

2008

Comparative Phylogeography of Two Seastars and Their Ectosymbionts Within the Coral Triangle

Eric D. Crandall

California State University, Monterey Bay, ecrandall@csumb.edu

Mary Jones

Martha Munoz

Bolanle Akinronbi

Mark Erdmann

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.csumb.edu/sns_fac

Recommended Citation

Crandall, E. D., Jones, M. E., Munoz, M. M., Akinronbi, B., Erdmann, M. V. and Barber, P. H. (2008), Comparative phylogeography of two seastars and their ectosymbionts within the Coral Triangle. *Molecular Ecology*, 17: 5276–5290. doi:10.1111/j.1365-294X.2008.03995.x

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the School of Natural Sciences at Digital Commons @ CSUMB. It has been accepted for inclusion in School of Natural Sciences Faculty Publications and Presentations by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ CSUMB. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@csumb.edu.

Authors

Eric D. Crandall, Mary Jones, Martha Munoz, Bolanle Akinronbi, Mark Erdmann, and Paul Barber

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

Comparative phylogeography of two seastars and their ectosymbionts within the Coral Triangle

Journal:	<i>Molecular Ecology</i>
Manuscript ID:	MEC-08-0681.R1
Manuscript Type:	Original Article
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Crandall, Eric; Old Dominion University, Biological Sciences Jones, Mary; Boston University, Biology Munoz, Martha; Boston University, Biology Akinronbi, Bolanle; Rutgers University Erdmann, Mark; Conservation International, Indonesia Marine Program Barber, Paul; University of California Los Angeles, Ecology and Evolutionary Biology
Keywords:	Crustaceans, Echinoderms, Molluscs, Phylogeography, Population Dynamics, Population Genetics - Empirical

1
2
3
4 1 **Comparative phylogeography of two seastars and their ectosymbionts within the**
5
6 2 **Coral Triangle**

7
8
9 3 ERIC D. CRANDALL^{1*}, M. ELIZABETH JONES¹, MARTHA M. MUÑOZ¹, BOLANLE AKINROBE², MARK V.
10
11 4 ERDMANN³ AND PAUL H. BARBER^{1,5}

12
13
14 5 ¹ Boston University Marine Program, Department of Biology, 5 Cummington St., Boston, MA 02215

15
16 6 ² Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey, Piscataway, NJ 08846

17
18 7 ³ Conservation International, Indonesia Marine Program Jl. Dr. Muwardi No. 17 Bali, Indonesia

19
20 8 ⁴Present address: Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Los Angeles, 621
21
22 9 Charles E. Young Drive South, Los Angeles, CA 90095

23
24
25
26
27
28 12 Keywords: *Linckia*, *Thyca*, *Protoreaster*, *Periclimenes*, mtDNA, phylogeography, demography, Indo-
29
30 13 Pacific, symbiont, host-parasite, Coral Triangle

31
32
33 14 Running Title: Phylogeography of seastars and ectosymbionts

34
35
36
37 16 * Corresponding Author: Eric D. Crandall

38
39
40 17 Department of Biological Sciences

41
42 18 Old Dominion University

43
44 19 Norfolk, VA 23529

45
46 20 USA

47
48
49 21 ecrandal@odu.edu

ABSTRACT

1
2
3
4
5 1
6
7 2 Repeated exposure and flooding of the Sunda and Sahul shelves during Pleistocene sea level
8
9 3 fluctuations is thought to have contributed to the isolation and diversification of sea basin populations
10
11 4 within the Coral Triangle. This hypothesis has been tested in numerous phylogeographic studies,
12
13
14 5 recovering an assortment of genetic patterns that the authors have generally attributed to differences in
15
16 6 larval dispersal capability or adult habitat specificity. This study compares phylogeographic patterns
17
18 7 from mitochondrial COI sequences among two co-distributed seastars that differ in their adult habitat
19
20
21 8 and dispersal ability, and two seastar ectosymbionts that differ in their degree of host specificity. Of
22
23 9 these, only the seastar *Linckia laevigata* displayed a classic pattern of Indian-Pacific divergence, but
24
25
26 10 with only moderate genetic structure ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.067$). In contrast, the seastar *Protoreaster nodosus*
27
28 11 exhibited strong structure ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.23$) between Teluk Cenderawasih and the remainder of Indonesia, a
29
30
31 12 pattern of regional structure that was echoed in *L. laevigata* ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.03$) as well as its obligate
32
33 13 gastropod parasite *Thyca crystallina* ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.04$). The generalist commensal shrimp, *Periclimenes*
34
35 14 *soror* showed little genetic structuring across the Coral Triangle. Despite species-specific
36
37 15 phylogeographic patterns, all four species showed departures from neutrality that are consistent with
38
39
40 16 massive range expansions onto the continental shelves as sea levels rose, and date within the
41
42 17 Pleistocene epoch. Our results suggest that habitat differences may affect the manner in which species
43
44
45 18 responded to Pleistocene sea level fluctuations, shaping contemporary patterns of genetic structure and
46
47 19 diversity.
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

Comparative phylogeography is an important tool for evaluating the effects of shared historical biogeographic processes in driving the evolution and regional distribution of biodiversity (Avice 2000; Bermingham & Moritz 1998). Concordant phylogeographic patterns across multiple co-distributed species strongly suggest that the patterns arose from the action of a shared physical process (Arbogast & Kenagy 2001; Schneider *et al.* 1998; Walker & Avice 1998). Conversely, discordant patterns can downplay the importance of shared historical processes, highlighting the role of unique colonization events or refugial habitats (Carstens *et al.* 2005; Taberlet *et al.* 1998; Wares & Cunningham 2001), differences in dispersal characteristics (Dawson *et al.* 2002), or species-specific ecological requirements (e.g. congeners Reid *et al.* 2006) in driving individual phylogeographic patterns.

Similarly, phylogeographic comparisons among taxa with strong symbiotic interactions such as mutualists (DeChaine & Martin 2006; Thompson *et al.* 2005), commensals (Obst *et al.* 2005; Richards *et al.* 2007), and host-parasite systems (Criscione & Blouin 2007; Nieberding *et al.* 2004) generates, *a priori*, an hypothesis of common history (reviewed in Nieberding & Olivieri 2007; Whiteman & Parker 2005). While this expectation of phylogeographic congruence is particularly true for vertically-transmitted symbionts (Funk *et al.* 2000; LaJeunesse *et al.* 2004), it also holds for symbionts with a free-living stage in their life history (Nieberding *et al.* 2004; Thompson *et al.* 2005), and even for those with an intermediate host (Criscione & Blouin 2007). Departures from this expectation highlight ecological differences that may influence the co-evolution of the host and parasite.

In addition to having the highest levels of marine biodiversity in the world (Carpenter & Springer 2005; Roberts *et al.* 2002) the Coral Triangle has a complex geological history (Hall 2002), creating a dynamic evolutionary environment for marine taxa distributed across the region. In particular, sea level fluctuations of up to 130m repeatedly exposed the Sunda and Sahul continental shelves during the Pliocene and Pleistocene (Pillans *et al.* 1998; Voris 2000), resulting in a vicariant barrier that has been hypothesized to have caused genetic divergence between Indian and Pacific Ocean populations of many marine taxa (e.g. Benzie *et al.* 2002; Duda & Palumbi 1999b; Lavery *et al.* 1996; Vogler *et al.* 2008). However, species from this region that have been studied in a phylogeographic context show a variety of genetic patterns. Patterns from single species studies range from regional mosaics of strongly divergent clades (e.g. Barber *et al.* 2002b; Meyer *et al.* 2005) to limited or no evidence of structure (e.g. Bowen *et al.* 2001; see Crandall *et al.* 2008; Williams *et al.* 2002 for review). Similarly, the small number of comparative phylogeographic studies in this region (Barber *et al.* 2006; Crandall *et al.* 2008; Lourie *et al.* 2005; Reid *et al.* 2006) have often found discordant patterns of genetic structure among closely related species, which are generally ascribed to differences in larval dispersal potential or adult ecology. However, a complicating factor in these studies is that while species may be co-distributed, they may not experience the same environmental parameters during sea level fluctuations. An ideal test of the effects of Plio-Pleistocene sea level fluctuations on phylogeographic patterns would include host-parasite or host-commensal pairs, ensuring that species have experienced the same environment.

