatever title it was going to be, of Teacher Ed at Monterey Bay and she did.

[7:52] I asked her about supporting me in my application as I applied. She didn't make any promises but said that she didn't see any reason not to support me. Well, ultimately I got a response on a card, after completing my application, that 700 people had applied for the same position and that the administrative office would be in contact with me in the future. I thought, "My goodness, what future are they referring to! Seven hundred people!" I didn't throw the response card away. I threw it on the shelf. [Chuckles] I thought, "Okay well, let me go on with my life. I'm trying to get tenure here at Sac State. I would like to do

Well, of course I didn't make the first tier Founding Faculty stage. But still, that founding faculty was significant in that even though we were second tier faculty we still had to design curriculum. We had to design programs for accreditation. For state accreditation. For the Western Association accreditation. For departmental accreditation across the University. So we were still founding faculty because the curricula wasn't there. I remember the curricula that we *did* develop, and the President decided to stop the program in some departments for a short time to give faculty more time to revise and extend their curriculum. That was not the case in Teacher Ed. I think we sent the students away -- we had only about 700, maybe 800

that before leaving if I get a chance to leave." But it was so important to me to return to that feeling of

wanting to be a part of a startup university, a quote, founding faculty.

students --, on a camping trip for a very short time. Maybe a weekend, or half of a week, so that we could just come together and make final decisions about how we were going to restructure the whole University curricular philosophy and program to meet the Vision Statement and so forth. So there was no way we were not founding faculty.

Benmayor: Right. You didn't apply to be in the first tier, right? You only applied at the second stage.

[10:49] McEady: Well, the second stage was 1995.

Benmayor: Right.

McEady: The first tier, as I understand it, involved very few faculty but those faculty worked with community people, obviously with CSU administrators as well. But they were not designing curriculum. They were philosophizing about how a good university could be different. How they wanted it to be different. How then they could recruit faculty or develop hiring policies that would allow them to recruit faculty who would understand their original intent for restructuring, redeveloping and modifying curriculum in a way that was not in alignment with the extreme traditional approach to Teacher Education or to departmental preparation. So that was how the whole concept of the four-unit courses and so forth [came about]. I think we even talked about not having grades but eventually students decided, "Yes, we do want grades. There's no way we're gonna leave here with narratives and get into master's programs elsewhere and so forth."

So that's sort of skipping around. But the motivation for me was that here's an opportunity. I had been training in outcomes based education in the Educational Research Lab in Oregon. I had done a lot of teacher in-service training and outcomes based educational design and had completed my doctorate at the University of San Francisco in Outcomes Based Education. This was just an opportunity for me to see if it would really work or how it would work, because we were determined to make it work, but how would it work in a real university context across the University curriculum, not just in one department or one

program. Secondly, all the work that I had done in Multicultural Education. So I'll take you back to Sac State when there was the feuding going on and I wanted to be in both departments. It was like Oh! Now there's an opportunity for having Multicultural Education in an outcomes based design. You don't have to fight for it. It's the whole University that's going to take on that policy.

And so – and then I laugh because I remember eventually Monterey Bay was looking for a Vice President of Academic Affairs. And who applied for it? Diane Cordero de Noriega! And I thought, "Oh, here we come back again!" I was so pleased not only with her decision at Sac State to bring faculty and administrators together with the goal of approving the Multicultural Program as a Department but she joined that department also. So even though she was Dean of the School of Education she had to be faculty in a Department and so she chose the Multicultural Education Department. Well, a couple of years or maybe three years later she comes to Monterey Bay. And of course she comes with that experience. We [sighs] ended up again working together for the accreditation of the University.

Benmayor: You are probably one of the few people that were hired in those years who knew anything about outcomes based education.

McEady: [Laughs] Oh, really.

[15:02] Benmayor: The rest of us were in the dark.

McEady: Well, there I was on the far other end. I was like "Oh, this is an opportunity to see how this really works!" I mean you could do teacher training and prepare teachers in schools across the United States. But to see it now at the University level, that was where my heart was. I really wanted to see that.

Benmayor: So do you remember your first impression when you first came on the campus for your interview? What did you see?

McEady: When I came on the campus I saw a bundle of hay that went across the road. And I thought, "Oh, this is 'The Good, the Bad and the Ugly." [Laughs] That was the invitation from nature that I got when entered the campus. I had never been on Fort Ord as a military base and it was very much that.

