California State University, Monterey Bay

Digital Commons @ CSUMB

California Board of Equalization Reports

State of California Documents

11-1-2016

1925 and 1926, State Board of Equalization Report

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.csumb.edu/hornbeck_usa_3_e

Part of the Arts and Humanities Commons, Business Commons, Education Commons, Law Commons, and the Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons

Recommended Citation

"1925 and 1926, State Board of Equalization Report" (2016). *California Board of Equalization Reports*. 22. https://digitalcommons.csumb.edu/hornbeck_usa_3_e/22

This Report is brought to you for free and open access by the State of California Documents at Digital Commons @ CSUMB. It has been accepted for inclusion in California Board of Equalization Reports by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ CSUMB. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@csumb.edu.

REPORT

OF THE

California State Board of Equalization

FOR

1925-1926



CALIFORNIA STATE PRINTING OFFICE JOHN E. KING, State Printer SACRAMENTO, 1926

47608



Original from CORNELL UNIVERSITY

CONTENTS.

F. C.	age
REPORT OF THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION	-
RAILROAD SUITS AGAINST THE STATE	5
REDUCTION OF TAX ON SHORT LINE STEAM RAILROADS	6
INSURANCE LITIGATION	6
MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX	7
MOTOR VEHICLE TRANSPORTATION LICENSE TAX	8
MATTERS OF GENERAL CONCERN AFFECTING REVENUE SYSTEM	9
Corporation Taxes, Seventy-seventh and Seventy-eighth Fiscal Years	10
DETAILED STATEMENT OF EXPENDITURES.	11
APPENDIX:	
SCHEDULE A-Assessment of railroads, 1925 and 1926	13
SCHEDULE B-Number of acres assessed, and value of all property assessed,	
SCHEDULE C-Number of acres assessed, and value of all property assessed,	
SCHEDULE D-Exemptions for 1925 and 1926	
SCHEDULE E-Assessment of property in municipalities by county assessors_	24
SCHEOULE F-Kinds of personal property assessed, and value, 1926	32
SCHEDULE G-Number of fruit trees growing in Spring of 1926	35
SCHEDULE H-Acres of grapevines growing in Spring of 1926	39
SCHEDULE I-Number of acres sown in crops, 1926	40
SCHEDULE J-State taxes levied against railroads, 1925 and 1926	43
SCHEDULE K-State taxes levied against gas and electric companies, 1925 and 1926	
SCHEDULE L—State taxes levied against telegraph and telephone companies, 1925 and 1926	
SCHEDULE M-State taxes levied against car companies, 1925 and 1926	51
SCHEDULE N-State taxes levied against express companies, 1925 and 1926	51
SCHEDULE O-State taxes levied against state banks, 1925 and 1926	52
SCHEDULE P-State taxes levied against national banks, 1925 and 1926	58
SCHEOULE Q-State taxes levied against insurance companies, 1925 and 1926	62
Special report of additional data on relative tax burdens required under section 3664c, Political Code	



REPORT OF STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

To His Excellency, FRIEND WM. RICHARDSON, Governor of California.

Sir: In compliance with law, the State Board of Equalization submits the following as its biennial report covering the assessment years 1925 and 1926, together with a review of the tax litigation in which the state has been involved, as well as of certain other topics relating to and affecting the revenue system of California.

RAILROAD SUITS AGAINST THE STATE.

In our report of 1923 and 1924 we summarized the facts and issues pertaining to the suits of the Southern Pacific Company and The Atchison, Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company against the state to avoid the increased tax, for which provision was made by the 1921 legislature in the King Tax Bill.

Since that report the railroad companies have stipulated that the appeals which were taken by them to the United States Supreme Court from the decision in favor of the state in the Federal District Court might be dismissed, and have paid the taxes involved in such litigation, except that portion of the tax of the Southern Pacific Company which comprises the difference between a rate of 5½% and 7% on the earnings derived from its electric railway system in Alameda county.

Such a termination of this King Tax Bill litigation marked a complete and conclusive victory for the state in its effort to establish that no violation of constitutional guarantees, either federal or state, was involved in the rates of taxation established by this legislation. By reason of the fact that the legal controversy was ended through payment of the tax by the railroad companies upon stipulation for dismissal of the appeals, no further elucidation of the application of the principles of the present tax system has been afforded through a court ruling.

The Southern Pacific Company is contending in an action now pending in the superior court of Sacramento County that our board should have taxed the earnings derived from its electric railway operations in Alameda County at 51 per cent instead of 7 per cent during those years since the King Tax Bill, which raised the rate of taxation on steam railways to 7 per cent.

The portion of the revenue of the Southern Pacific Company accruing from this source is inconsiderable in comparison with the total earnings of the company subject to tax in this state, so that the amount involved represents only a small portion of the sum litigated in the federal court. It will probably be some time before the propriety of the application of the rate of 7 per cent to all of the operations of the Southern Pacific Company is judicially determined.

There is also pending before the superior court of Sacramento County litigation instituted by the Key System Transit Company and its predecessor, the San Francisco Oakland Terminal Railways, to test the action of our board by which there were included in the taxable revenues of this railway system for several years past certain receipts from



water transportation furnished by the ferryboats operating as a part of the railway company's transportation system.

The company is claiming the right to make certain segregations of revenue, the propriety of which is denied by our board. It is the view of the board that the revenues which the company seeks to have excluded from taxation are of such a character as to be taxable gross receipts within the meaning of the constitution and the statutes. Evidence has been taken by the court and the matter will be submitted upon briefs.

REDUCTION OF TAX ON SHORT LINE STEAM RAILROADS.

Proposition No. 7 on the ballot at the last general election on November 2, 1926, and apparently adopted by the electorate, amends section 14 of article XIII of the constitution by changing the rate of tax on steam railroads not exceeding two hundred fifty miles in length, eperated separately and not as a part of another railroad owning or operating lines exceeding such length, from 7 per cent to 5½ per cent on gross receipts. Notwithstanding this reduction in rate we do not apprehend that the revenues to be derived by the state during the next biennium from taxes levied upon the gross receipts of steam railroad companies will be lessened.

Our conclusion that the total revenues accruing to the state from steam railroad taxation will remain at least at the figure shown for 1926, is based upon our observation that a very large proportion of this tax is paid by railroad companies whose operations do not come within the classification of short line roads, so that only a small part of the steam railroad gross receipts is affected by the lowering of the rate.

It further appears that the revenues of most of the short lines have been decreasing, with the result that the change in the rate of taxation applied thereto will not serve to diminish materially the total taxation from steam railroads.

INSURANCE LITIGATION.

There is no pending insurance company tax litigation. As stated in our last biennial report, the Supreme Court decided adversely to the state on the taxability of the item of dividends applied to reduce insurance premiums, by holding that such an item did not constitute "receipts" accruing to the companies, and was, therefore, not taxable.

By reason of the settlement between the Attorney General and the insurance companies of the remaining questions involved in this litigation, the legal controversy was brought to a close. The adverse judgment and the settlement have necessitated the return by the state to the insurance companies of the sum of \$1,022,703.04, on account of taxes previously collected on the items affected.

These refunded taxes represent claims which have been accruing against the state since 1912 and owing to the large amount involved, the legislature in 1925 made provision for the return to the companies of the over-collection in three installments, to be allowed as credits against their taxes for the years 1925, 1926 and 1927. In some instances where the amounts of credits so accruing were small, we have allowed the entire sum thereof to be applied against current taxes, in order to eliminate additional bookkeeping involving only small amounts.

MOTOR VEHICLE FUEL TAX.

There has been a steady increase in the revenue derived from the tax on the distribution of motor vehicle fuel in this state since the inauguration of this levy in October, 1923. Experience has shown that the greatest gasoline consumption is during the two summer quarters, that is, the period extending from April 1st to October 1st of each year. This is attributal to the fact that our own residents use their motor vehicles more during these months, and also to the fact that there is an increased tourist population motoring in California at that time.