Linckia laevigata (Valvatida: Ophidiasteridae) is a common seastar found in shallow waters throughout most of the Indo-Pacific. A classic example of Pacific-Indian Ocean vicariance (Williams & Benzie 1993; Williams & Benzie 1997; Williams & Benzie 1998; Williams *et al.* 2002), *L. laevigata*

1
2
3
4 1 populations are predominantly blue in the Pacific and predominantly orange in the Indian Ocean.
5 2 Nothing is known about patterns of genetic structure within the Indonesian Archipelago, the transition
6 3 zone between these ocean basins.
7
8

9 4 The seastar *Protoreaster nodosus* (Valvatida: Oreasteridae) is co-distributed with *L. laevigata*,
10 5 though with a more restricted range (Table 1). Although commonly found in the same reef systems, *P.*
11 6 *nodosus* is found more on sandy substrates, often in shallow back-reef seagrass meadows, while *L.*
12 7 *laevigata* is most common in the coral environs of the fore reef. The two species also differ in several
13 8 larval characteristics: *P. nodosus* spawns larger eggs (~200 µm in diameter vs. ~140 µm in *L.*
14 9 *laevigata*), which develop into larvae that remain in the water column for half as long (10-14 days) as
15 10 *L. laevigata* (22-28 days). *P. nodosus* larvae also exhibit strong positive geotaxis that might keep them
16 11 out of surface currents (Table 1, Yamaguchi 1973; Yamaguchi 1977) while *L. laevigata* stay high in the
17 12 water column (Yamaguchi 1973). With seemingly more limited dispersal potential, it is expected that
18 13 *P. nodosus* should exhibit more pronounced genetic structure across the Coral Triangle than *L.*
19 14 *laevigata*.
20
21
22

23 15 The snail *Thyca crystallina* (Sorbeoconcha: Eulimidae) and shrimp *Periclimenes soror*
24 16 (Decapoda: Pontoniinae) are ectosymbionts found on the oral surfaces of one or both of the above
25 17 seastars. *T. crystallina* is an obligate parasite of *Linckia* spp. that fuses permanently to its host and
26 18 feeds on the hemal and perihemal fluids (Egloff *et al.* 1988). Infection rates in a *Linckia* subpopulation
27 19 can range from complete absence to over 60%, and infected seastars typically have 1-5 *T. crystallina*
28 20 (Elder 1979, and personal observation). Although larval duration is not known for this species, the
29 21 veliger larvae have multi-spiral protoconchs (Warén 1980), which are diagnostic of planktotrophy in
30 22 gastropods (Jablonski & Lutz 1983), suggesting a relatively long period in the plankton.
31
32
33

34 23 Unlike *Thyca crystallina*, which parasitizes only *Linckia* species, *Periclimenes soror* is a
35 24 symbiont found on more than 25 species of seastar throughout the tropical Pacific Ocean, although the
36 25 exact relationship with their host is undetermined (Bruce 1976, AJ Bruce, personal communication).
37 26 This species is found on both *P. nodosus* and *L. laevigata* (approximately 20% of seastars with 1-5
38 27 shrimp/seastar), where they match the color of their hosts. Reproduction presumably occurs between
39 28 shrimp on the same host, and planktotrophic zoeae are released into the water column (Wear 1976)
40 29 although the larval duration of this species is unknown. The generalist habit of *P. soror* should reduce
41 30 the amount of genetic structure measured across the Coral Triangle relative to the more host-specific *T.*
42 31 *crystallina*.
43
44

45 32 As discussed above, evidence for vicariance due to sea level fluctuations within the Coral
46 33 Triangle is mixed, and a species' susceptibility to population fragmentation in this region may depend
47 34 on the ecology of the adult, or the dispersal characteristics of the larval stage (Reid *et al.* 2006;
48 35 Crandall *et al.* 2008). Although the long-distance dispersal capabilities of marine larvae can diffuse the
49 36 signal of shared vicariance in the ocean (Bay *et al.* 2006; Rocha *et al.* 2007), the strong ecological ties
50 37 between hosts and symbionts in this study should result in similar patterns of genetic structure among
51 38 these species. Furthermore, we would expect to see an excess of recent mutations in all four species
52 39 resulting from range expansions as shelf habitat re-flooded. To test these hypotheses, we compared
53 40 phylogeographic patterns and demographic history reconstructed from mitochondrial COI sequences
54 41 for samples of all four taxa collected across the Coral Triangle.
55
56
57
58
59
60

Materials and Methods

2 Sampling and Sequencing

We collected a small piece (~2 cm) of arm tissue from *Linckia laevigata* (n=504, 24 localities) and *Protoreaster nodosus* (n=320, 22 localities) populations from across Indonesia and preserved them in 95% ethanol (Fig. 1). Because of the possibility that sympatric populations of blue and orange color morphs in *L. laevigata* may be genetically structured (Williams & Benzie 1998), we opted to take samples only from slate blue and royal blue morphs. *Thyca crystallina* (n=289, 24 localities) and *Periclimenes soror* (n=297, 25 localities) were collected from the same localities when present. Samples of *P. soror* from different host seastars (mostly *Linckia*, *Protoreaster* and *Culcita* spp.) were kept separated due to the possibility of local host adaptation (Sotka 2005).

We extracted DNA from tube feet or muscle tissues using a 10% Chelex™ (Biorad) solution (Walsh *et al.* 1991). For all species except *P. nodosus* we amplified a 658-bp region of the mitochondrial cytochrome oxidase subunit-I gene (COI) using primers HC0-2198 and LCO-1490 (Folmer *et al.* 1994) and previously published PCR protocols (Barber *et al.* 2006). For *P. nodosus* we constructed primers tRNAasn42F (5'- AACGGCCAATYGCCTTTCCATTAGG-3') and ValvaCOI-770R (5'- TATACYTCKGGGTGGCCAAAGAATC-3') from an alignment of mitochondrial sequences for the order Valvatida (Hart *et al.* 1997; Waters *et al.* 2004; Williams 2000). These primers amplify an 866-bp region including the region amplified by the Folmer primers and extend in the 5' direction to encompass a portion of the Asparagine tRNA region. PCR reaction mixture was the same as for the other species, and cycling parameters for these primers were: initial denaturation 94°C (2 min.), main cycle 94°C (30s), 60°C (30s) and 72°C (60s) for 39 cycles, then a final extension of 72°C (3 min.). We cleaned 5µl of PCR products with 0.5 units of Shrimp Alkaline Phosphatase (Biotech Pharmacon) and 5 units of Exonuclease I (GE Healthcare), and incubated them at 37°C for 30 minutes and 80°C for 15 minutes. We sequenced forward and reverse directions of double-stranded PCR products with Big Dye™3.1 (Applied Biosystems Inc.) terminator chemistry on an ABI 377 sequencer. Chromatograms were assembled, aligned and proofread in Sequencher™4.5, and amino acid translations confirmed using MacClade 4.05 (Maddison & Maddison 2002).

29 Data Analysis

We investigated the relationship and geographic distributions of individual haplotypes through several methods. First, we constructed minimum spanning trees based on pairwise differences in Arlequin 3.1 (Excoffier *et al.* 2005) and drew them in Adobe Illustrator™. To depict genetic structure in the two seastars in a geographic context, we summarized the frequencies of haplotype clusters that diverged by five or more mutational steps in *L. laevigata* and plotted these onto a map of the study region (Fig. 4). Because of limited genetic variation in *P. nodosus* we lowered this arbitrary threshold to two steps for this species.

To examine patterns of genetic structure in each species we used Arlequin to calculate pairwise Φ_{ST} between sampling localities, and between samples from different host species for *P. soror*. To

1
2
3
4
5 1 improve statistical power, and because sampling localities differed slightly between species, we
6 2 grouped localities that showed no significant pairwise structure for any species ($p > 0.10$ from 10,000
7 3 random permutations of haplotype distribution) into regional sites that could be compared across
8 4 species (Fig. 1). We then estimated pairwise Φ_{ST} and net divergence, d_A (Nei & Li 1979) for these new
9 5 groupings, with p-values determined by 10,000 random permutations of the data and significance
10 6 determined for a false discovery rate of 0.05 (Benjamini & Hochberg 1995) using Pairwise Multiple
11 7 Tests 1.0 (Watkins 2005). We also estimated standard genetic diversity indices within each site
12 8 (Appendix I, Table 2). Because admixture of divergent Pacific and Indian Ocean clades could give
13 9 misleading results in *L. laevigata*, pairwise Φ_{ST} analyses were also run using only Clade A (Fig. 2a), as
14 10 well as with standard F_{ST} measures that ignore genetic distance between haplotypes.
15
16

17 11 Regional genetic structure was then examined using AMOVA as implemented in Arlequin. We
18 12 tested the hypothesis of Pleistocene vicariance by imposing a structure consisting of sites that border
19 13 the Indian Ocean (sites 1-7, Fig. 1) and Pacific Ocean sites (sites 8-18, Fig. 1). We also tested an
20 14 alternative hypothesis of vicariance, that compared western Indonesian populations (sites 1 & 2) to the
21 15 remaining populations, following patterns previously observed in *Linckia laevigata* and the crown-of-
22 16 thorns seastar, *Acanthaster planci* (Benzie 1999), and from patterns observed in the pairwise Φ_{ST}
23 17 values.
24
25

26 18 We conducted further AMOVA analyses that focused on divergence between Teluk
27 19 Cenderawasih and the rest of the Coral Triangle, following the observation of multiple significant
28 20 pairwise Φ_{ST} values in multiple species and the distinct phylogeographic pattern exhibited by
29 21 *Protoreaster nodosus* in this region. As above, to account for the effects of admixture of Pacific and
30 22 Indian Ocean clades, AMOVA analyses for *L. laevigata* were run using all data and Clade A only. We
31 23 did not run AMOVA with standard F_{ST} because high haplotype diversity within sites can greatly reduce
32 24 the maximum value of this statistic (Hedrick 2005). Significance of AMOVA analyses was tested using
33 25 10,000 randomized replicates.
34
35
36