It was still, to me, very much Fort Ord, a strong military face and flavor. I was happy to see the portables where the faculty would be located. I said, "Boy, this reminds me of that film, the "The Good, the Bad and the Ugly." Anyway, I came here. I have a goal. I came here to see how outcomes based education [would work], multicultural education, and to be one of the founding faculty of this University. So that's what I'm gonna concentrate on. Because if in any way I concentrate on the physical surroundings, I'm gonna pack my stuff and go back. Either move back to San Francisco State or back to Sacramento State. I didn't eliminate it from my consciousness because at night, rather, that's what we had to go home to, that military like atmosphere. The apartments that we were in were still military in many ways. But we spent so many hours – we spent long hours during the day, each day, working on curriculum and doing a lot of committee work. Even though we were working in cubicles. Porta – what do you call those? The portable buildings. But we were in cubicles. As many departments as possible that they could get into each . . .

Benmayor: Building.

about things. I decided, "Well, the physical stuff I'm just going to eliminate." The fact that we were working in portable buildings in cubicles didn't matter anymore. Again, were we getting the work done? How close were we getting to the state approval? What do we have to do to keep the Chancellor supportive of us? I think he wanted to be when he heard the curricular ideas we had. But what do we have to do to keep at least the level of support from him? Or keep the President supporting us. And then of course articulating that to the Chancellor.

[19:02] I think we fell in love with the opportunities to do the work, with the goals around the Vision to the point that [chuckles] even though we were buying our lunches from—some people call them roach mobiles—the portable food trucks, that's the way we were eating and we got accustomed to that because that led us to opportunities to talk and to laugh and let off a lot of frustration from the intense work writing that we were doing, and the thinking and arguing that we were doing. So it was just a great opportunity to sit

McEady: Building, portable building. It's interesting how the mind listens to your actual decisions

outside and talk. Well, the President comes along and says, "Well we have finished the first building and it's Building One [administration] and the cafeteria." He said, "So we're going to be transitioning. The trucks will no longer be invited." Well, there was a protest. [Laughs] And I'm thinking, "Do you realize that here we are protesting all of this bad food that we have been getting? [Laughs] He's only inviting us to move to the cafeteria to eat." I think eventually people thought, "Oh, yeah. That is kind of foolish, isn't it, that we don't want to give up this truck-based food and move into a cafeteria." It was that, but it was more that the cubicles that brought us together. The food trucks brought us together in the human ways that were important to this new venture as well.

Benmayor: So when you came onto the campus and you saw the hay, you were going to your interview, I presume?

McEady: My interview.

Benmayor: Yeah. So do you remember that interview? What it was like? Who was there?

McEady: Do I remember. I remember what it was like in that I did feel a combination of two things. I was interviewing for Teacher Ed but I was also interviewing for something beyond Teacher Ed. I don't want to say Liberal Arts. It was not just limited to Teacher Ed. It was program development which in a way was a short phrase for the broader curricular development. Participating in helping not only Teacher Ed and Liberal Studies but the Humanities and so forth. And I didn't know all the names of the departments [22:15] at that particular time. I remember feeling very supported for some reason. Because I did a presentation on Outcomes Based Educational design and how it was that I had developed it for teacher inservice training and for another program that I was working with in the schools. I had worked with [a program] in San Francisco and was working with a school in Sacramento. So eyes lit up! What you're saying to me is that maybe many of them [faculty] didn't know what it [outcomes based education] was but they wanted it. And when they heard me talking about it maybe that was why the eyes just lit up. There was a bright light in there. I was thinking it was because of me but [Laughs] it was outcomes based

education. Also, I'd spent my five years at Sac State arguing for and was successful in getting a program converted to a Multicultural Department, to give it departmental status. Faculty could apply not only to any traditional program in Teacher Education but they could apply to Multicultural [Education]. They didn't have to but that was a choice that faculty had. I think that part of the presentation was also effective. I do remember a person named Josina turning to someone and maybe it was Christie, maybe it was Christine Sleeter, she turned and said something like, "Oh, she would be great for developing our Pro Seminar." Well, that language was new to me. Pro Seminar. I didn't know Josina. I knew Christie from her texts in multicultural education but had not particularly interacted with her in any other context. I thought, "What are they talking about?" But it was okay. It was okay. So that's what I remember. I remember a strong sense of support but in addition to that and perhaps even more powerful for me was how the faces lit up with certain things that I was saying about my expertise and interests.