It therefore appears that the closing quarter of the year may apparently mark a decrease in the amount of such collections, but upon comparison with the returns for such period with the corresponding period of the year previous, we have found in each instance that a steady gain in the amount of revenue is prevailing.

At the close of the quarterly period ending September 30, 1926, this revenue measure had been in effect just three years, and from our schedule of comparisons we believe that an annual ratio of increase of approximately 12 per cent has been shown in the amounts to be derived from this source. The tax now shown by our roll indicates that the revenue from this source for 1926 will exceed \$18,000,000.

Under the Motor Vehicle Fuel Act there are pending two cases brought by the state against Richfield Oil Company, one against General Petroleum Company, one against Ventura Refining Company, and one against Sterling Refining Company. Each of them is a collection suit. Judgment was rendered in favor of the state by the superior court of Los Angeles County in the two Richfield cases, and appeals by the company are now pending in the State Supreme Court. One of the cases has been fully briefed and the other is partly briefed.

Each Richfield case involves the question as to whether contracts for the sale of fuel entered into prior to May 14, 1923, are exempt under the fuel act as it read prior to the amendment of 1925, in view of the fact that in no instance is the sale price of the fuel definitely fixed. In the majority of instances the price is set at the prevailing market quotation on the particular day when delivery is requested. The state is contending that the exempting language refers only to contracts in which there is a fixity of obligation on the part of the distributor preventing him from enjoying fair competition.

Our next contention is that if the exempting language is literally construed to refer to all contracts for the sale of fuels executed prior to May 14, 1923, without regard to whether they provide a fixed selling price, it is in such event unconstitutional because there appears no reasonable basis for establishing May 14, 1923, as the date of demarcation. One of the Richfield cases also involves the right of the company to compel a change in the tax roll when the claim for exemption is not

2-47608

Annersted on 2014-11-31 17:02 GPT / Nob-Shidhandlemetroof/une 11924 tedus2559 Public formely in the United States, Google-dighted / Althurmachtfrichustustatighetens, weetpelus google



Judgment was rendered against the state in the General Petroleum Company and Ventura Refining Company cases tried in the San Francisco superior court. In each instance the state has appealed to the State Supreme Court. The contract question is the sole issue involved in both appeals which can still now line.

in both appeals, which are still pending.

The collection suit against the Sterling Refining Company was won by the state in the superior court of Los Angeles County and is now pending on the company's appeal to the Supreme Court, where the matter has been partly briefed. The primary question raised by the company is whether 50 per cent of the fuel sold by it during the period involved is subject to the sales tax when that percentage of the compounded fuel is made up of kerosene, which is exempted from tax under the act. Several constitutional questions are also raised by the appellant.

In general we find that conditions affecting ascertainment of motor vehicle fuel distributions and collection of the tax levied thereon continue to be highly satisfactory. The assessment and collection of the tax remains at, or very slightly removed from, the source of production of the fuel, so that it is necessary to consider not more than seventy

distributors for any quarter of the calendar year.

As we have previously observed, this limited number of taxpayers with whom we must deal has the effect of keeping our costs of administration at a negligible figure in comparison with the amount of revenue derived from this source. Less than one-tenth of one per cent of such revenue is absorbed in the administration of the law, with the result that this tax may be considered as one of the most efficient yet devised for the collection of public revenue.

Difficulty has been experienced in connection with the collection of the tax on sales along the borders of the state, as it sometimes occurs that gasoline is purchased without payment of the tax in some neighboring state and imported into California without payment of tax here, resulting in a tax evasion. If it is found feasible, by means of agreements with neighboring states, to readjust the manner in which border sales are handled, procedure to bring about such readjustment should be productive of a higher efficiency in tax collections. However, we do not apprehend that the amount involved is representative of any considerable portion of the motor vehicle fuel actually consumed in the state.

MOTOR VEHICLE TRANSPORTATION LICENSE TAX.

At the general election on November 2, 1926, the electorate adopted proposition No. 2 on the ballot relating to the taxation of highway transportation companies. The salient feature of this constitutional amendment, which adds a new section to be known as section 15 of article XIII of the constitution, is the taxation on a gross receipts basis at the rate of 4½ per cent for passenger-carrying vehicles and 5 per cent for freight-carrying vehicles, of all common carrier motor vehicles operated over the public highways of this state between fixed termini or over a regular route.

The levy is in lieu of all other taxes and licenses and is in general emparable to the scheme of taxation provided for other public utilities



It is as yet too early to state definitely what income may be expected from this new type of taxation. At the last session of the legislature there was enacted a somewhat similar measure applying to all operators of motor vehicle transportation, whether common carriers or not, whose equipment traveled over the public highways outside of incorporated areas. There were a few exceptions provided in the act, some of which were eliminated by court decision later.

Owing to the difficulties attendant upon the inauguration of the motor vehicle transportation tax for which provision was made by chapter 412, Statutes of 1925, it is likewise impossible to draw any conclusions as to what may definitely be expected of this type of legislation. The 1925 act followed similar legislation enacted in 1923, which was held unconstitutional in an action brought by Bacon Service Corporation vs. Fred C. Huss as Captain of the Fresno County Traffic Squad, to prevent the enforcement of the law. The judgment of the superior court was affirmed by the Supreme Court upon appeal and a rehearing later granted by that tribunal. While the matter was so pending the legislature passed the 1925 act, replacing the 1923 act which was repealed.

In June, 1926, the Supreme Court reversed its stand on the constitutionality of the 1923 act and, after declaring certain of the exemptions therein contemplated void for unconstitutionality, decided that the act, in the main, was constitutional legislation. At that time the Supreme Court upheld the constitutionality of the 1925 act, which had been attacked in a habeas corpus proceeding brought by one Paul Schmolke. These decisions resulted in a complete victory for the state as to its contentions that each of the acts was constitutional.

In each of these motor vehicle transportation tax cases an appeal has been taken by writ of error to the United States Supreme Court, and both cases will be heard during the current term of that tribunal.

Taxation of common carrier highway transportation companies under the new amendment to the constitution will supersede in a large measure the levy for which provision is made by the 1925 Transportation License Tax Law. In view of the removal of such a large portion of the gross receipts derived from motor vehicle transportation from the operation of the 1925 act, it may become advisable to substitute in lieu of the gross receipts tax contemplated by chapter 412, Statutes of 1925, some other type of levy, such as a weight fee or other commercial license graduated on some equitable basis. Later developments will doubtless demonstrate the best course to be followed in the determination of what is to be done in this regard.

MATTERS OF GENERAL CONCERN AFFECTING THE REVENUE SYSTEM.

There has been a gratifying lack of litigation relating to the assessments levied by this board, pursuant to the provisions of the Political Code and of section 14 of article XIII of the constitution. There has been some question concerning the policy of the board in assessing for



general corporate franchise the business conducted by certain, title insurance companies not coming within the purview of the taxation provided particularly for insurance companies.

Litigation on this point is now pending in the superior court of Sacramento County. With this exception the assessments of the board appear to have remained unquestioned in court proceedings save as to the matters previously mentioned.

In order that a comprehensive review may be afforded of the sources of general revenue of this state administered by our board during the last biennium, we append the following table:

Taxes From Corporations, Seventy-Seventh and Seventy-Eighth Fiscal Years.

(As shown by state tax rolls.)

Source of tax	1925 tax	1926 tax
Electric and street railways	\$3,133,078 84	\$3,063,518 54
Steam railroads	12,138,409 40	12,435,858 26
Gas and electric companies	9,925,241 08	10,509,267 14
Telegraph and telephone companies	2,777,018 94	3,290,463 66
Car companies	322,924 00	325,486 40
Express companies	97,127 38	91,855 06
Insurance companies	4,340,372 26	4,897,899 80
National banks	1,535,626 35	1,513,942 54
State banks	2,793,891 09	3,833,860 76
General franchises	3,950,084 00	4,057,026 00
Totals	\$41,352,461 20	\$43,019,178 16

In the following pages we set forth the usual statistical information on agricultural, horticultural, live stock, city and county values and state revenue sources.