37 26 To compare demographic histories of mtDNA associated with each species, we calculated Fu's
38 27 F_s (Fu 1997) to test each site for departures from the neutral model due to positive selection,
39 28 background selection or population growth. We also estimated a Bayesian skyline plot for each species
40 29 using BEAST v.1.4.3 (Drummond 2003; Drummond *et al.* 2002) that estimates effective population
41 30 size scaled by generation length ($N_e\tau$), based on departures from coalescent expectations for a neutral
42 31 model over a series of discrete time intervals (Drummond *et al.* 2005) running back to the T_{MRCA} of the
43 32 sample. Due to computational limits on large datasets, we constructed trimmed datasets of sequences
44 33 for each species comprising 100 randomly selected sequences. We excluded two sequences from the
45 34 divergent clade in *T. crystallina*, which likely immigrated from a divergent population. Each sub-
46 35 sampled dataset was run twice for 50 million steps under an HKY+I+G model of mutation and a
47 36 stepwise skyline model with five separate time intervals and uniform priors. We inspected logfiles and
48 37 treefiles from replicate runs for convergence in Tracer and then combined them using LogCombiner,
49 38 and generated a skyline plot in Tracer 1.4 (Rambaut & Drummond 2007).
50
51
52

53 39 To convert BEAST estimates into more intuitive units of time and effective population size, we
54 40 used a lineage mutation rate of 0.5% per million years as a heuristic (corresponding to a divergence rate
55 41 of 1% per million years). This heuristic was used because divergence rates are not well known for taxa
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 1 in this study, but likely differ among taxa (ranging from 0.7% - 1.59% in mollusks, crustaceans and
5 2 echinoderms Hickerson *et al.* 2006; Knowlton & Weigt 1998; Marko 2002), and may be much faster
6 3 over the relatively recent timescales in question (Ho *et al.* 2005). Our use of this heuristic value does
7 4 not reflect an acceptance of equal mutation rates among the studied taxa. Because we were interested in
8 5 whether expansion events occurred within the Pleistocene, the use of this conservative divergence rate
9 6 ensures that ages are consistently overestimated.

7 *Results*

8 We obtained sequence data from mitochondrial COI for 1,419 individuals from all four species.
9 All sequence data aligned without indels and translated without stop codons.

10 Sequence data from 504 *Linckia laevigata* yielded 250 unique haplotypes ($h = 0.983$, $\pi = 0.013$)
11 that were partitioned into three clades separated by five or more steps (A, B, C; Fig. 2a). Clade A
12 corresponds to the Indian Ocean clade recovered by Williams (2000) and Clades B and C are subsets of
13 her Pacific Ocean clade. Clade A has its highest frequency in Western Indonesia, while Clades B and C
14 have a higher frequency in Eastern Indonesia (Fig. 4a).

15 Data from 320 *Protoreaster nodosus* yielded only 87 haplotypes ($h = 0.773$, $\pi = 0.003$) that
16 grouped into three star-like clusters, each separated by two steps; there were no phylogenetic
17 divergences greater than three steps (Fig. 2b). The haplotype at the center of Cluster A was shared by
18 150 individuals and was distributed throughout the range of the species, although at lower frequency in
19 Teluk Cenderawasih (sites 14-17). Of 20 total non-synonymous substitutions, one occurs along the
20 branch leading to the second most common haplotype, and defines haplotype cluster C, which is found
21 with highest frequency in the region of Teluk Cenderawasih (Fig. 4b).

22 Sequences from 289 *Thyca crystallina* contained 93 unique haplotypes ($h = 0.958$, $\pi = 0.006$).
23 The minimum spanning tree contained five star-like polytomies (Fig. 3a). Two individuals, from Sebesi
24 and Raja Ampat (sites 3 and 13), had haplotypes that were seven steps divergent from other Indonesian
25 samples, and were closely related to *T. crystallina* haplotypes sequenced from Fiji (E. Crandall,
26 unpublished data).

27 We recovered 175 unique haplotypes from 297 COI sequences of *Periclimenes soror* ($h =$
28 0.972 , $\pi = 0.007$). These sequences showed no evidence of phylogenetic divergence, with five major
29 “star” polytomies separated by one step, but several tip haplotypes separated by four or five mutational
30 steps (Fig. 3b). We found no evidence of genetic divergence or structuring between shrimp taken from
31 any of the three host seastars. However, eleven individuals taken from *P. nodosus* in Raja Ampat (site
32 13) and nine taken from Anak Krakatau (site 2, host unrecorded, but no *P. nodosus* were found at this
33 locality), fell into a second, highly divergent clade (~17% uncorrected p-distance, with 10 non-
34 synonymous substitutions). These individuals were not morphologically distinguishable from other *P.*
35 *soror* (A.J. Bruce, personal communication), but are likely a cryptic species. These divergent
36 haplotypes were excluded from subsequent phylogeographic analyses.

1 Genetic structure

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Pairwise Φ_{ST} values for *Linckia laevigata* indicated genetic structure between sites in Aceh and Krakatau and the rest of Indonesia (Table S1). Much of this structure remained significant when Clades B and C were removed from the analysis (Table S2). Under both analyses, there was significant genetic variation partitioned between the islands of Krakatau (site 2) and the islands of Sebesi, Sebuk and Sangiang (site 3). In *P. nodosus*, we found sites in mainland Teluk Cenderawasih, Numfor, and Sabolo Kecil were significantly structured with other sites (Table S3). Due to a high frequency of haplotypes from Cluster C, the site at Sabolo Kecil was strongly structured with its neighboring sites in Southern Indonesia ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.21$) despite only 13 km of separation from the nearest locality in its neighboring site. Neither *Thyca crystallina* nor *Periclimenes soror* showed significant pairwise structure after correction for type I errors. Tables of pairwise Φ_{ST} and d_A values can be found in the Supplementary Material.

We found limited evidence of genetic structure between Indian and Pacific Ocean as defined in the original AMOVA analysis. *Linckia laevigata* exhibited weak structure ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.024$, $p < 0.05$; Table 3), but this structure was not significant when only Clade A was included, indicating that it results from admixture of clades. Structure increased when Indian Ocean populations were defined as Aceh and the islands of Krakatau and compared to all other populations to the north and east (Clade A $\Phi_{CT} = 0.067$, $p < 0.01$; Table 3). No other species exhibited any evidence of Indo-Pacific divergence.

The strongest patterns of regional structure were observed in *Protoreaster nodosus*, which showed strong evidence of isolation between Teluk Cenderawasih, an isolated bay in West Papua (sites 14-17), and sites in the remainder of the Coral Triangle ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.23$, $p < 0.01$; Table 3). Because of this result, we tested for isolation in the three remaining species and found significant, but weaker concordant structure in *Linckia laevigata* Clade A in the same location ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.03$, $p < 0.05$; Table 3). This region also contained significant genetic structuring for *Thyca crystallina*, although it was found between localities on the mainland of Teluk Cenderawasih (site 14), and the bay's islands ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.04$, $p < 0.05$; Table 3). *P. soror* had no significant structure in this region.

Demographic History

Fu's F_s was significantly negative at most sites ($p < 0.02$) for all species, indicating departures from neutral expectations for the number of recent mutations (Table 2). F_s values for a few *L. laevigata* sites in eastern Indonesia were not significant, most likely due to the admixture of three divergent clades. Bayesian skyline plots obtained from BEAST revealed exponential growth in effective population size in all four species. Although the timing of these expansions was not concurrent, estimated times for these expansions all fall well within the Pleistocene (0.15 – 0.75 mya), even when considering a very slow substitution rate (Fig. 4). *L. laevigata* commenced growth earliest and at a slower rate than the other species, and is followed in chronological order by *P. soror*, *T. crystallina*, and *P. nodosus*. Two replicate runs for each dataset produced highly similar parameter estimates for each species, and combined effective sample sizes (ESS) were greater than 200.

Discussion

Vicariance during Plio-Pleistocene sea level fluctuations is commonly invoked as a major force in driving genetic divergence between Pacific and Indian Ocean populations (e.g. Barber *et al.* 2000; McMillan & Palumbi 1995; Williams & Benzie 1998). Although the similar distribution of two sea stars and the tight ecological associations with their symbionts/parasites could reasonably lead to the observance of concordant patterns of genetic structure, results instead reveal a complex response to this common environment. All four species retain the genetic imprint of recent demographic expansions dating to the Pleistocene, consistent with a range expansion following periods of lowered sea levels. However, besides *Linckia laevigata*, a species where Pacific-Indian Ocean vicariance has been well established through broad-scale sampling across these basins (Williams & Benzie 1998, Williams *et al.* 2002), none of the other species exhibit a strong signal of Indo-Pacific vicariance within the Coral Triangle.

Instead, phylogeographic patterns vary considerably among these closely associated taxa. Strongest patterns of regional structure were observed in *P. nodosus*, where populations in Teluk Cenderawasih were divergent from the remainder of Indonesian populations. Subtle evidence of structure in this region was also seen in *Linckia laevigata* and *Thyca crystallina*, although Indonesia was largely a region of admixture of Pacific and Indian Ocean clades in *L. laevigata*. *Periclimenes soror* showed no evidence of structure across the Coral Triangle. Results indicate that even when species experience the same environment by virtue of physical association, their overall genetic diversity and structure are strongly affected by their individual ecologies.