Benmayor: So I remember one specific thing. I wonder if you remember this as well. That when we got to the campus all of a sudden we started hearing these rumblings about alternatives to tenure. And [chuckles] ... and how at some point we all decided to put ourselves forward for tenure. Do you remember that?

McEady: Yes, I remember that very well. I remember the doubts I had about alternatives to tenure because we were still in the traditional system. We were in a larger system that believed in tenure, that either you were tenured or you were not. So I could not see a way around alternatives to tenure. I remember having very fruitful and sometimes a little uncomfortable discussions about it. But I eventually just took the position that I [didn't] want alternatives to tenure, I wanted tenure. I had tenure already. I knew I was going to argue for transferring my tenure that I had gained at Sac State. Before I went to Sac State I had also been promoted to Associate level at San Francisco State but I still had about five more years before I would be eligible for tenure. So when I went to Sac State and applied, the one thing I said I wanted, I would come [to Sac State] if they would transfer my years toward tenure as well as my level, my

professorial level at Associate. So when I went to Monterey I thought, "You know, I'd better do the same [26:48] thing because no matter how non traditional we want to be, there's still the father mother image there that's extremely traditional. Highly traditional. And they're not gonna change. Even though they might be open to us having a non traditional curriculum and approach to our educational processes, I just didn't think the tenure. . . .

Benmayor: So how did you eventually get the tenure and CSU Monterey Bay?

McEady: I wrote a letter to the Academic Vice President and President asking for my tenure which I had acquired at San Francisco State and Sac State over those two years, I'm sorry – over the six years at those two places. That had been done before in the system, oftentimes it's done in the CSU system. Oh, and if I were not allowed to transfer my tenure which I had earned, then I would have to go back to Sac State. I was not gonna take the chance of being at Monterey – at any university in the CSU system -- without tenure.

Benmayor: You didn't go through the tenure process where we had to present all our materials to the tenure committee?

McEady: I had tenure already.

Benmayor: Oh. Oh, okay. Because I remember us sitting around, a group of us saying, "No, no, we're going to go up for tenure and ... and present our materials to the CSUMB Tenure Committee which was all of the first tier faculty, the founding faculty. And I thought you and I and there were about eight of us I think that we were encouraged to all go up together as a group. That's my memory. I'm not sure.

McEady: You're right. And I did that. I presented but I presented for tenure based on what I had achieved over the previous six years.

Benmayor: Oh, I see. Yes. We all did that. Yeah. [Chuckles] Yes, yes, yes, yes. Anyway. So going back, let me change the subject. You mentioned the Vision. How did you, in fact, see yourself implementing that Vision in your work on the campus?

[29:46] McEady: There was nothing I did in terms of academic program writing and in teaching itself that was not in the context of the Vision. I was looking for ways to make sure that I met the tenets of the Vision. I was also involved in program writing of documents in Teacher Education for state approval. So I could not write the traditional teacher education program development. I could not write to *that* process. I had to write to the outcomes-based multicultural team teaching and some of the other [tenets in the Vision]. I was going to grab the Vision before [the interview], --n fact it is over here on the floor. So it didn't matter whether it was in Teacher Education or any program that I was helping others to write outcomes around, I had to implement that in the actual written documentation for accreditation for Teacher Ed.

Then I became involved in the university accreditation process. By 1998 or '96 I became involved in that because we had the initial accreditation requirements to meet. So from 1996 through 2003 or 4 I was constantly involved with the committees, with the Teaching and Learning Committee on accreditation, the Cost of the Model Committee on accreditation, and there's another one. It will come to me as I talk more about this. So there were three committees that I was serving on for the University. WASC [Western Association of Schools and Colleges] accreditation. So there was nothing in my life at the time that was not organized around or driven by the tenets and philosophical concepts around the CSUMB Vision.

You might remember I was also the Faculty Senate leader. I tried to recall the argument that was going on between faculty and administration at the time. It was also for University accreditation. There was a way that faculty had written the policies about the relationship between faculty and administration. The one from administration that faculty kept rejecting. And sometimes Peter Smith, the President, would show up at Academic Senate Meetings when we did not want him to be there.