Respectfully submitted.

R. E. COLLINS, Chairman, JOHN C. CORBETT, JOSEPH M. KELLEY, H. G. CATTELL, RAY L. RILEY, Controller.

Dixwell L. Pierce, Secretary. December 1, 1926.



SCHEDULE F.
Showing Certain Kinds of Property Assessed and the Assessed Value for 1926.

Coumtina	Pure bred cattle		Stoc	Stock cattle		Dairy cows		Hogs	
Counties	Number	Value	Number	Value	Number	Value	Number	Value	
Alameda	500	\$40,000	30,000	\$600,000	8,700	\$348,000	18,000	\$108,00	
Alpine			1,023	20,730	107	4,280	15,000	7100,00	
Amador	7	625	13,321	249,690	1,207	42,245	2,016	7,02	
Butte			14,450	216,750	1,535	53,725	17,500	87,50	
Calaveras	50	2,500	6,500	111,000	20	600	1,400	7,50	
Colusa Contra Costa	137	13,500	12,841	160,500	3,400	110,400	8.300	26,90	
Contra Costa	575	71,870	11,085	121,935	7,950	318,000	*316,510	12,66	
Del Norte	83	8,300	924	18,480	5,265	184,275		1	
El Dorado	130	6,500	8,000	120,000	1,500	45,000	1,400	14,00	
resno	450	45,000	30,000	110,000	21,000	840,000	7,000	28,00	
Glenn Humboldt	2,200	132,000	12,000	180,000	5,500	192,500	9,100	36,40	
lumboldt	239	16,130	26,812	465,321	25,162	1,022,480	2,408	10,32	
mperial			44,618	1,338,540	24,191	1,022,480 967,640	6,113	30,56	
nyo Kern			8,234	100,122	951	28,530	193	1,12	
		20,000	49,798	623,685	6,944	262,990	*245,688	9,83	
Kinga			5,265	78,815	17,430	641,300	5,879	18,03	
ake	700	00 000	3,546	54,325	1,275	28,250	1,587	5,70	
assenos Angeles	700 555	28,000	20,000	400,000	4,000	160,000	2,500	18,73	
Madera	160	54,025 6,950	6,203 28,081	134,790 370,288	18,524 5,767	945,890 229,865	2,495 *271,500	17,82 10,86	
Marin			5,616	99,560	23,191	462,490	4,961	22,9	
Inriposa			12 140	182,100	160	6,400	1,600	4,80	
Mendocino i	250	25,000	10,000	200,000	7,000	210,000	*10,000	40	
lerced	1,125	67,500	47,431	521,741	40.291	1,611,640	5,538	22,1	
Modoc	302	17,415	40,155	545,060	2,269	71,830	.1,432	5,85	
Mono			1,668	32,670	158	4,760	52	55	
vionterey	600	60,000	22,500	450,000	27,200	1,632,000	15,500	77,50	
Vapa	720	57,600	14,000	350,000	18,600	740,000	14,800	88,80	
Vevada	140	5,600	5,030	75,450	815	28,525 937,500	450	2,92	
)range			8,750	262,500	7,500	937,500	8,500	170,00	
Placer			6,050	90,750	880	30,800	1,210	5,08	
Plumas	45	3,375	5,027	123,755	1,990	79,500	388	3,45 3,71	
Riverside	1,280	44,800	3,775	54,500	6,830	194,660	1,160	3,71	
acramento	1,300 512	91,000 23,850	7,000 26,256	140,000 535,565	4,000 2,089	140,000 101,650	2,000 1,447	8,00 6,54	
san Bernardino.	190	26,600	5,111	306,660	10,575	· 1			
an Diego	130	20,000	14,350	227,980	4,850	951,750 132,000	22,075 13,500	132,45 92,85	
an Francisco.			,	22.,000	1,0.70	100,000	10,000	02,00	
an Joaquin	758	74,284	9,240	101,640	22,586	903,440	*563,100	22,52	
an Luis Obispo	635	38,100	34,060	476,840	21,050	578,875	8,950	53,70	
an Mateo	150	9,000	2,500	50,000	5,000	150,000	1,500	3,73	
anta Barbara	800	32,000	42,000	630,000	8,000	240,000	1,500	7.50	
anta Clara	1,550	155,000	26,800	670,000	5,450	272,500	3,500	35,00	
anta Cruz	152	7,600	310	6,200	3,305	82,625	631	3,1	
hasta			25,322	329,180	1,578	55,230	5,698	14,26	
ierra	110	3,400	1,926	28,025	1,108	28,050			
liskiyou	2,890	3,400 173,400	11,765	28,025 225,300	3,140	125,600	5,235	25,17	
olano	400	24,000	6,130	92,000	7,360	258,602	2,768	13,94	
onoma	310	15,500	28,540	570,800	31,070	621,800	4,050	24,30	
tanislaus	1,948	113,450	15,621	210,635	65,656	1,769,680	*432,580	17,30	
utter	45	2,845	1,940	30,170	3,643	132,420	3,442	14,5	
ehama rinity	503	30,180	20,470	307,050	2.427	84,945	3,495	13,98	
Culare	450	26,000	6,860	102,900	580 14 350	17,400	690	3,4	
uolumne	100	9,000	65,000 7,000	715,000 84,000	14,350 200	499,800 10,000	9,500 1,200	38,00 6,00	
entura	340	34,000	0,800	147,000	4,950	148,500		1	
Colo	1,710	104,500	7,950	122,540	8,100	283,500	23,600	73,46	
(uba	485	19,400	6,119	93,230	2,445	68,680	623	3,2	
Totals	25,986	\$1,739,799	893,913	\$14,662,772		\$19,593,122		\$1,472,2	

^{*}Pounds.



SCHEDULE F—Continued.

Showing Certain Kinds of Property Assessed and the Assessed Value for 1926.