Structure of *Linckia laevigata* in the Coral Triangle

Multiple studies have established that Indian and Pacific ocean populations of *Linckia laevigata* were separated at some point in the Pliocene or Pleistocene, likely due to sea-level fluctuations (Williams & Benzie 1998; Williams *et al.* 2002). These results are corroborated by the predominance of blue color morphs in the Pacific and orange color morphs in the Indian Ocean. Although we did not sample orange morphs, individuals previously sampled from Indian Ocean populations all fall within Clade A (Fig. 2a, Williams 2000). Together with its predominance in the west, it seems likely that this clade was the ancestral Indian Ocean clade, while clades B and C may have developed in the Pacific. Extensive mixing of Indian and Pacific mtDNA lineages within the islands of Indonesia contrasts with other species that show sharp genetic breaks between Indian and Pacific Ocean lineages on small spatial scales within the Coral Triangle (Barber *et al.* 2000, Crandall *et al.* 2008).

Admixture between formerly allopatric lineages has been inferred in several Indo-Pacific species (Chenoweth *et al.* 1998; Crandall *et al.* 2008; Perrin & Borsa 2001; Williams *et al.* 2002), a result that can dramatically impact inferences of genetic structure. Although obscured by secondary admixture of Indian and Pacific clades, significant genetic structure is still observed in *L. laevigata* populations in the Sunda Strait and Teluk Cenderawasih excluding all but clade A. For example, if we compare *L. laevigata* from Teluk Cenderawasih to remaining populations, we find no significant structure when all clades are included, but there is significant structure when only clade A is considered ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.03$, $p < 0.05$), highlighting the importance of investigating patterns within individual lineages if admixture is observed.

1
2
3
4
5 1 Similarly, structure in Clade A is observed between Western Indonesia sites in Aceh and
6 2 Krakatau (sites 1 and 2), when compared with sites to the east, echoing the deep vicariance among
7 3 Pacific and Indian Ocean clades (Williams *et al.* 2002). Interestingly, this break occurs between
8 4 Krakatau and sites in Sebuk and Sebesi that are only 10-15 km to the north ($\Phi_{CT} = 0.03$, $p < 0.01$).
9 5 All of these sites were recently re-colonized following the 1883 volcanic explosion of Krakatau that
10 6 sterilized the seafloor for a radius of at 15 kilometers, and covered much of the Sunda Strait with a
11 7 meter-thick blanket of ash (Barber *et al.* 2002a; Carey *et al.* 1996; Mandeville *et al.* 1996). The
12 8 modern genetic affinity of the islands of Krakatau with Aceh suggests that a large proportion of the
13 9 larvae that re-colonized the islands came from Indian Ocean sites, while islands further inside the strait
14 10 were likely resettled from reefs on the Sunda Shelf.
15
16

17
18 11 Given that all orange morphs in Williams (2000) fall out in the Indian Ocean clade (Clade A),
19 12 the exclusion of orange individuals in our sampling likely decreases the Indian Ocean signature in our
20 13 data set. However, orange individuals were extremely rare except at sites 1-3, where they were still
21 14 outnumbered by blue morphs. Given that Clade A was the dominant clade throughout Indonesia,
22 15 despite sampling only blue individuals, it is unlikely that the inclusion of a small number of orange
23 16 individuals from sites 1-3 would affect our results. However, inclusion of orange morphs may have
24 17 increased the relatively weak genetic structure observed between the Indian and Pacific oceans ($\Phi_{CT} =$
25 18 0.02 , $p < 0.05$) by virtue of including more Indian Ocean haplotypes. The recovery of mostly Indian
26 19 Ocean (clade A) haplotypes from blue individuals confirms and supports the notion of Williams (2000)
27 20 that mixing between Indian and Pacific populations has “smudged” the formerly distinct boundaries in
28 21 this species.
29
30

31 22 Structure of *Protoreaster nodosus* in the Coral Triangle

32
33
34 23 While *L. laevigata* exhibits weak genetic structure across the Coral Triangle ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.068$, $p <$
35 24 0.0001 , genetic structure is more pronounced in *P. nodosus* ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.166$, $p < 0.0001$). However, this
36 25 pattern is driven by the high percentage (23%) of variation sequestered between Teluk Cenderawasih
37 26 and the rest of the Coral Triangle (Table 4, Fig. 4b), indicating very little gene flow among these
38 27 regions since the selective sweep or range expansion reflected by its mtDNA genealogy. In addition,
39 28 the site at Sabolo Kecil near Flores had a radically different genetic composition than its neighbor at
40 29 Sebayur, showing that fine scale structure can occur over distances as little as the 13km of coastal
41 30 ocean between these two populations, highlighting the stochasticity in the system.
42
43

44 31 The more pronounced pattern of genetic structure in *P. nodosus* may result from the shorter
45 32 pelagic duration of its larvae as there is some evidence for an inverse relationship between pelagic
46 33 larval duration and genetic structure (but see Bay *et al.* 2006; Bohonak 1999; Bowen *et al.* 2006;
47 34 Shulman & Bermingham 1995). However, *Protoreaster nodosus* shows a much higher degree of
48 35 genetic structure across the Coral Triangle than the abalone *Haliotis asinina*, which has an even shorter
49 36 PLD (4-10 days, Imron *et al.* 2007). This contrast suggests that the observed genetic structure could
50 37 potentially be influenced by larval behavior, such as the positive geotaxis observed in *P. nodosus*
51 38 larvae (Yamaguchi 1977). The few studies that have explicitly considered differences in larval behavior
52 39 have found significantly greater genetic structure in species with larval behaviors that favor local
53 40 retention (Gerlach *et al.* 2007; Riginos & Victor 2001).
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 1 Interestingly, *Protoreaster nodosus* had the most pronounced phylogeographic patterns despite
6 2 having levels of nucleotide diversity approximately five times lower than those in *L. laevigata* (Table
7 3 2), a patterns than may result from habitat differences. *P. nodosus* is a lagoonal species while *L.*
8 4 *laevigata* inhabits the reef, including the reef slope. During lowered sea levels lagoonal habitat would
9 5 be lost, resulting in local extinctions and a loss of genetic diversity. In contrast reef dwelling species
10 6 like *L. laevigata* could simply by migrate down the reef slope (figure 2 in Paulay 1990) and retain
11 7 much more genetic diversity, and thereby a deeper genetic history. Additional support for this
12 8 hypothesis comes from the failure to find any *P. nodosus* along the western shores of Sumatra, a region
13 9 characterized by a steep continental shelf and little back reef or lagoonal environments. Thacker (2004)
14 10 and Fauvelot *et al.* (2003) made similar inferences for lagoonal fish species that showed reduced
15 11 genetic diversity in comparison to species that can survive on the reef slope.

12 Genetic Structure in Ectosymbionts

13
14 Although no significant structure was observed between Pacific and Indian Ocean demes of *T.*
15 14 *crystallina*, a subtle but significant pattern of structure emerges between Teluk Cenderawasih and the
16 15 rest of the Coral Triangle ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.04$, $p < 0.05$). Structure in this region is also observed in clade A of
17 16 its host, *L. laevigata* ($\Phi_{ST} = 0.03$ $p < 0.05$), although the exact boundaries vary slightly. In contrast,
18 17 there was no structure at all observed in *Periclimenes soror*. The only evidence of differentiation in *P.*
19 18 *soror* was the recovery of a highly divergent lineage (17% uncorrected pair-wise divergence) in Raja
20 19 Ampat and on the island of Anak Krakatau. While morphologically indistinguishable from *P. soror*, the
21 20 depth of divergence is much greater than the 2-5% divergence that is found between sister species in
22 21 the Caridean genus *Alpheus* (Mathews *et al.* 2002), suggesting that these divergent sequences are likely
23 22 cryptic species.

24
25 One potential explanation for the unique patterns of the ectosymbionts is the nature of their
26 24 commensalism. While both *T. crystallina* and *P. soror* are obligate symbionts of seastars, *P. soror* is
27 25 much more of a generalist, occurring on more than 25 species of Indo-Pacific Asteroid, while *T.*
28 26 *crystallina* only occurs on species of *Linckia*. The plethora of seastar species that host *P. soror* live in a
29 27 wide variety of habitats ranging from deep sand flats to coral reefs to shallow lagoons. Thus, while
30 28 larvae of *T. crystallina* must find a species of *Linckia* before they can settle and mature, the larvae of *P.*
31 29 *soror* can find suitable habitat wherever any of their host species is present. This generalist nature may
32 30 facilitate gene flow among *P. soror* populations, and may help explain its large effective population
33 31 size, and negligible genetic structure across the Coral Triangle.