Benmayor: [Chuckles]

McEady: There was a time, however, for him to be there and talk with the Faculty Senate but there were [other] times he would show up. So I would have to talk with him about that. When you are in opposition with a group then that group needs the time to get together and talk and the Senate is the place

where we can do that and hear the voices of as many faculty as we possibly can, and it needs to be open and frank discussion, it doesn't mean that we're all agreeing, but we certainly need to be able to voice our positions about why it is. I think maybe alternatives to tenure was a part of that, I can't remember. But there was a key issue that we could not seem to agree upon. Eventually, before my tenure was over as the [34:10] Academic Senate Leader, that document was approved by the faculty and administration. But I wish I could recall the particular elements of it that sort of kept us apart for quite some time. Anyway, we came together with that. So I could not afford *not* to organize whatever I was doing around the Vision, as many elements of the Vision Statement as possible.

Benmayor: Also, you talked about being Chair of the Senate and of all these committees. What was your work week like?

McEady: [Chuckles] You know, I remember, for example, a long time ago I wrote, "I am really killing myself." I wrote something about the things that I was doing within one year. Okay, I was running the development of the team faculty group for the University Pro Seminar and helping to write the curriculum for Pro Seminar. I was also serving on, as I said, the three committees for University accreditation. It's called Institutional Effectiveness. I think that's the other phrase I was looking for. This is from '96 until 2004, I was Department Chair for Liberal Studies, Chair of the Senate, as we've said, and serving on the writing accreditation group. I know in 1998 or maybe it was in 2000, one day I couldn't talk. I remember saying, "Oh, my goodness, what is wrong with my throat?" Ken Nishita said something about you have a virus. You have a virus. And I said, "Well, you're not a doctor. I guess I'd better go to the doctor." Anyway, I was so stressed that when I went to the doctor she discovered that I had an infected thyroid, and she thought it was cancerous. So I went through the test. I went through a lot of tests. I did biopsies and other tests to determine whether it was cancerous. Then she put me on steroids. Well, it was painful. I couldn't talk. I couldn't eat. Some other faculty were saying, "Maybe you talked too much in those meetings. That's your issue." I said, "No, that's not my problem. I have to talk on those committees.

You don't join them and sit there and be quiet. You know?" So I was on steroids for about three weeks and
eventually the pain subsided and the results came back that it was, just an infected thyroid. I didn't have
cancer. So I picked up again and went on with the work I was doing. I did not have much of a personal
[37:56] life. Didn't particularly care. At Christmas, you and Frances Payne Adler came to me and said, "Do
you want to go see a movie?" And we went to dinner. That was probably the first date I'd had in a long
time.

Benmayor: [Laughs] Well, the story behind that is that if it was Christmas the two of us were Jewish and so we didn't celebrate Christmas.

McEady: Of course.

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

Benmayor: So the only thing we could do was go to a movie. [Laughs]

McEady: Well, we went to a movie and we also went to dinner. That's when I said, "Wow, that's the first date I've had in the couple of years since I've been here!" [Laughs] It [the work] took away from my consciousness a sense of need for a personal life. That was erroneous thinking. I'm not praising that at all. That wasn't healthy. But I was just more focused on the day of the ultimate accreditation for the University. Some of my anxieties and concerns subsided when we achieved that. But then I was still constantly working on the education programs that had to be approved by the state.

Benmayor: I think we were all kind of drunk in a way.

McEady: Oh, sure, intoxicated by that Vision. [Laughs] In fact, no matter what we argued about I think we were even trying to apply that to the food trucks that were coming by, why we didn't need to go up to the main campus to the cafeteria, we needed to stay in the portables!

Benmayor: So in the middle of this intense commitment to developing the University and to a very taxing work week, were these political issues that came up as the years went on, in terms of issues of . . .

McEady: Multicultural education?

Benmayor: Well, multicultural administration, I would say. [Laughs]

McEady: I'm sorry?

Benmayor: Multicultural administration.

McEady: Right. At the administration level, yes.

Benmayor: And so how did you field all that? How did you deal with all of that?