Sanda di mananananananananananananananananananan	M	Iules	H	orses	s	heep	Stock	gosts
Counties	Number	Value	Number	Value	Number	Value	Number	Value
Alameda	1,000	\$75,000 100	8,500 -55	\$425,000 2,470	38,000 2,230	\$152,000 8,920	900	\$1,800
Amador	41	1,715	1,009	36,315	11,871	36,270	2,350	3,502
Butte	845	42,250	3,160	126,400	43,550	174,200	800	1,600
Calaveras	10	400	600	18,000	9,000	27,000	1,000	1,000
Colusa	1,027	50,100	1,809	54,000	105,200	408,600		
Contra Costa	250	12,500	4,790	239,500	28,550	114,200 1,135		
Del Norte El Dorado	50	3,500	125 2,500	5,000 100,000	454 13,500	54,000	8,600	12,900
Fresno		187,500	15,000	525,000	90,000	270,000	210	420
Glenn	1,200	90,000	2,500	75,000	150,000	600,000	1,500	3,750
Humboldt	168	5,040	3,785	160,250 122,960	60,628	249,772	4,921	9,842
Imperial	2,315	92,600	6,148	122,960	26,512	53,024	508	1,016
Inyo Kern	419 2,253	5,785 65,270	1,562 7,121	30,725 170,945	10,366 187,248	51,680 561,744	1,400 664	4,200 1,795
	1	1	1	1	1			1
Kings	1,018 78	30,525 2,160	4,282 1,020	108,075 35,660	24,509 14,361	106,275 41,990	45 1,424	90 2,335
Lake	300	12,000	4,000	160,000	30,000	240,000	1,424	2,000
Lassen Los Angeles	1,572	73,420	8,182	360,185	2,668	4,435	1,069	5,880
Madera	1,929	73,420 55,567	4,342	108,759	41,014	113,987	655	1,692
Marin	12	350	1.933	70,000	7,579	16,538	281	810
Mariposa	80	2,400	820	16,400	34,000	136,000	300	450
Mendocino	150	4,500	2,000	60,000	130,000	390,000	1,000	1,000
Merced Modoc	1,835 457	55,050 9,990	7,965 5,741	199,125 107,355	63,193 55,267	252,772 276,335	1,452 28	2,904 155
Mono	42	2,500	345	17,875	9,347	38,080	272	1,220
Monterey	1.250	75,000	8,750	262,500	15,600	78,000	500	1.500
Napa	3,300	165,000	5,060	262,500 177,100	25,890	124,450	2,200	11,000
Nevada Orange	2,300	1,275 287,500	4,000	30,800 320,000	8,100 500	32,400 5,000	690	1,380
Placer	220	6.600	2,200	55,000	34,100	136,400	550	1,650
Plumas	38	1,590	963	67,220 97,720	2,327	8,255		
Riverside	1,050	25,570	3,870	97,720	7,255	13,200	1,720	5,670
Sacramento San Benito	·100 26	7,500 830	850 2,858	25,500 104,775	19,000 25,186	76,000 81,625	150 431	600 1,075
San Bernardino San Diego San Francisco	759 1,300	75,900 39,500	3,413 7,250	307,170 232,750	307 5,750	1,840 16,375	1,000	90 2,500
San Joaquin	1,335	53,400	9,937	357,732	34,180	136,720	550	1,100
San Luis Obispo	240	9,600	4,850	145,500	7,450	29,800	130	260
San Mateo	20	1,000	450	13,500	1,500	3,750	100	300
Santa Barbara Santa Clara		15,000	5,040 3,000	200,000 150,000	34,000	119,000	155	1,860
Santa Cruz	16	320	1,408	42,240	450	1,350	130	260
Shasta	239	9,580	2,465	49,325	11,785	47,140	1,680	2,520
Sierra			375	10,725	775	1,675		
Siskiyou	205	10,250	4,270	128,100	5,335	26,675	200	1,000
Sonoma	889 210	10,500	4,332 7,540	377,000	87,934 32,760	98,280	3,250	4,875
Stanislaus	1,698	64,405	9,964	321,695	47,584	139,010	625	3,125
Sutter	528	22,055	2,118	69,175	35,055	138,905	43	225
Tehama	1,231	55,395	2,664	39,960	188,980	755,920	9,366	14,055
Trinity	90	3,700	700	23,560	3,450	17,840	160	320
Tulare Tuolumne	2,100 40	80,000 1,600	7,500 600	260,000 1,800	10,000 4,000	35,000 16,000	500 1,000	1,000 2,000
				1				
Ventura Yolo	1,485 1,525	69,400 89,375	4,780 5,320	191,200 154,600	1,800 116,300	3,600 472,180		
Yuba	188	5,895	1,423	35,515	31,537	126,240	105	210
Totals		l		\$7,783,764			54,620	\$116,936
A UVA15	20,010	·, * + & , * U&	. ~,017	14111001102	- 2,001,001	, z : U,U&A	. 01,020	



SCHEDULE F—Continued.

Showing Certain Kinds of Property Assessed and the Assessed Value for 1926.

	Milk g	goats	Pou	ltry .	Auto	mobiles
Counties	Number	Value	Dozen	Value	Number	Assessmen
Alameda	3,700	\$37,000	60,000	\$300,000	95,000	\$19,000,00
Alpine			45	135	28	7,26
Amador			372	766	1,561	206,63
Butte	60	600	10,000	50,000	8,114	756,08
Calaveras	30	300	1,000	3,000	1,200	240,00
Colusa			1,000	5,000	2,647 $15,416$	502,93 3,083,20
Contra Costa Del Norte			8,850	30,975	10,410	0,000,20
El Dorado	750	3,750	3,400	17,000	1,970	206.85
Fresno	160	1,600	12,000	60,000	34,071	3,407,10
Glenn	50	500	30,000	150,000	3,500	525,00
dumboldt	131 (1,310	4,797	23,985	9,186	1,334,95
mperial	131	262	8,746	43,730	10,809	1,621,35
inyo Kern			89,506	37,295	1,401 20,354	215,63 2,538,54
	i	i	•		-	
Kings Lake			$\frac{2,897}{1,680}$	15,020 8,400	$\frac{4,800}{1,723}$	341,23 308,18
Jassen	1		1,500	7.500	3,500	525,00
Los Angeles	408	2,865	129,963	394,115	421,017	91,983,21
Madera	16	160	2,158	10,725	4,551	441,71
Marin			20,273	66,581	6,140	774,39
Mariposa	10 50	30 50	$\frac{200}{12,000}$	1,000 36,000	640 5,0€ 0	160,00 750,00
Mendocino Merced	8	80 80	11.095	55,475	8,961	806,49
Modoc			1,071	5,355	1,176	124,07
Mono			558	2,790	88	29,70
Monterev	59 (500	11,000	88,000	10,035	1,505,25
Napa	120	1,200	20,000	100,000	6,600	165,00
Nevaga			820	4,100	2,076	302,81
Orange	200	4,000	14,000	168,000	29,000	14,500,00
Placer			13,200	66,000	6,965 $1,135$	731,32 196,46
Plumas Riverside	182	1,960	$\frac{322}{16,900}$	$930 \\ 75,540$	13.520	1,487,20
Sacramento	100	2,000	22,000	110,000	20,000	2,000,00
San Benito			5,428	27,140	3,109	331,47
San Bernardino	92	1,380	17,438	156,940	21,317	7,933,53
San Diego			22,000	92,500	31,580	6,978,50
San Francisco					72,325	20,975,41
San Joaquin San Luis Obispo			$15,951 \\ 2,630$	79,755 13,150	23,910 4,275	460,25
San Mateo	l i	200	2,000	5,000	14,800	1,480,00
Santa Karbara	!	1	9,000 000,6	45,000	15,580	3,824,62
Santa Clara	400	2,800	31,190	187,140	23,000	5,520,00
Santa Clara Santa Cruz			15,034	37,585	7,964	991,20
Shasta			1,887	9,435	3,445	516,78
Sierra					344	108,50
Siskiyou.			5,920	29,600	5,137 9,057	1,258,56
Sonoma	90	180	$\frac{3,970}{20,760}$	79,850 $72,560$	15,260	4,196,50
Stanislaus	36ŏ	3,600	25,375	126,875	17,382	1,609,30
utter	29	315	5,397	29.255	3,222	399,8
l'enama	18 1	180	3,628	18,140	3.289	493.3
I THILLY			300	1,500	382	46,48
Tulare Tuolumne	1 11111 1	1,000 60	$\frac{7,000}{1,000}$	35,000 5,000	12,500 1,300	2,500,00 260,00
	1	90	4,000	.,,,,,,,,		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Ventura			Acen	23,650	11,247	2,159,40 923,8
Yolo. Yuba	60	300	$\frac{4,650}{400}$	23,650 2,000	6,101 2,582	382,8
Totals		ELAN BOTOL COMMANDE LONG COMMAND			1,061,272	\$220,210,2
4 96318	7,337	\$68,182	712,311	\$2,954,492	1,001,444	0040,410,4



SCHEDULE F—Continued.

Showing Certain Kinds of Property Assessed and the Assessed Value for 1926.