32 A Shared History of Sea Level Change in the Coral Triangle

33
34 Although these species did not exhibit concordant patterns of divergence across the Coral
35 34 Triangle, there is a strong signal of a shared demographic history. The strongly negative Fu's F_s values
36 35 (Table 2), together with multiple star-polytomies (Figs. 3 and 4) indicate either a recovery from a
37 36 selective sweep or a recent range expansion. While selective sweeps due to adaptive variation in the
38 37 mitochondrial genome (e.g. Rawson & Burton 2006) cannot be excluded, multiple independent
39 38 selective sweeps in unrelated taxa seems unlikely. Alternatively, eustatic reductions in sea level of over
40 39 130 meters during glacial periods of the Pliocene and Pleistocene eras would have exposed the Sunda
41 40 and Sahul shelves (Voris 2000), causing local extinctions of shelf populations followed by flooding and
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 1 recolonization during inter-glacial periods. Bayesian skyline plots indicate expansions in all taxa and
5 2 date the expansions in each species to well within the Pleistocene (Fig. 5), even when using a
6 3 conservative mutation rate, suggesting a more plausible explanation for departures from neutrality.
7 3
8

9 4 In addition, there is some evidence of shared isolation during periods of lowered sea levels.
10 5 Although they differ in the exact location and magnitude of differentiation (Table 4), both seastar
11 6 species, together with *T. crystallina*, show evidence of genetic structure in Teluk Cenderawasih. The
12 7 submerged portion of the Biak-Yapen terrane forms a sill 10m-200m in depth that stretches nearly
13 8 across the bay (Hall 2002; USGS 2007, Figure 1). Much of this sill would have been exposed during
14 9 low sea level stands, likely constricted water flow and thus larval dispersal into the bay. Similar
15 10 patterns of isolation in Teluk Cenderawasih are seen in stomatopods and giant clams (Barber *et al.*
16 11 2006, DeBoer *et al.* 2008).
17 11
18

19 12 Given the large sea level changes in the Sunda area, it is surprising that little evidence of
20 13 Pleistocene vicariance among Pacific and Indian Ocean populations was found. Some evidence of
21 14 Indian-Pacific vicariance was seen in *Linckia laevigata* with significant structure among western
22 15 Sumatra population and populations to the west, a result consistent with this hypothesis but different
23 16 from predictions based purely our definition of Indian Ocean populations (e.g. islands that border the
24 17 Indian Ocean). Given that admixture of *L. laevigata* within the Coral Triangle only becomes apparent
25 18 when compared to the results of broad spatial sampling conducted by Williams and Benzie (1998) and
26 19 Williams *et al.* (2002), the absence of broad-scale samples in the remaining three species may limit our
27 20 ability detect Indian-Pacific Ocean vicariance. Further data from more peripheral populations of these
28 21 species may help to resolve patterns within the Coral Triangle, as observed with *L. laevigata*.
29 21
30 21
31

32 22 Conclusions

33

34 23 Despite predictions for concordant divergence across the Coral Triangle two species of seastar
35 24 and their ectosymbionts show unique patterns of structure across this region. Although sea level
36 25 fluctuations have likely shaped patterns of genetic diversity and structure in all species, species-specific
37 26 differences appear to have led to different phylogeographic responses to this shared environment,
38 27 despite their close physical and ecological associations.
39 27
40

41 28 One key difference may be the vulnerability of a species' adult habitat to past climate change.
42 29 *Linckia laevigata*, which can live on outer reef slopes, has maintained an effective population size large
43 30 enough to retain two divergent mitochondrial lineages. The genetic diversity of *Protoreaster nodosus*,
44 31 on the other hand, with lower dispersal abilities and vulnerable adult habitat, appears to have been
45 32 greatly lowered by local extinctions resulting from sea level change. A similar contrast can be made
46 33 among the ectosymbionts. *Periclimenes soror*, whose host-generalist habit provides it with the widest
47 34 array of potential adult habitat, shows almost no structuring across the entire Coral Triangle, while
48 35 genetic structure in *Thyca crystallina* echoes that in its host, *L. laevigata*. Although more work will be
49 36 required to test this hypothesis, phylogeographic patterns at the Coral Triangle should not be viewed
50 37 simply in terms of vicariance. While the isolating effects of lowered sea levels cannot be denied,
51 38 species-specific habitat differences, and how these differences affect the ability of local populations to
52 39 endure the effects of sea-level change in this region may also play a role in shaping patterns of genetic
53 40 structure and diversity in this region.
54 40
55 40
56 40
57
58
59
60

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Indonesian government and the Indonesian Institute of Sciences (LIPI) for permitting this work (Nos. 1187/SU/KS/2006 and 04239/SU.3/KS/2006) and Dr. Ambariyanto of Diponegoro University for his support of our studies. H. Adyas, C. Chavarria, J.K. Barber-Choi, J.F. Bertrand, J. Drew, A. Jackson, T. Nurhidayat, S. Pardede, M. Sindhu, C. Starger, M. Subia, and C. Yusuf assisted with field collections and logistics. We are grateful to M. Cortenbach of Bali Hai Diving Adventures, G. Abbott of Diving for Images, D. Charlton of Murex Dive Resort, the captains and crews of the M/V Ciska and M/V Celia Anne for excellent field support. D. Drown, J. Finnerty, S. Palumbi, C. Schneider and two anonymous reviewers provided helpful comments on the manuscript. Portions of this project occurred as part of “The Diversity Project” and were funded by the National Science Foundation (Biological Oceanography, OCE-0349177, and Population Biology, DEB-0338566). Additional funding was provided by the David and Lucile Packard Foundation’s “Ecosystem Based Management of the Bird’s Head Seascape” project and the Walton Family Foundation’s Bird’s Head Seascape initiative.

Literature Cited

- 1
2
3
4
5 1
6
7 2 Arbogast BS, Kenagy GJ (2001) Comparative phylogeography as an integrative approach to historical
8 3 biogeography. *Journal of Biogeography* **28**, 819-825.
9 4 Avise JC (2000) *Phylogeography - the history and formation of species* Harvard University Press,
10 5 Cambridge, MA.
11 6 Barber PH, Erdmann MV, Palumbi SR (2006) Comparative phylogeography of three co-distributed
12 7 stomatopods: origins and timing of regional lineage diversification in the coral triangle.
13 8 *Evolution* **60**, 1825-1839.
14 9 Barber PH, Moosa MK, Palumbi SR (2002a) Rapid recovery of genetic populations on Krakatau:
15 10 diversity of stomatopod temporal and spatial scales of marine larval dispersal. *Proceedings of*
16 11 *the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **269**, 1591-1597.
17 12 Barber PH, Palumbi SR, Erdmann MV, Moosa MK (2000) A marine Wallace's line? *Nature* **406**, 692-
18 13 693.
19 14 Barber PH, Palumbi SR, Erdmann MV, Moosa MK (2002b) Sharp genetic breaks among populations
20 15 of *Haptosquilla pulchella* (Stomatopoda) indicate limits to larval transport: patterns, causes, and
21 16 consequences. *Molecular Ecology* **11**, 659-674.
22 17 Bay LK, Crozier RH, Caley MJ (2006) The relationship between population genetic structure and
23 18 pelagic larval duration in coral reef fishes on the Great Barrier Reef. *Marine Biology* **149**, 1247-
24 19 1256.
25 20 Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y (1995) Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful
26 21 approach to multiple testing. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society Series B-Methodological*
27 22 **57**, 289-300.
28 23 Benzie JAH (1999) Genetic structure of coral reef organisms: Ghosts of dispersal past. *American*
29 24 *Zoologist* **39**, 131-145.
30 25 Benzie JAH, Ballment E, Forbes AT, *et al.* (2002) Mitochondrial DNA variation in Indo-Pacific
31 26 populations of the giant tiger prawn, *Penaeus monodon*. *Molecular Ecology* **11**, 2553-2569.
32 27 Bermingham E, Moritz C (1998) Comparative phylogeography: concepts and applications. *Molecular*
33 28 *Ecology* **7**, 367-369.
34 29 Bohonak AJ (1999) Dispersal, gene flow, and population structure. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*
35 30 **74**, 21-45.
36 31 Bowen B, Bass AL, Rocha LA, Grant WS, Robertson DR (2001) Phylogeography of trumpetfishes
37 32 (*Aulostomus*): Ring Species Complex on a Global Scale. *Evolution* **55**, 1029-1039.
38 33 Bowen BW, Bass AL, Muss A, Carlin J, Robertson DR (2006) Phylogeography of two Atlantic
39 34 squirrelfishes (Family Holocentridae): exploring links between pelagic larval duration and
40 35 population connectivity. *Marine Biology* **149**, 899-913.
41 36 Bruce AJ (1976) Coral reef Caridea and "Commensalism". *Micronesica* **12**, 83-98.
42 37 Carey S, Sigurdsson H, Mandeville C, Bronto S (1996) Pyroclastic flows and surges over water: An
43 38 example from the 1883 Krakatau eruption. *Bulletin of Volcanology* **57**, 493-511.
44 39 Carpenter KE, Springer VG (2005) The center of the center of marine shore fish biodiversity: the
45 40 Philippine Islands. *Environmental Biology of Fishes* **72**, 467-480.
46 41 Carstens BC, Brunsfeld SJ, Demboski JR, Good JM, Sullivan J (2005) Investigating the evolutionary
47 42 history of the Pacific Northwest mesic forest ecosystem: Hypothesis testing within a
48 43 comparative phylogeographic framework. *Evolution* **59**, 1639-1652.
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 1 Chenoweth SF, Hughes JM, Keenan CP, Lavery S (1998) When oceans meet: a teleost shows
5 2 secondary intergradation at an Indian-Pacific interface. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of*
6 3 *London Series B-Biological Sciences* **265**, 415-420.
- 8 4 Clement M, Posada D, Crandall KA (2000) TCS: a computer program to estimate gene genealogies.
9 5 *Molecular Ecology* **9**, 1657-1660.
- 10 6 Crandall ED, Frey MA, Grosberg RK, Barber PH (2008) Contrasting demographic history and
11 7 discordant phylogeographical patterns in two Indo-Pacific gastropods. *Molecular Ecology* **17**,
12 8 611-626.
- 14 9 Criscione CD, Blouin MS (2007) Parasite phylogeographical congruence with salmon host
15 10 evolutionarily significant units: implications for salmon conservation. *Molecular Ecology* **16**,
16 11 993-1005.
- 18 12 Dawson MN, Louie KD, Barlow M, Jacobs DK, Swift CC (2002) Comparative phylogeography of
19 13 sympatric sister species, *Clevelandia ios* and *Eucyclogobius newberryi* (Teleostei, Gobiidae),
20 14 across the California Transition Zone. *Molecular Ecology* **11**, 1065-1075.
- 21 15 DeChaine EG, Martin AP (2006) Using coalescent simulations to test the impact of quaternary climate
22 16 cycles on divergence in an alpine plant-insect association. *Evolution* **60**, 1004-1013.
- 24 17 deBoer TS, Subia MD, Erdmann MV, Kovitvongsa K, Barber PH (2008) Phylogeography and limited
25 18 genetic connectivity in the endangered boring giant clam across the Coral Triangle.
26 19 *Conservation Biology* **22**, 1255-1266.
- 27 20 dos Santos A, Calado R, Bartilotti C, Narciso L (2004) The larval development of the partner shrimp
28 21 *Periclimenes sagittifer* (Norman, 1861) (Decapoda: Caridea: Palaemonidae: Pontoniinae)
29 22 described from laboratory-reared material, with a note on chemical cues. *Helgoland Marine*
30 23 *Research* **58**, 129-139.
- 32 24 Drummond AJ (2003) BEAST v.1.4.1. Available from <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/>.
- 33 25 Drummond AJ, Nicholls GK, Rodrigo AG, Solomon W (2002) Estimating mutation parameters,
34 26 population history and genealogy simultaneously from temporally spaced sequence data.
35 27 *Genetics* **161**, 1307-1320.
- 36 28 Drummond AJ, Rambaut A, Shapiro B, Pybus OG (2005) Bayesian coalescent inference of past
37 29 population dynamics from molecular sequences. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **22**, 1185-
38 30 1192.
- 40 31 Duda TF, Palumbi SR (1999b) Population structure of the black tiger prawn, *Penaeus monodon*, among
41 32 western Indian Ocean and western Pacific populations. *Marine Biology* **134**, 705-710.
- 42 33 Egloff DA, Smouse Jr. DT, Pembroke JE (1988) Penetration of the radial hemal and perihemal systems
43 34 of *Linckia laevigata* (Asteroidea) by the proboscis of *Thyca crystallina*, an ectoparasitic
44 35 gastropod. *The Veliger* **30**, 342-346.
- 46 36 Elder HY (1979) Studies on the host parasite relationship between the parasitic prosobranch *Thyca*
47 37 *crystallina* and the asteroid starfish *Linckia laevigata*. *Journal of Zoology* **187**, 369-391.
- 48 38 Excoffier L, Laval LG, Schneider S (2005) Arlequin v.3.0: An integrated software package for
49 39 population genetics data analysis. *Evolutionary Bioinformatics Online* **1**, 47-50.
- 51 40 Fauvelot C, Bernardi G, Planes S (2003) Reductions in the mitochondrial DNA diversity of coral reef
52 41 fish provide evidence of population bottlenecks resulting from Holocene sea-level change.
53 42 *Evolution* **57**, 1571-1583.
- 54 43 Folmer O, Black M, Hoeh WR, Lutz R, Vrijenhoek RC (1994) DNA primers for amplification of
55 44 mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase subunit I from diverse metazoan invertebrates. *Molecular*
56 45 *Marine Biology and Biotechnology* **3**, 294-299.