McEady: Well, I knew that I had to take a position that still was going to be, that still would hold to my support of the Vision and multicultural education. But I also knew that that didn't mean that I would always agree. So I had a cadre of faculty by that time, that I really cared a lot for. That's not to say there was a lot of faculty I didn't like. That's not the case. I'm just saying there was just a cadre of people you knew were going to be there to support you personally as well as academically or in the academic context. Sometimes we didn't agree. So there was the fear that am I going to end up having acquired these very precious people in my life and lose their friendship if I have to take the position that it's administration or faculty? It was important for me to still find ways to meld critical aspects of the two. Just as Diane Cordero de Noriega had done when she came to Sac State. How do you meld the two so that it's a win-win situation? Although not every group will win everything that it wants.

[42:45] So those were very taxing and confusing times for me but I wanted to make special efforts to show those who were my friends that, "Look, I'm still your friend. I might disagree with some of the things that you're calling for. Or I agree. I understand why you are taking the position and I support those. But I may not fully...." I remember — oh, I thought I would never forget his name; he was the ...[sigh] I don't

Benmayor: Octavio?

McEady: Not Octavio. He killed himself. He hanged himself.

Benmayor: Oooh, yes, I don't remember his name but I know who you mean. Yeah.

McEady: Well, he came to me one day and he said, "I need to talk to you." Oh, boy and I can see his name is going come to me probably after this interview, but anyway, I said, "Sure." His question to me

know. It might be age because I do remember so clearly the Latino guy who was the administrator for . . .

was, "Do you ever get accused of not being Black enough?" [Chuckles]. I said, "Oh, I'm sure I do. I don't know whether anyone has ever said it to me directly but I'm sure I've been accused accordingly." And he said, "So what do you do when you are afraid then, that you are going to lose your Black friends?" I said, "Well, okay, first of all, I don't separate my friends that way. Because my effort is not just to have Black friends because I know I'm Black enough for me." And I said, "But then you look at the policies, the goals that you are trying to achieve. Look at the Vision, that's what you're here for." And he said, "Yeah, but it's hard when you're being told you're not Black enough, you're not Hispanic enough, you're not ... what was the other term that .. he didn't say Latino. . .

Benmayor: Chicano?

McEady: Chicano, yeah. Oh, gosh, his name almost came to me. I said, "You have to realize what it is that you have to let go of. But you still try to keep the friendship. And there are some ideas that perhaps you need to hold onto if you want to keep your position as an administrator for Affirmative Action." That's what it was. And then next day he hanged himself.

Benmayor: Was his name Ron?

McEady: Ron. Yes. Cisneros. Was it Cisneros? No. Hmm.

Benmayor: I think so. I think so.

McEady: Yeah.

[46:32]Benmayor: Wow! That's really some . . . he was completely torn by his allegiances.

McEady: Right.

Benmayor: Yeah.

McEady: Right.

Benmayor: Yeah. And it was such a confusing time. I remember also and I am sure you do too, it also affected students in the sense that the students on occasion rose up and said, "No, you can't do this."

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

McEady: Yeah, and I was always glad when they did. [Chuckles] Because sometimes that helps with decision making. That was one of the elements of the Vision, of course, that students have a significant voice in the policy and operation of the University as well. So there was no way we were not going to listen to the students. In fact, I think when the feud was going on, Peter Smith, the President, had invited this Vietnamese, a very wealthy Asian guy to speak. And we were in assembly. I know this was during the time that the University-wide Pro Seminar was still in operation. I was Senate Chair and I got up to introduce the President so he could come on and talk. One of the students, I want to say the Latino group, and this was a guy who was in my Pro Seminar class [who] seldom said anything, he would write his papers and so forth. . . He would seldom say anything in class. He stood up and said, "No, the President's not going to speak, I have something to say." I remember Peter's panic. [Chuckles] Here he's got this wealthy guy because I think this person, this Asian philanthropist was going to give us some money or something like that. I can't remember exactly why he was there. But Peter definitely did not want a protest at the time. So I said, "No, wait. Wait a minute, just calm down." I looked out in the audience and made eye contact with this student because obviously he knew me, he was in my class. I said, "Yes, you may speak." What it did was it calmed down the audience. Even Peter calmed down after he realized, you know, if I go up and insist that this student cannot speak there's going to be a problem. And this student [49:45] spoke. The students stood up to express their support for him and his ideas and so forth. There was a decision then that the administration needed to allow a group of students come in and talk about what their needs were and how they were seeing the progress of the University. Then Peter and this person spoke. Even Sally Smith came up to me and said, "Oh, my God, I am so glad you did that. You don't realize how much you just calmed things down." And it was . . . he's always funny. What was the guy's name in the Social Sciences who was Chair of Social Science for a while?