	Milk g	goats	Pou	ltry	Auto	mobiles
Counties	Number	Value	Dozen	Value	Number	Assessmen
Mameda	3,700	\$37,000	60,000	\$300,000		\$19,000,00
Alpine			45	135 766	28 1,561	7,26 206,63
Amador			372 10,000	50,000	8,114	756,08
Butte Calaveras	60 30	600 300	1,000	3,000	1,200	240,00
Colusa Contra Costa			1,000 8,850	5,000 30,975	2,647 15,416	502,93 3,083,20
Jel Norte						
El Dorado	750 160	3,750 1,600	3,400 12,000	17,000 60,000	1,970 34,071	206,85 3,407,10
Henn	50	500	30,000	150,000	3,500	525,00
Iumboldt	131	1,310	4,797	23,985	9,186	1,334,95
mperial	131	262	8,746	43,730	10,809	1,621,3
nyo Kern			89,506	37.295	1,401 20,354	215,63 2,538,54
Kings			2,897	15,020	4,800	341,28
ake			1,680	8,400	1,723	308,18
assen			1,500	7,500	3,500	525.00
ios Angeles	408	2,865	129,963	394,115	421,017	91,983,21
Madera	16	160	2,158	10,725	4,551	441,71
Agrin	₁₀ -		$\frac{20,273}{200}$	66,581 1,000	6,140 640	774,39 160,00
Aariposa Aendocino	50	50	12,000	36,000	5,000	750,00
Aercod	8	80	11,095	55.475	8,961	806.49
Aerced Aodoc			1,071	5,355	1,176	124,0
Iono			558	2,790	88	29,70
nonterev	50 [500	11,000	88,000	10,035	1,505,2
Naba	120 1	1,200	20,000	100,000	6,600 2,076	165,00 302,8
Vevada Orange	$\overline{200}$	4,000	820 14,000	4,100 168,000	29,000	14,500,00
Placer			13,200	66,000	6,965	731,33
iumas			322	930	1,135	196,40 1,487,20
werside	182 1	1,960	16,900	75,540	13,520	1,487,20
Sacramento. San Benito	100	2,000	$\frac{22,000}{5,428}$	110,000 27,140	20,000 3,109	2,000,00 331,43
		1,380	17.438	156,940	21,317	7,933,5
San Bernardino San Diego			22,000	92,500	31,580	6,978,50 20,975,4
Pau Francisco			15,951	79,755	72,325 23,910	2,784,5
San Joaquin San Luis Obispo			2,630	13,150	4,275	460,2
San Mateo	50	200	2,000	5,000	14,800	1,480,00
auta Barbara			9,000	45,000	15,580	3,824,6
SPIC Clave		2,800	31,190	187,140	23,000	5,520,0
anta Cruz Shasta			$15,034 \\ 1,887$	37,585 9,435	7,964 3,445	991,2 516,7
Sierra					344	108,5
Siskiyou Solano			5,920	29,600	5,137	1,258,5
olano			3,970	19,850	9,057	1,812,8
onoma tanislaus	90 360	$\frac{180}{3,600}$	$20,760 \\ 25,375$	$\begin{array}{c} 72,560 \\ 126,875 \end{array}$	15,260 17,382	4,196,5 1,609,3
utter	29	315	5,397	29,255	3,222	399,8
Cehama	18	180	3,628	18,140	3,289	493,3
LTINITY			300	1,500	382	46.4
Culare Cuolumne	100 12	1,000 60	7,000 1,000	35,000 5,000	12,500 1,300	2,500,0 260,0
	1	9.9	4 9 2 2 2 2	1 2,000	11,247	2,159,4
Ventura Volo			4,650	23,650	6,101	923,8
Yuba	60	300	400	2,000	2,582	382,8
Totals.	7,337	\$68,182	712,311	\$2,954,492	1,061,272	\$220,210,2



SCHEDULE G. Number of Fruit Trees Growing in Spring of 1926.

	Ap	ple	Ap	ricot	Che	erry	1	Fig		
Counties	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing		
Alameda	28,000 650	800	27,500 8	1,700	90,000	1,500	2,000			
AmadorButteCalaveras	5,500 42,265 6,000	250 9,830 1,000	1,060 8,975 2,000	1,095 100	2,500 5,780 500	3,711 100	500 15,640 400	10,150		
Colusa Contra Costa Del Norte	800 17,200	1,350	7,500 95,000	2,500 6,500	100 13,000	7,250	3,000 250	500		
El Dorado Fresno	31,200 22,700	1,800 50	1,300 18,100	195 1,000	13,000	2,600	850 725,000	120 1,100		
Glenn Humboldt Imperial	7,500 60,000	4,000 1,000	150,000 550 1,388	100,000	2,000 2,100 25	1,500 250	60,000 40 750	60,000 275		
Inyo Kern (acres)	1,344	12	712	1,203	15	11	157	189		
Kings Lake Lassen	19,790 9,000	1,807	25,250 3,034 4,000	1,358	897 2,800	763	814	733		
Los Angeles Madera	10,753 6,328	1,230 2,396	23,390 34,748	1,694 61,892	1,194 26	34 40	2,763 31,640	70 54,596		
Marin Mariposa Mendocino Merced Modoc	2,500 18,000 93,000 10,300 30,000	400 12,500	2,000 200 250 208,000 900	500 600 75	20 1,500 1,400 2,500	345 500	200 200 941,000	505,000		
Mono Monterey Napa Nevada Orange	98,500 124,300 22,900 15,000	16,000 6,800 1,250 8,000	54,000 47,000	16,000 23,500 500	73,000 76,000 1,860	1,100 3,000 20	12,500	4,900		
PlacerPlumas	48,600 3,340	1,100	18,172	3,422	59,292 158	13,392	6,400	400		
Riverside (acres) Sacramento San Benito	1,940 25,000 12,958	75 55,000 745	5,995 23,000 347,722	1,511 58,000 51,231	30,000 972	329 27,000 75	32 10,000	91 6,000		
San Bernardino San Diego	408,900 22,250	10,800	188,925 9,200	8,925	$32,550 \\ 1,375$	14,185	4,095 1,200	2,245		
San Francisco San Joaquin San Luis Obispo	15,587 86,500	3,659 29,750	101,483 105,100	54,136 2,150	182,273 5,300	106,897 500	92,638 4,000	60,114 1,000		
San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara	46,150 18,000 132,550	7,700	10,500 29,000 1,878,800	19,800	2,000 3,500 450,000	1,700	300 600 4,700	1,100		
Santa CruzShasta	230,542 25,000	22,061 5,000	60,960 3,650	8,240 1,870	13,674 3,000	4,610 1,012	2,700	500		
Sierra Siskiyou Solano	6,200 3,500	250	240,000		255 97,700	25 	6,100			
SonomaStanislaus	370,410 5,650	292,550 450	4,210 255,800	2,050 221,500	73,810 9,400	37,880 2,300	2,415 130,000	83,700		
Sutter Tehama Trinity Tulare Tuolumne	3,797 27,850 6,500 18,000 51,200	10,500 3,500 6,000	3,507 $40,000$ 150 $25,000$ 250	1,400 5,000 200	12,345 3,000 300 400	11,964 500 200 350	6,191 9,850 50 95,000 700	8,000		
VenturaYolo	20,952 5,000	320	717,814 186,300	115,718	1,790 2,000		1,176 36,750	706		
Yuba	50	310	67			170	180	275		

- -

SCHEDULE G—Continued. Number of Fruit Trees Growing in Spring of 1926.