- 1
2
3
4
5 1 Fu Y-X (1997) Statistical tests of neutrality against population growth, hitchhiking and background
6 2 selection. *Genetics* **147**, 915-925.
- 7 3 Funk DJ, Helbling L, Wernegreen JJ, Moran NA (2000) Intraspecific phylogenetic congruence among
8 4 multiple symbiont genomes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological*
9 5 *Sciences* **267**, 2517-2521.
- 10 6 Gerlach G, Atema J, Kingsford MJ, Black KP, Miller-Sims V (2007) Smelling home can prevent
11 7 dispersal of reef fish larvae. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United*
12 8 *States of America* **104**, 858-863.
- 13 9 Hall R (2002) Cenozoic geological and plate tectonic evolution of SE Asia and the SW Pacific:
14 10 computer-based reconstructions, model and animations. *Journal of Asian Earth Sciences* **20**,
15 11 353-431.
- 16 12 Hart MW, Byrne M, Smith MJ (1997) Molecular phylogenetic analysis of life-history evolution in
17 13 Asterinid starfish. *Evolution* **51**, 1848-1861.
- 18 14 Hedrick PW (2005) A standardized genetic differentiation measure. *Evolution* **59**, 1633-1638.
- 19 15 Hickerson MJ, Stahl EA, Lessios HA (2006) Test for simultaneous divergence using approximate
20 16 Bayesian computation. *Evolution* **60**, 2435-2453.
- 21 17 Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Cooper A, Drummond AJ (2005) Time dependency of molecular rate estimates
22 18 and systematic overestimation of recent divergence times. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **22**,
23 19 1561-1568.
- 24 20 Imron, Jeffrey B, Hale P, Degnan BM, Degnan SM (2007) Pleistocene isolation and recent gene flow
25 21 in *Haliotis asinina*, an Indo-Pacific vetigastropod with limited dispersal capacity. *Molecular*
26 22 *Ecology* **16**, 289-304.
- 27 23 Jablonski D, Lutz R (1983) Larval ecology of marine benthic invertebrates: paleobiological
28 24 implications. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society* **58**, 21-89.
- 29 25 Knowlton N, Weigt LA (1998) New dates and new rates for divergence across the Isthmus of Panama.
30 26 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **265**, 2257-2263.
- 31 27 LaJeunesse TC, Bhagooli R, Hidaka M, *et al.* (2004) Closely related Symbiodinium spp. differ in
32 28 relative dominance in coral reef host communities across environmental, latitudinal and
33 29 biogeographic gradients. *Marine Ecology-Progress Series* **284**, 147-161.
- 34 30 Lavery S, Moritz C, Fielder DR (1996) Indo-Pacific population structure and evolutionary history of
35 31 the coconut crab *Birgus latro*. *Molecular Ecology* **5**, 557-570.
- 36 32 Li XZ, Bruce AJ (2006) Further Indo-West Pacific palaemonoid shrimps (Crustacea : Decapoda :
37 33 Palaemonoidea), principally from the New Caledonian region. *Journal of Natural History* **40**,
38 34 611-738.
- 39 35 Lourie SA, Green DM, Vincent CJ (2005) Dispersal, habitat differences, and comparative
40 36 phylogeography of Southeast Asian seahorses (Syngnathidae: *Hippocampus*). *Molecular*
41 37 *Ecology* **14**, 1073-1094.
- 42 38 Maddison WP, Maddison DR (2002) MacClade. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts.
- 43 39 Mandeville CW, Carey S, Sigurdsson H (1996) Sedimentology of the Krakatau 1883 submarine
44 40 pyroclastic deposits. *Bulletin of Volcanology* **57**, 512-529.
- 45 41 Marko PB (2002) Fossil calibration of molecular clocks and the divergence times of geminate species
46 42 pairs separated by the Isthmus of Panama. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **19**, 2005-2021.
- 47 43 Mathews LM, Schubart CD, Neigel JE, Felder DL (2002) Genetic, ecological, and behavioural
48 44 divergence between two sibling snapping shrimp species (Crustacea : Decapoda : Alpheus).
49 45 *Molecular Ecology* **11**, 1427-1437.
- 50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4 1 McMillan WO, Palumbi SR (1995) Concordant Evolutionary Patterns among Indo-West Pacific
5 2 Butterflyfishes. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological Sciences* **260**,
6 3 229-236.
7 3
8 4 Meyer CP, Geller JB, Paulay G (2005) Fine scale endemism on coral reefs: Archipelagic differentiation
9 5 in turbinid gastropods. *Evolution* **59**, 113-125.
10 6 Nei M, Li W-H (1979) Mathematical model for studying genetic variation in terms of restriction
11 7 endonucleases. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **76**, 5269-5273.
12 7
13 8 Nieberding C, Morand S, Libois R, Michaux JR (2004) A parasite reveals cryptic phylogeographic
14 9 history of its host. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences*
15 10 **271**, 2559-2568.
16 11 Nieberding CM, Olivieri I (2007) Parasites: proxies for host genealogy and ecology? *Trends in Ecology*
17 12 *& Evolution* **22**, 156-165.
18 12
19 13 Obst M, Funch P, Giribet G (2005) Hidden diversity and host specificity in cycliophorans: a
20 14 phylogeographic analysis along the North Atlantic and Mediterranean Sea. *Molecular Ecology*
21 15 **14**, 4427-4440.
22 16 Paulay G (1990) Effects of late Cenozoic sea-level fluctuations on the bivalve faunas of tropical
23 17 oceanic islands. *Paleobiology* **16**, 415-434.
24 17
25 18 Perrin C, Borsa P (2001) Mitochondrial DNA analysis of the geographic structure of Indian scad
26 19 mackerel in the Indo-Malay archipelago. *Journal of Fish Biology* **59**, 1421-1426.
27 20 Pillans B, Chappell J, Naish TR (1998) A review of the Milankovitch climatic beat: template for Plio-
28 21 Pleistocene sea-level changes and sequence stratigraphy. *Sedimentary Geology* **122**, 5-21.
29 22 Rambaut A, Drummond A (2007) Tracer v1.4. Available from <http://beast.bio.ed.ac.uk/>.
30 23 Rawson PD, Burton RS (2006) Molecular evolution at the cytochrome oxidase subunit 2 gene among
31 24 divergent populations of the intertidal copepod, *Tigriopus californicus*. *Journal of Molecular*
32 25 *Evolution* **62**, 753-764.
33 25
34 26 Reid DG, Lal K, Mackenzie-Dodds J, *et al.* (2006) Comparative phylogeography and species
35 27 boundaries in *Echinolittorina* snails in the central Indo-West Pacific. *Journal of Biogeography*
36 28 **33**, 990-1006.
37 28
38 29 Richards VP, Thomas JD, Stanhope MJ, Shivji MS (2007) Genetic connectivity in the Florida reef
39 30 system: comparative phylogeography of commensal invertebrates with contrasting reproductive
40 31 strategies. *Molecular Ecology* **16**, 139-157.
41 32 Riginos C, Victor BC (2001) Larval spatial distributions and other early life-history characteristics
42 33 predict genetic differentiation in eastern Pacific blennioid fishes. *Proceedings of the Royal*
43 34 *Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* **268**, 1931-1936.
44 34
45 35 Roberts CM, McClean CJ, Veron JEN, *et al.* (2002) Marine biodiversity hotspots and conservation
46 36 priorities for tropical reefs. *Science* **295**, 1280-1284.
47 37 Rocha LA, Craig MT, Bowen BW (2007) Phylogeography and the conservation of coral reef fishes.
48 38 *Coral Reefs* **26**, 513-513.
49 38
50 39 Schneider CJ, Cunningham M, Moritz C (1998) Comparative phylogeography and the history of
51 40 endemic vertebrates in the Wet Tropics rainforests of Australia. *Molecular Ecology* **7**, 487-498.
52 41 Shulman MJ, Bermingham E (1995) Early-Life Histories, Ocean Currents, and the Population-Genetics
53 42 of Caribbean Reef Fishes. *Evolution* **49**, 897-910.
54 43 Sotka EE (2005) Local adaptation in host use among marine invertebrates. *Ecology Letters* **8**, 448-459.
55 44 Taberlet P, Fumagalli L, Wust-Saucy AG, Cosson JF (1998) Comparative phylogeography and
56 45 postglacial colonization routes in Europe. *Molecular Ecology* **7**, 453-464.
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5 1 Thacker CE (2004) Population structure in two species of the reef goby *Gnatholepis* (Teleostei:
6 2 Perciformes) among four South Pacific island groups. *Coral Reefs* **23**, 357-366.
- 7 3 Thompson AR, Thacker CE, Shaw EY (2005) Phylogeography of marine mutualists: parallel patterns
8 4 of genetic structure between obligate goby and shrimp partners. *Molecular Ecology* **14**, 3557-
9 5 3572.
- 10 6 USGS (2007) Coastal & Marine Geology Infobank. (2007) <http://walrus.wr.usgs.gov/infobank/>
- 11 7 Vogler C, Benzie J, Lessios H, Barber P, Worheide G. (2008) A threat to coral reefs multiplied? Four
12 8 species of crown-of-thorns starfish. *Biology Letters*. *in press* doi:10.1098/rsbl.2008.0454
- 13 9 Voris HK (2000) Special Paper 2: Maps of Pleistocene sea levels in Southeast Asia: Shorelines, river
14 10 systems and time durations. *Journal of Biogeography* **27**, 1153-1167.
- 15 11 Walker D, Avise JC (1998) Principles of phylogeography as illustrated by freshwater and terrestrial
16 12 turtles in the southeastern United States. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **29**, 23-58.
- 17 13 Walsh P, Metzger D, Higuchi R (1991) Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for
18 14 PCR-based typing of forensic material. *Biotechniques* **10**.
- 19 15 Warén A (1980) Revision of the genera *Thyca*, *Stilifer*, *Scalenostoma*, *Mucronalia*, and *Echineulima*
20 16 (Mollusca, Prosobranchia, Eulimidae). *Zoologica Scripta* **9**, 187-210.
- 21 17 Wares JP, Cunningham CW (2001) Phylogeography and historical ecology of the North Atlantic
22 18 intertidal. *Evolution* **55**, 2455-2469.
- 23 19 Waters JM, O'Loughlin PM, Roy MS (2004) Molecular systematics of some Indo-Pacific asterinids
24 20 (Echinodermata, Asteroidea): does taxonomy reflect phylogeny? *Molecular Phylogenetics and*
25 21 *Evolution* **30**, 872-878.
- 26 22 Watkins M (2005) Pairwise Multiple Tests. (2005)
27 23 <http://www.public.asu.edu/~mwwatkin/Watkins3.html>
- 28 24 Wear RG (1976) Larva of the commensal shrimp *Periclimenes* (*Periclimenes*) *soror* Nobili, 1904
29 25 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Pontoninae) from Fiji. *New Zealand Journal of Marine and Freshwater*
30 26 *Research* **10**, 527-532.
- 31 27 Whiteman NK, Parker PG (2005) Using parasites to infer host population history: a new rationale for
32 28 parasite conservation. *Animal Conservation* **8**, 175-181.
- 33 29 Williams ST (2000) Species boundaries in the starfish genus *Linckia*. *Marine Biology* **136**, 137-148.
- 34 30 Williams ST, Benzie JAH (1993) Genetic Consequences of Long Larval Life in the Starfish *Linckia*-
35 31 *laevigata* (Echinodermata, Asteroidea) on the Great-Barrier-Reef. **117**, 71-77.
- 36 32 Williams ST, Benzie JAH (1997) Indo-West Pacific patterns of genetic differentiation in the high-
37 33 dispersal starfish *Linckia laevigata*. **6**, 559-573.
- 38 34 Williams ST, Benzie JAH (1998) Evidence of a biogeographic break between populations of a high
39 35 dispersal starfish: Congruent regions within the Indo-West Pacific defined by color morphs,
40 36 mtDNA, and allozyme data. *Evolution* **52**, 87-99.
- 41 37 Williams ST, Jara J, Gomez E, Knowlton N (2002) The Marine Indo-West Pacific break: Contrasting
42 38 the resolving power of mitochondrial and nuclear genes. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*
43 39 **42**, 941-952.
- 44 40 Yamaguchi M (1973) Early life histories of coral reef asteroids, with special reference to *Acanthaster*
45 41 *planci* (L.). In: *Biology and Geology of Coral Reefs* (eds. Jones OA, Endean R), pp. 369-387.
46 42 Academic Press, New York.
- 47 43 Yamaguchi M (1977) Larval behavior and geographic distribution of coral reef asteroids in the Indo-
48 44 West Pacific. *Micronesica* **13**, 283-296.
- 49 45
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4 1 Author Information:
5 2