Benmayor: Ruben? No.

355 **McEady:** Not Ruben.

Benmayor: Dianne Harrison.

378

Benmayor: Manuel Carlos? 356 357 McEady: Not Manuel. **Benmayor:** George Baldwin? 358 McEady: He became an Assistant to the Vice President, Associate Vice President. He's tall and 359 ...he's Latino but he's dark complexion, kind of stout. He had actually become President I think of a 360 university in Mexico and he was called to task for that because he could not be an administrator at CSU 361 362 Monterey Bay and a President of a university. **Benmayor:** I'm not remembering who that is but anyway, continue. 363 364 **McEady:** But he came up to me and said, "You know, I don't know whether I want to praise you or marry you." And I said, "Well, you can't marry me because you're already married." 365 **Benmayor:** Oh, you mean Armando Arias? 366 McEady: Armando Arias! 367 368 **Benmayor:** Okay. [Chuckles] McEady: I said, "You know, I was nervous, too but at the same time here was a student that 369 seldom said anything in class and I wanted to hear what he had to say, too. If he could give a political 370 speech that was gonna work for me, as well as his teacher." So that's another area of political issues that 371 the students were involved and needed to be able to voice their positions. 372 **Benmayor:** I don't think they have the same voice anymore but anyway. [Laughs] It's a much 373 bigger place. 374 McEady: I saw one of the statements here [in the interview questions] about some transition. A 375 transition for me was actually when there was a new President that came on, female, whose name I don't 376 recall at the time but I think she was from 377

McEady: Harrison. That's when the changes, the significant changes began for me because she just had not been drenched in that Vision Statement. You have to have been there for years arguing and fighting and working hard and thinking and processing ideas around the Vision to have it be an intricate part of you. And she wasn't. She couldn't be. I wasn't too sure that she was going to make that a perpetual goal for her, to become entrenched in the Vision. And this is not necessarily a criticism. She still had a choice of following the tradition. The Chancellor [Charles Reed] who was still a traditional leader and wanted Monterey Bay to change to a great extent, to change from the non traditional path that it had taken, and so she had that support.

[53:58] Benmayor: So what was it that made you decide to leave?

McEady: I was exhausted. I didn't leave, I retired. There's a difference in terms of leaving to go someplace else. But no, I was exhausted. Oh, and as I said, I did not want to go through a year or more of fighting a new President. That was why I said I did not think that she was interested in being drenched and being intricate [implicated] in our Vision as we were. As we had been. So my consciousness said that maybe it's just time to go because that's going to be a real good fight, it's gonna be a tough fight. Because you can't expect her to know the CSUMB that you know. Or to want the CSUMB. I mean she was confused at times. She came out of Building 1. I'm sure you remember there's Building 1 and there's Building 2 and 3. Right there in the same circle. That's what they form, a circle, right? She came out of Building 1 and I came out of Building 3 at the time same time and I saw her looking confused. I said, "Well, Dianne, can I help you?" "Where in the hell is Building 3? I can't find —" I said, "Excuse me. [Chuckles] It's right there." "Ah, I'm going to change this. They're going to have to put better signs on these." And that's when I thought, "Okay, it is the time to go."

Benmayor: So what year did you retire?

McEady: '07.

Benmayor: Oh, 2007. You were one of the first. The rest of us kind of followed after that.

403 **McEady:** Was I the first?

404 **Benmayor:** I think so. To retire.

405 **McEady:** Right.

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

[56:30] Benmayor: So when you left and maybe now thinking back, what are you most proud of in what you accomplished at CSUMB?