	Olive		T			<u> </u>	T	-
Counties	OI	ive	Pe	ach	. Po	ear	Ph	ara
Counties	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing
Alameda			48,000	1,500	60,000	2,000	37,500 120	1,400
Amador	1,000		5,000		5,000		1,500	
Butte		250,990	322,435	109,225	46,690	12,555	28,905	3,260
Calaveras	5,000	3,000	3,000	1,000	500	100	1,500	100
Colusa Contra Costa Del Norte	1,000		5,000 45,000	40,000 20,000	5,000 156,000	500 6,600	6,000 30,000	5,000
El Dorado	3,750	450	39,000	3,900	227,500	19,500	50,700	3,900
Fresno			745,000	6,600	16,000	10,000	32,000	1,150
GlennHumboldt	40,000	40,000	325,000 7,400	150,000	50,000 6,000	50,000	8,000 600	8,000
Imperial		747	247	168	5,287	3,160	_ 000	
Inyo Kern (acres)								
Kern (acres)	820		301	678	1,176	4	203	857
Kings	Ì		40,500		İ			
Lake	2,130	80	3,110	3,627	200.934	307,823	407	412
Lassen			5,000		700		1,200	
Los Angeles		1,510	30,826	11,382	63,614	56,624	4,907	310
Madera	24,021	642	186,815	88,381	2,327	371	17,410	23,782
Marin			1,500		3,500	1,000		100
Mariposa	700		1,000		400		40	
Mendocino			7,500		250,000	130,000	6,500	
Merced	21,300		1,660,000	2,000	164,000	200	24,500	1,150
Modoc			3,420	210	1,650	150	740	50
Mono								14.00
Mono Monterey Napa			6,500	7,300	25,700	61,800	5,600	8,400
Napa	7,500	2,500	132,000	58,000	25,700 155,000	86,000	120,000	50,000
Nevada	4,500		11,300	1,080	160,000	22,500	24,050	2,900
Orange	4,500		9,500		3,000		2,000	ترخيف
Placer	41,536	3,068	898,560	105,300	402,408	148,392	940,590	159,642
Plumas			120		530		390	فيحاف والمستحدث
Riverside (acres)	1,371	395	5,183	1,373	1,131	932	23	100.000
Sacramento San Benito	270,000	70,000	220,000 36,480	$208,000 \\ 54,747$	710,000 50,345	350,000 85,968	265,000	100,000
Dan Domo	******	***	90,400	02,131	00,040	60,506		
San Bernardino.	61,700	2,400	782,000	93,925	129,240	24,480	16,740	2,340
San Diego	21,500		7,250	1,100	2,000			
San Francisco San Joaquin	65,720	5,933	1,092,283	178,615	118,910	30,670	66,154	72,561
San Luis Obispo	2,000	400	100,500	7,000	139,500	5,500	21,000	500
•					,	,,,,,,		777
San Mateo	800		10,500	8,300	12,480	4 000	4,250	
Santa Barbara	37,500 5,000		20,000 706,800	8,300	3,000 663,850	4,600	2,000 150,300	200
Santa Cruz			6,346	1,240	22,169	12,573	100,000	
Shasta	6,200	31,000	75,000	28,000	10,850	4,600	20,000	1,800
Car.			0.50		100	20	4.50	
SierraSiskiyou			350	50	400	60	450	25
Solano.	3.000		649,000		355,900		198,000	
Sonoma	1,620	190	51,640	7,430	92,780	19,840	16,620	1,920
Stanislaus	25,600	6,800	994,900	454,000	25,400	5,400	18,300	5,000
Sutter	3,331		943,622	362,666	34,286	5 770	11,406	2.750
Tehama	102,500	7,500	1,500,000	33,000	8,000	5,770 1,000	7,500	2,750 1,500
Trinity.			1,600	900	1,550	950	300	250
Tulare	130,000		120,000		8.000		65,000	
Tuolumne	60	20	9,000	6,000	3,500	5,500	2,000	500
Ventura	30,901		7.284	7,342	6.415	19 010	1,502	570
	8,200		123,800	4,042	6,415 161,800	13,810	100,000	
Yolo Yuba	1,000		700	2,712	1,000	146	,000	
	.,			-,				



SCHEDULE G-Continued.

Number of Fruit Trees Growing in Spring of 1926.

	Pru	ine	Len	non	Li	me	Ora	nge
Counties	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing
AlamedaAlpine	97,000 22	6,000	1,400		50		3,700	~ * * * * * * * * * * *
Amador Butte Calaveras	6,000 659,005 700	500 86,705 100	665 50	835 50			430 133,315 250	12,870 100
Colusa Contra Costa	272,500 70,000	125,000 5,000	51,000	*****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	~~~~~	3,000	
Del Norte El Dorado Fresno	57,500	600	200	**************************************			260 25,000	65
Glenn Humboldt	275,000 5,900	75,000	5,0 00	5,000			60,000	40,000
Imperial Inyo	108	102	137	82			426	324
Kern	437	131	12				1,262	27
Kings Lake Lassen	38,000 92,614 1,200	83,375	********					
Los Angeles Madera	338 9,669	$\begin{array}{c} 15 \\ 21,490 \end{array}$	117,802 42	16,186			718,937 200	$75,362 \\ 2$
Marin Mariposa	3,000 40	500	iō-				800	
Mendoeino Merced Modoe	105,000 297,000 100	55,000 4,600 20	1,600		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	~ u ~ u ~ v ~ v ~ v ~ v ~ v ~ v ~ v ~ v	2,500	
Mono Monterey	6,500	8,350						
Napa Nevada	1,160,000	78,000	*******	********			14,000	2,500
Orange Placer			616,600	20,500	~~~~		27,730	250,000
Plumas Riverside	656	63	5,069	36			16,778	410
Sar Benito	260,000 394,084	70,000 93,443	9,000	700			118,000	6,000
San Bernardino. San Diego	5,940 4,550		441,840 105,000	11,440	100 MAR 100 JA 100 JA 100 JA 100 TO		3,397,280 74,000	60,435
San Francisco San Joaquin San Luis Obispo	180,195 225,000	73,410 95,000	720 3,200	393 100			5,360 4,500	801 300
San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara	4,500 6,798,250	1,600	250 127,500 20,000	27,200	100 1,000		350 10,500 4,000	6,000
Santa Cruz Shasta	64,600 11,500	12,896 50,000	250	*****	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		500	200
Sierra Siskiyou		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~						
Solano Sonoma Stanislaus	605,000 812,580 68,800	515,890 12,000	3,000 190 200		300		8,500 7,150 10,800	10
SutterTehama	438,222 160,500	58,716 83,000	- 462 1,150				2,700 16,500	500
Trinity Tulare Tuolumne	350 510,000 1,100	550 250	120,000 15				1,700,000 45	80
Ventura Yolo	16,928 121,000	2,730	593,100	487,616			352,400 1,460	167,310
Yuba		500		1	1	1	100	

REPORT OF THE STATE BOARD OF EQUALIZATION.

SCHEDULE G-Continued.

Number of Fruit Trees Growing in Spring of 1926.

	Pon	nelo	Alm	ond	Walnut		
Counties	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	Non- bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing	
Alameda	50	****	45,000	2,000	8,000	1,900	
Alpine Amador Butte Calaveras	995	80	3,500 627,015 1,400	12,250 400	600 10,115 1,500	500 15,590 500	
Colusa Contra Costa			350,000 118,000	100,000 57,000	1,500 76,000	1,000 40,000	
Del Norte	200		4,550	325	2,800	3,200	
GlennHumboldt	300	100	200,000	75,000	30,000 3,750	20,000 250	
Imperial Inyo Kern		156,400 2	85	3	61		
Kings						22,339	
Lake Lassen Los Angeles	6,025	1,008	25,770 9,847	19,638 6,152	10,466 20 93,115	17,484	
Madera			18,611	3,466	156	425	
Mariposa Mendocino Merced Modoe			10 500 560,850	8,150	100 10,000 34,000	8,200	
Mono Monterey Napa Nevada		~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	18,800 45,000	85,600 8,000	900 52,500 1,950	3,750 9,500 460	
Placer			44,172	708	245,600	9,000	
Plumas Riverside Sacramento San Benito	991 3,200	390	$\begin{array}{c} 1,362 \\ 250,000 \\ 9,252 \end{array}$	35,000 605	1,412 7,000 5,186	2,695 1,000 4,289	
San Bernardino San Diego	187,850 600	10,115	900		103,075 4,800	87,345	
San Francisco San Joaquin San Luis Obispo	200		480,340 1,275,000	51,720 150,000	110,320 51,000	38,650 3,000	
San MateoSanta Barbara	800	**~****	1,450		850 83,200	11,340	
Santa Clara Santa Cruz Shasta	950	******	40,500 5,400	320	221,350 300 1,200	190 825	
SierraSiskivou	*****				50	5	
Solano Sonoma Stanislaus			123,000 5,680 588,800	185 21,000	9,900 7,630 43,500	130 22,100	
Sutter Tehama			152,376 68,000	2,900 2,000	6,396 4,500	1,584	
Trinity Tulare Tuolumne	35,000		50 8,000 500	400	2,000 35,000 3,000	500 1,500 2,000	
Ventura Yolo			$28,600 \\ 521,000$	1,014	267,305	167,487	
Yuba			150		12	13	



SCHEDULE H.