6 3 Eric Crandall's research uses comparative phylogeography to address questions about the evolution and
7 4 ecology of marine species in the Indo-Pacific. Elizabeth Jones is a PhD Candidate at Boston University
8 5 studying marine connectivity, phylogeography, and speciation from a landscape genetics perspective.
9 6 Mark Erdmann is a reef ecologist and crustacean taxonomist and is currently senior advisor to
10 7 Conservation International's Indonesian Marine Program. Martha Muñoz and Bolanle Akinrobe studied
11 8 marine phylogeography as the inaugural class of The Diversity Project. Paul Barber is an Associate
12 9 Professor at UCLA with long-term interests in the evolution and conservation of marine biodiversity in
13 10 the Coral Triangle.
14 11
15 12
16 13
17 14
18 15
19 16
20 17
21 18
22 19
23 20
24 21
25 22
26 23
27 24
28 25
29 26
30 27
31 28
32 29
33 30
34 31
35 32
36 33
37 34
38 35
39 36
40 37
41 38
42 39
43 40
44 41
45 42
46 43
47 44
48 45
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

For Review Only

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Map of localities sampled in Indonesia. Dark grey shading depicts the 100m continental depth (USGS 2007; Voris 2000). Sea level was at or below this depth for ~25% of the last 250 kya, closing most of the major seaways between the Indian and Pacific Oceans. Open circles indicate localities where one or more species were sampled. Numbers indicate sites into which sampling localities were grouped for comparison (see Table 2 for site names).