McEady: Well, in addition to being a part of a cadre of faculty that actually got a startup university accredited with a non traditional instructional approach and a non traditional Vision, that accomplishment is something that I guess I can always walk around and be quite proud of and say that I participated significantly in that. Also the development of the Liberal Studies program. And it seems that accreditation and that sense of approval by the state and the nation are two things that were very important to me, but in the context of the CSUMB Vision. I did a lot of work as a result of that at other universities around outcomes based instructional design, curriculum design and assessment. I was able to write publications from that. I always enjoyed more of the consultancies and the opportunities to talk with other universities who were interested in outcomes-based assessment and so forth. So getting that university started the first 12 years, well, for me it was 12 years, is my red badge of courage! [Laughter] That's the best way I can put it. It almost consumed me, but it's okay. When I was ready to leave, I could leave. Actually I started working someplace else because retirement was not easy. There's no way you could spend 12 years in that kind of intense work and find retirement easy. So I went to work and I promised myself I would never get involved in startups. But that's what I've been doing for the rest of my life. I go to a new spiritual center. It is, "Oh, help us get this started. You know? We're just starting this." If I go to a new university, "Oh, we're beginning to... we're starting this program over here. We're beginning the writing of the curriculum and you've been involved in WASC accreditation and the state accreditation process. Could you join this committee?" Startup stays in my life! [Laughs] And maybe that's something else about my personality that CSUMB brought out, in terms of just being a part of that founding element.

[59:49] Benmayor: And is there anything that you remember that you were most disappointed about that didn't come to pass that you very much wanted?

McEady: Hmm. I really can't think of anything that I wanted. Really, at times I wish that all of us could have written more, published more about our work at Monterey Bay, in a way that people could see how tedious and intensive it was but at the same time how highly interested we were and we maintained a dedication. It was difficult. So that level of dedication I'm not sure has come forth in the academic public as much as I wanted that to happen. I really wanted us to be a highly popular school for its Vision and its curriculum. That's what I wanted. That was the dream. That was just part of the dream that did not actualize in many ways. On small levels it did. As I said, the conferences and the consultancies I had at other universities and so forth. But it was still perhaps on a small scale, a smaller scale.

Benmayor: We were doing so much that we had so little time to do reflection.

McEady: Yes. Right. Because I remember this women's college in, I think it was Michigan, sorry, in Illinois that was popular and I thought well maybe Monterey Bay could also win that level of popularity in an academic way. Not as a place where students come to just play and where faculty come just to get tenure. Actually that the academic public would be able to see how faculty and students work together so well. And so that's another thing that I don't think I've emphasized enough, and that is how much students and faculty saw themselves as partners in this process. I never saw that at other universities. I don't think we have emphasized or publicized that enough, how it is that we were partners in the development of programs and in the operation, and in the improvement, because students would let us know and they felt [1:03:09] open to that. The creativity of students. Last year I experimented with living in an independent living community. Although there were many people there my age they were very different in terms of the energy level and my commitments to outside activities and so forth. But there was an elderly couple perhaps in their 90's who came to me and said, "We heard that you used to be a professor at Monterey Bay." And I said, "Oh, yeah, yeah!" And I could feel again the energy, the blood just coming up really,

really high to my head. They said, "Oh, we have a grandchild there and we're going to go to his graduation." I said, "Oh, that is so wonderful!" I said, "You know, you're going be treated so well." And when I said it I thought, "Oh, I hope they will be treated well." Well, when that couple came back, they said, "Oh, my goodness. That is a great place. It was wonderful for our [grand]son who had dyslexia and now he doesn't even think he has dyslexia anymore. He really enjoys learning. And then when we got there the graduation was over in a field but they made sure that we had rides there, that we were covered, we sat under a cover from the sun. They were just so nice!"And I felt like oh, that is wonderful to hear. This was just in 2018 I'm hearing this again, about how faculty actually worked with the students in a partnership to help with their learning and their progress and it was students' voices of course helped with the development of our programs.

Benmayor: Wow. That's lovely. That's a really lovely story.

McEady: For that to come through in 2018 from strangers -- well, they were originally strangers. I know them well enough now --, I just felt so good. I said, "Yes, that's Monterey Bay. That is Monterey Bay. See, I told you you would be treated well." And they said, "Yes, and we're just so pleased with the way they treated our grandson."

Benmayor: Well, that's a wonderful story, Betty. And I think that's a wonderful way to bring this interview to a close because it's been over an hour now.

McEady: Oh, really?

Benmayor: And you're going to lose your voice again! [Laughs]

McEady: Are you sure it's been an hour?

Benmayor: So I want to thank you so much for participating in the project. You contributed many wonderful stories and you imparted the feelings that we all had when we took part in this adventure. So for that I want to thank you. And I'm going to stop the recording now. Again, thank you, Betty, very much.

McEady: It was a wonderful journey for me.

CSUMB Oral History Project Betty McEady interviewed by Rina Benmayor

475 (END OF RECORDING)

476 * * *