Acres of Grapevines Growing in Spring of 1926.

Counties Alameda Alpine Amador Butte Calaveras Colusa Contra Costa Del Norte	140 100 135,970 50 650 420	Non-bearing 50 46,910 50	Bearing	Non- bearing	Bearing 6,000	Non- bearing
Alpine Amador Butte Calaveras Colusa Contra Costa	100 135,970 50 650	46,910			6,000	
Amador	135,970 50 650	46,910				350
Contra Costa			10	10	300 67,985 1,500	100 23,455 1,500
		50	1,160		100 8,500	1,500
El Dorado	17,400	70 350	105,000	650	820 26,500	100 1,200
GlennHumboldt	500	300	400	900		
Imperial Inyo Kern	4,260 7,518	1,680 1,193	12,100	146	1,512	298
KingsLake		1,000	15,670	*****	340 504	326
Lassen Los Angeles Madera	40 2,749 2,743	1,407	11,293	4,239	4,641 2,465	1,304
Marin Mariposa	10	25	40	20	200	
Mendocino Merced Modoc	35 5,990	10 400	12,000	240	3,000 2,690	2,500 360
Mono Monterey Napa	50		~~~~~~~	*	400 27,600	11,400
Nevada Orange	80 425	40 60	~~~~~~~		190	30
PlacerPlumas	1,566	84			3,648	109
Riverside Sacramento San Benito	$\begin{array}{c} 3,279 \\ 12,500 \\ 44 \end{array}$	353 1,000	648	265	939 6,000 760	537 700 535
San Bernardino San Diego	2,104 1,500	747	7,960 7,150	1,610	17,851	4,084
San Francisco San Joaquin San Luis Obispo	41,490 220	1,497 150			30,937 475	750 70
San Mateo Santa Barbara Santa Clara	65	40	20 M.	**************************************	80 12,560	50 675
Santa CruzShasta	· 120 · 60	40	40	30	172 170	100
Sierra Siskiyou Solano	8,000				5,100	
SonomaStanislaus	6,339	644	6,216	2,644	15,880 7,771	240 211
Sutter Tehama Trinity	70 350		7,374 1,000	160 575	126 600	34
TulareTuolumne	7,500 1,300	2,450	35,000		3,000 10,000	3,800
Ventura Yolo Yuba	1,250 331		3,825 1,730	356	2,250 153	292



SCHEDULE I.

Number of Acres Sown in Crops, 1926.

		i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					
Counties	Wheat	Onts	Barley	Corn	Hay	Rye	Cotton
AlamedaAlpine	7,000 280	5,000 45	12,500	2,500	40,000 920		
Amador	4,000	3,500	4,550	320			
Butte	29,600	1,600	15,500	200	32,500	200	
Calaveras	2,500	1,000	1,500	50	5,000	200	
Colusa	20,000	400	110,000	3,000	10,000		800
Contra Costa	9,750 45	7,110	48,000 100	5,200	$29,500 \\ 2,000$		
Del Norte El Dorado	500	$\frac{215}{1,000}$	400	100	4,000	350	كيڤياك د درد د د
Fresno	25,000	3,000	25,000	3,500			16,000
Glenn	25,000	2,000	55,000	3,500			2,000
Humboldt		2,000	1,500	100	4,000		
Imperial	6,730	1,714	56,501	20,582			35,304
Inyo Kern	7,650		1,915	4,400	19,950		35,000
Kings	20,000		23,000				
Lake	1,870	450	2,000	325	5,200		
Lassen	24,000	1,900	9,000		45,000	4,000	
Los Angeles	281	3,200	3,075	163	2,205		
Madera	42,767	905	46,757	620	340	220	14,000
Marin	50	15,000	150	100			
Mariposa Mendocino	$\frac{1,500}{2,000}$	$\frac{100}{2,500}$	$1,500 \\ 500$	150 700	$7,000 \\ 12,500$	20 40	
Merced	4,200	3,200	26,600	9,960	8,100	2,200	12,155
Modoc	7,200	420	4,500		58,000	6,700	
Mono					1,625		الأفاعية والأعطاء
Monterey	13,400	4,200	35,700	500	15,750		
Napa	3,000	6,700	5,300	1,800	26,000		
NevadaOrange	$\frac{215}{14,000}$	380 1,600	$\frac{210}{18,000}$	30 400	3,100 6,000	50	
	10.000	2.640	#00		,		
PlacerPlumas	12,600 1,280	3,640 4,340	720 210			325	
Riverside	37,046			747	41,686	020	21,250
Sacramento	55,000	14,000	28,000	1,200	20,000		
San Benito	2,000	700	3,200		5,300		
San Bernardino				3,000	19,000		
San Diego	18,500	7,400	38,000	6,200	47,800		
San Francisco San Joaquin	125,000	10,000	140,000	16,000	70000	200	iō
San Luis Obispo	155,000	2,150	57,500	300	10,000 75,000	100	10
San Mateo	150	1,800	1,000	30	6,000		
Santa Barbara	2.000	7,200	17,900	50	27,200		
Santa Clara	2,250	7,200 3,890	19,075	250	33.525		
Santa Cruz				160	3,905		
Shasta	17,000	3,500	2,200	1,000	20,000	200	
Sierra	1,500	200	200	~.~~~~	3,000	300	
Siskiyou.	15,840	$2,\!170 \\ 12,\!500$	8,920	200	18,420	4,160	
Solano	$\substack{125,000 \\ 1,550}$	1,060	157,000	900 * 220	40,000 55,270		
Stanislaus	4,777	4,202	1,520 38,560	2,071	455	10	277
Sutter	48,859	2,818	48,343		2,161		
Tehama	37,500	1,000	65,000	1,000	50,000		300
Trinity	3,000	1,800	300	300	4,500		
Tulare	10,500	2,000	10,000	6,000	8,000		10,000
Tuolumne	800	1,200	3,000		~	~~~~~~~	
Ventura	20 100		340 400				
Yolo Yuba	23,120 8,118	6.500	$\begin{array}{c c} 110,400 \\ 12,405 \end{array}$	84	4,474		
	ا این فریقه وی	0,009 1	i GUE, ax	(39): 1	7,27%		

SCHEDULE I—Continued. Number of Acres Sown in Crops, 1926.