Figure 2. Minimum spanning trees for both seastars. Light grey haplotypes were found in Teluk Cenderawasih, and dark grey haplotypes were found in Aceh and Krakatau (*L. laevigata* only). Circles

1
2
3
4
5 1 are sized proportionally to the frequency of occurrence, ranging from 1-44 in *L. laevigata* and 1-19 in
6 2 *P. nodosus*. The most frequent haplotype for *P. nodosus* was found in 150 individuals and is not
7 3 shown to scale. Dotted lines indicate the relationships of overlapping COI sequences for *L. laevigata*
8 4 from Williams (2000). These sequences were not used in any analyses. All haplotypes are separated by
9 5 one mutational step unless denoted by a higher number of hatch marks, or a number. White asterisks
10 6 denote the most probable root haplotype found by TCS (Clement *et al.* 2000). Non-synonymous
11 7 mutations found in more than three individuals are marked with a white rectangle. For tip clades, the
12 8 mean mutational distance to the central haplotype is given with a standard deviation.
13 9

14 9
15 10 Figure 3. Minimum spanning trees for both ectosymbionts. Grey haplotypes were found in mainland
16 11 Teluk Cenderawasih (*T. crystallina* only). Circles are sized proportionally to the frequency of
17 12 occurrence, ranging from 1-25 in *T. crystallina* and 1-33 in *P. soror*. All haplotypes are separated by
18 13 one mutational step unless denoted by a higher number of hatch marks. White asterisks denote the most
19 14 probable root haplotype found by TCS (Clement *et al.* 2000). Non-synonymous mutations found in
20 15 more than three individuals are marked with a white rectangle. For tip clades, the mean mutational
21 16 distance to the central haplotype is given with a standard deviation.
22 17

23 17
24 18 Figure 4. Maps of the study area for a) *Linckia laevigata* and b) *Protoreaster nodosus* with pie
25 19 diagrams representing relative frequencies each clade/haplotype cluster in each site listed in table 2.
26 20

27 20
28 21 Figure 5. Bayesian skyline plots of effective population size scaled by generation time ($N_e\tau$) for
29 22 mtDNA in all four species. The plots run from the present to their mean time to most recent common
30 23 ancestor (T_{MRCA}). Grey lines represent 95% C.I. for $N_e\tau$. Parameter estimates were converted from
31 24 mutational units using a slow per site mutation rate of 0.5% per million years, solely as a heuristic.
32 24
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table 1. Dispersal, range and habitat characteristics for the four species compared in this study.

Species	Adult Habitat	Range	Larval Type/Behavior	Pelagic Larval Duration	Genbank Accession #
<i>Linckia laevigata</i>	Coral reef to 30m	South Africa to Cook Islands ¹	Planktotrophic/ Negatively geotaxic ¹	22-28 days ¹	COI - 658 bp XXXXXX-XXXXXX
<i>Protoreaster nodosus</i>	Lagoon/seagrass meadow to 5m	Sri Lanka to New Caledonia ²	Planktotrophic/ Positively geotaxic ²	10-14 days ²	COI - 658 bp XXXXXX-XXXXXX
<i>Thyca crystallina</i>	Oral surface of <i>Linckia</i> spp. ³	Samoa to Madagascar ³	Planktotrophic ⁴ / Unknown	Unknown	COI - 658 bp XXXXXX-XXXXXX
<i>Periclimenes soror</i>	Oral surface of > 25 host seastars ⁵	Africa to South America ⁶	Planktotrophic ⁷ / Unknown	?? 14-34 days in <i>P. sagittifer</i> ⁸	COI - 866 bp XXXXXX-XXXXXX

¹ Yamaguchi 1973² Yamaguchi 1977³ Warén 1980⁴ Multi-spiral protoconch described in Warén 1980 is diagnostic of planktotrophy Jablonski & Lutz 1983⁵ A.J. Bruce, personal communication⁶ Li & Bruce 2006⁷ Wear 1976⁸ dos Santos *et al.* 2004


Table 2. Summary statistics and neutrality test statistics for each of 21 sites shown in Figure 1. Haplotype diversity (h), nucleotide diversity (π) and F_s (Fu, 1997) calculated in Arlequin 3.1 (Excoffier *et al.* 2005).

Site	<i>Linckia laevigata</i>				<i>Protoreaster nodosus</i>				<i>Thyca crystallina</i>				<i>Periclimenes soror</i>			
	n	π	h	F_s	n	π	h	F_s	n	π	h	F_s	n	π	h	F_s
1. Aceh	15	0.010	0.98	-5.19									19	0.007	0.97	-7.90
2. Krakatau	49	0.010	0.99	25.21									8	0.008	0.93	-1.72
3. Sebesi/Sebuku/Sangi	47	0.013	0.98	24.77					17	0.007	0.93	-7.08				
4. Pulau Seribu	79	0.013	0.99	24.75					17	0.006	0.94	-5.20	7	0.008	1.00	-2.94
5. Karimunjawa					38	0.002	0.72	10.95								
6. Nusa Tenggara (Lesser Sundas)	23	0.015	0.99	10.04	24	0.002	0.74	-3.44	21	0.006	0.97	-9.73	47	0.005	0.97	26.19
7. Sabolo Kecil, Flores					9	0.003	0.69	1.25								
8. South Sulawesi	7	0.014	0.95	0.01	31	0.003	0.84	-6.17	11	0.005	0.95	-4.13	26	0.005	0.98	23.38
9. Manado	77	0.013	0.98	24.70	29	0.002	0.68	-5.06	34	0.007	0.96	14.54	18	0.006	0.85	-2.23
10. Lembah Strait	20	0.015	0.97	-2.72									17	0.006	0.99	11.37
11. Sangihe	12	0.010	0.98	-4.21					9	0.006	1.00	-5.66	14	0.008	1.00	10.29
12. Halmahera	75	0.013	0.96	24.69	66	0.002	0.77	27.34	76	0.006	0.96	25.89	54	0.005	0.95	26.05
13. Raja Ampat	31	0.013	0.98	12.22	55	0.001	0.55	12.90	42	0.007	0.94	17.53	32	0.004	0.95	17.89
14. Teluk Cenderawasih (mainland)	22	0.016	0.99	-6.73	7	0.002	0.71	-0.13	26	0.007	0.96	13.00	20	0.007	0.98	13.48
15. Numfor					15	0.003	0.79	-0.09								
16. Biak	7	0.018	1.00	-1.25	23	0.003	0.79	-3.19	19	0.006	0.98	-9.10	17	0.007	0.99	12.26
17. Yapen	19	0.009	0.91	-1.35	22	0.003	0.86	-3.14	12	0.005	0.95	-3.54	18	0.007	0.99	11.19
18. Jayapura	19	0.012	0.98	-4.48	1	n/a	n/a	n/a								

Table 3. AMOVA results showing variance components (Var. Comp.), % Variation (% Var.) and F-statistics for a hypothesis of Pacific vs. Indian Ocean vicariance, as well as for isolation within Teluk Cenderawasih. We also show results for an alternative hypothesis that Krakatau (site 2) is more similar to Aceh (site 1), while Sebesi, Sebuku and Sangiang (site 3) are more closely related to Pacific sites. AMOVA was run on the full dataset as well as a dataset with haplotypes from Clade A. Comparisons in bold had p-values < 0.05.

Comparison	Species	Among Regions				Among Sites Within Regions				Among All Sites			
		Var. Comp.	% Var.	Φ_{CT}	P-value	Var. Comp.	% Var.	Φ_{SC}	P-value	Var. Comp.	% Var.	Φ_{ST}	P-value
Indian Ocean (1-7) vs. Pacific Ocean (8-18)	<i>L. laevigata</i> All Clades	0.11	2.40	0.02	< 0.05	0.25	5.41	0.06	< 0.0001	4.21	92.20	0.08	< 0.0001
	<i>L. laevigata</i> Clade A	0.03	1.11	0.01	0.13	0.07	2.96	0.03	< 0.0001	2.30	95.94	0.041	< 0.0001
	<i>P. nodosus</i>	-0.01	-1.35	0.00	0.29	0.2	17.28	0.17	< 0.0001	1.15	84.07	0.16	< 0.0001
	<i>T. crystallina</i>	-0.01	1.24	0.00	0.7	0.03	1.24	0.012	0.065	2.1	99.27	0.01	0.09
Aceh+Krakatau (1,2) vs. All Other Sites (3-18)	<i>L. laevigata</i> All Clades	0.33	6.88	0.07	0.087	0.23	4.78	0.05	< 0.0001	4.22	88.35	0.13	< 0.0001
	<i>L. laevigata</i> Clade A	0.17	6.72	0.07	< 0.01	0.03	1.35	0.02	< 0.01	2.31	91.93	0.08	< 0.0001
	<i>P. soror</i>	0.00	0.33	0.00	0.23	0.03	1.53	0.02	< 0.01	2.07	98.15	0.02	< 0.01
Teluk Cenderawasih (14-17) vs. Rest of Coral Triangle (1-13,18)	<i>P. nodosus</i>	0.32	23.38	0.23	< 0.01	0.07	5.35	0.07	< 0.0001	0.97	71.27	0.29	< 0.0001
	<i>L. laevigata</i> All Data	-0.02	-0.49	0	NA	0.31	6.91	0.07	< 0.0001	4.22	93.58	0.06	< 0.0001
	<i>L. laevigata</i> Clade A	0.08	3.34	0.03	< 0.05	0.072	2.91	0.03	< 0.0001	2.31	93.75	0.06	< 0.0001
Mainland Teluk Cenderawasih (14) vs. Rest of Coral Triangle (1-13, 14-18)	<i>T. crystallina</i>	0.089	4.09	0.04	< 0.05	0.011	0.5	0.005	0.25	2.09	95.41	0.046	0.07


Figure 1.



www.Only

Figure 2.

a. *Linckia laevigata*



b. *Protoreaster nodosus*




Figure 3.




Figure 4.




Figure 5.





Figure 5 (alternate).



Only