Alpine	Counties	Hops	Rice	Po- tatoes	Onions	Beans	Peas	Aspara- gus	To- matoes
Amador 36,500 125 115 50 10 10 10 10 10				5,500	500	800	1,000	400	3,500
Butte				50					
Colusa			36,500		115				
Contra Costa	Calaveras	10				50	10		20
Contra Costa	Colusa		36.000	300	50	3.000			
Diet Nortée 150	Contra Costa			6,750				1,430	1,750
Fresno. 640 100 50 320 Glenn 32,000 150 150 150 Imperial 500 150 276 2,339 1,679 1, 1679 1	Del Norte								
Glenn	El Dorado						1		15
Humboldt				640	100	50		320	50
Imperial			32,000			150			600
Inyo				500		====			
Kern	Imperial				190	276	2,339	1,679	1,402
Lake				2,200	300				
Lake 130	P1		2						
Lassen		130		100		200			
Los Angeles	Assen	130			150	200			
Marin 200 10 200 1,500 Mariposa 300 10 25 1,500 Merdocino 500 550 550 5 40 10 Mordoc 2,230 8,200 310 7,070 120 50 Mono 2,2100 80 11,400 3,500 50 Morey 2,100 80 11,400 3,500 50 Nevada 10,500 200 200 24,000 20 Nevada 235 50 50 50 50 Orange 1,100 24,000 24,000 24,000 20 Plumas 52 1,152 666 666 3 Riverside 1,775 1,152 666 666 3 San Benito 40 500 80 2,100 18,000 14,000 San Benito 1,550 150 500 50 11,500 13,500 14,500 13,500 14,500 14,500 14,500 14,500 14,500 14,500 14	Los Angeles			128		281		15	134
Mariposa 300 10 5 40 10 Merced 2,230 8,200 310 7,070 120 50 Modoe 2,230 8,200 310 7,070 120 50 6 Montered 2,100 80 11,400 3,500 50	Madera			200	10				50
Mariposa 300 10 5 40 10 Merced 2,230 8,200 310 7,070 120 50 Modoe 2,230 8,200 310 7,070 120 50 6 Montered 2,100 80 11,400 3,500 50	Marin	`		0 945		25	1.500		
Mendocino 500 2,230 8,200 310 7,070 10 50 6 Moreced 2,230 8,200 310 7,070 120 50 6 Mondoe 2,100 80 11,400 3,500 50 3 Monterey 2,100 80 11,400 3,500 50 3 Nevada 235 50 24,000 50 3 3 50 50 3 Nevada 1,500 200 24,000 24,000 24,000 14,000 14,00 3 3 3 14,00 3 3 3 14,00 3 3 3 3 14,00 3 3 3 14,00 3 3 3 14,00 3 3 3 14,00 14,00 3 3 3 14,00 14,00 3 3 3 14,00 14,00 14,00 14,00 14,00 14,00 14,00 14,00	Mariposa				10		1,500	*******	
Merced	Mendocino	500			5	40	10		10
Mono Monterey 2,100 80 11,400 3,500 50 10,500 200 200 24,000 200 24,000 24			2,230	8,200	310	7,070	120	50	910
Monterey 2,100 80 11,400 3,500 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	viodoc			~~					
Napa	Mono								
Nevada 1,100 24,000 24						11,400	3,500	50	200
Placer				10,500	200				
Plumas									
Plumas	Plagor								
1,775	Plumas	~		52					
Sar Amento	Riverside			1,775	1,152	666			459
San Bernardino	acramento	1,400		500	800	2,100		18,000	14,000
San Diego	San Benito			40					1,800
San Diego	San Bernardino			1.550	150	500			550
San Joaquin 200	an Diego					11,500			
San Luis Obispo 450 125 11,500 1,550 San Mateo 650 20 250 500 Santa Barbara 475 2,565 200 4,500 Santa Clara 475 2,565 200 4,500 Shasta 50 600 15 175 Sierra 100 Siskiyou Sonoma 2,560 9,833 343 Stanislaus 58 50 9,833 343 Sutter 20 Yehama 600 250 50 1,000 Yenity 450 20 Yulare 100 100 150 50 Scolumne 25 12 8 5	an Francisco						655-	- 200 000	
San Mateo 650 20 250 500 4500 20150 4500 7.55 2565 200 4.500 7.55 2565 200 7.55 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 2565 200 7.55 200 7.55 2565 200 7.5	San Luis Ohisno	200	~~~~					20,000	7,000
Santa Barbara 50 200 29,150 4,500 7,5 Santa Clara 475 2,565 200 4,500 7,5 Santa Cruz 50 480 260 320 320 7,5 Shasta 50 600 15 175 8,000 2,6 Siskiyou 100 7,250 8,000 2,0 Sonoma 2,560 9,833 343 343 Sutter 20 1,000 20 Prinity 450 20 20 Pulare 100 100 150 50 Sudumne 25 12 8 5					1	11,000	j		
Santa Clara							500		400
Santa Cruz	lonta Claro						77.500		7,365
Shasta	Santa Cruz	50			2,000		320		40
Siskiyou	shasta								80
Siskiyou 7,250 8,000 2,60 Solano 9,833 343 343 Stanislaus 58 50 9,833 343 Sutter 250 50 1,000 1,000 Prinity 450 20 20 Fulare 100 100 150 50 Fuolumne 25 12 8 5	liarra			100		j			
Solano	Siskiyou			100					
Stanislaus 58 50 9,833 343 Sutter 250 50 1,000 Prinity 450 20 Pulare 100 100 150 50 Sudleme 25 12 8 5	Solano					7,250		8,000	2,000
Sutter 250 50 1,000 250 Prinity 450 20 Pulare 100 100 150 50 Puolumne 25 12 8 5		2,560							120
Cehama	tanislaus		58	50		9,833	343		276
Trinity 450 20 20 100 150 50 100 100 150 50 100 100 100									
Pulare 100 100 150 50 100 100 100 150 50 100 10	'ehama	600		250	50				200
Coolumne	rinity.								
Ventura 49.200							a0		100 15
entura 49,200 49,200				0.0	12			9	10
	entura	200	91.000		1 600	49,200	1.665		
	(nba			∡,400	1,000		1,500	0,000	

SCHEDULE I-Continued.

Number of Acres Sown in Crops, 1926.

Counties	Straw- berries	Other berries	Canta- loupes	Other melons	Sugar beets	Arti- chokes	Standing alfalfa
\lameda	450	450	5	10	4,500	10	13,50
Alpine							40
Amador			70				1,20
Butte	40 5	50 10	70 4	150 10			9,450 20
Calaveras	υ	10	- 1	10			20
Colusa			200	100			10,00
Contra Costa							4,20
Del Norte							
El Dorado	78	100	5	10		*****	68
Fresno	800	100	100	100			120,00
~11		150	50	150			90.00
Glenn Humboldt		150	ĐŪ	150			30,00 3,50
	408		33,552	6,786		~~~~~~	126,44
Imperial Inyo	400	~	00,002	0,100			
Kern	20	20	1,000	900			30,80
LLCIMILLLIAN			.,			,	
Kings							20,22 2,64 25,00
Lake							2,64
Lassen				35	100	والواد والمادوات	25,00
Los Angeles	13	44	60	. 35	164		2,93 12,76
Madera	25	20	200	200			12,70
Marin				G.	400	100	1. V.M. X.
Marin Mariposa	ī		3	~~~~~~	400	100	77774
Mendocino	25	15	50	100			2.00
Merced	$2\overline{5} \\ 118$	86	680	1,920			42.12
Modoc							17,32
						1	
Mono							3,00
Monterey	150	200			11,500	3,500	24,50
Napa			30				4,50 4
Nevada	75	150	30		3,500		3,50
Orange	10	190			3,500		0,00
Placer							64
Plumas							5,44
Riverside	30	47	259	723	140	الأوترسيانيات	30,36
Sacramento	850	300	140	250	600		18,00
San Benito	30	15			100		2,45
				4.50	2.20		20.00
an Bernardino			250	450	350		20,00 6,50
an Diego					~~~~~		0,00
San Francisco	1,000	900	1.200	1,000	2,000		100,00
San Luis Obispo	25	20	1,200	1,000	1.850	330	3,75
MA LIMB ODISPOLL.			-		-,		
an Mateo	400	75				500	20
anta Barbara	45		25		12,430	10	9,01
Santa Clara	760	575			6,850		5,95
anta Cruz	757	132			306	3,000	5
hasta	100			130			13,55
liama	ļ	i	ļ				80
Sierra				~~~~~			29,00
olano							
onoma	210	1,020					55
tanislaus			1,010	2,778			47,66
				, , ,		- 1	
lutter							3,36
ehama	50	50	50	75			5,00
'rinity							3,50
ulare	100	150	400	500			32,00
uolumne	50	25					- 30
Tumbuma	l	i	1		8,400	- 4	94
Ventura	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~				2,900		44,12
Cuba					-,500		- 750
. 141/16							
1	,	. 1					

Digitized by Google