

6-2-2016

1859 January 8 - Higley Annual Report, Surveyor General's Report for 1858 to Governor of California

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.csumb.edu/hornbeck_usa_3_a



Part of the [Arts and Humanities Commons](#), [Education Commons](#), [Law Commons](#), [Life Sciences Commons](#), and the [Social and Behavioral Sciences Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

"1859 January 8 - Higley Annual Report, Surveyor General's Report for 1858 to Governor of California" (2016). *Surveyor General Reports*. 11.

https://digitalcommons.csumb.edu/hornbeck_usa_3_a/11

This Article is brought to you for free and open access by the State of California Documents at Digital Commons @ CSUMB. It has been accepted for inclusion in Surveyor General Reports by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons @ CSUMB. For more information, please contact digitalcommons@csumb.edu.

ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE
SURVEYOR-GENERAL
FOR 1858.

[JOHN O'MEARA, STATE PRINTER.]

ANNUAL REPORT.

STATE LAND OFFICE,
Sacramento, January 8, 1859.

To His Excellency,
JOHN B. WELLER,
Governor of California:

In accordance with the requirements of the Act concerning the Office of the Surveyor-General, I have the honor to submit the following Annual Report of this Office for the past year.

Having been informed by your Excellency that the Public Lands of the State would be made the subject of a Special Message, and that it was not necessary for me to submit my Report immediately, I have delayed doing so until now, in order to lay before you further information in regard to School-Land Locations, which I expected to received from the Humboldt District.

Respectfully,

H. A. HIGLEY,
Surveyor-General, and Register of Land Office.

SWAMP LANDS

In November, 1850, Mr. J. Butterfield, then Commissioner of the General Land Office, issued a circular to the United States Surveyors-General of the different States, informing them of the passage of the Act of Congress, granting the swamp lands, and the manner in which the same should be segregated. The purport of these instructions, marked (1) and herewith submitted, is to this effect: The Act clearly grants to the several States those lands which, from being swamp, or subject to overflow, are unfit for *regular* cultivation. In this class are included all lands which, though dry a part of the year, are *subject* to inundation at the planting, growing, or harvesting season, so as to destroy the crop; and, therefore, are unfit for cultivation – taking the average of the seasons as a rule of determination.

In the older States, the swamp lands having been surveyed before the grant was made, he says if the State authorities choose to adopt the field-notes as the basis of their selection, they will be so regarded by the United States. But if they are convinced that these notes do not properly describe the land, they will be allowed to furnish proof as to its true character. The affidavits of County Surveyors, and other respectable persons, that the lands are of the character embraced by the law, should be sufficient. The U. S. Surveyors-General were to make out lists of these lands as soon as possible.

It will be noticed that, in these instructions, when speaking of this grant, the lands embraced are called swamp *or* overflowed – not swamp *and* overflowed. It has been maintained by some, that lands, to come within the purview of the Act, must partake of both characters. This is evidently not its meaning. The Commissioner having had great experience in the method of sale and survey of public lands, knew, that to properly determine the character of these lands, the field-notes and plats of the United States Deputies could not be relied on, from the fact that most of the surveys were made in the dry season. He knew it would be impossible for the deputy, who had contracted with the Government to survey a certain number of miles at a certain price per mile, when he happened to approach a body of swamp or overflowed land, to allow his men to remain idle in camp, under pay, while he visited all the inhabitants in the neighborhood to learn from them the true line of overflow that renders the land unfit for cultivation. The Surveyor was simply instructed, in this State, not to subdivide the swamp lands, but simply to run the traverse line of segregation. His object would properly be, to make as much money out of the contract as he honestly could. It would be preposterous to suppose that he would go to an expense of perhaps double what he would receive from the General Government, in the attempt to procure this information. His line of division between the wet and dry lands was, therefore, run in accordance with the facts as they presented themselves on the surface of the earth at the time the survey was made. If the work were performed during the wet season, the gain enured to the State; and if in the dry, then to the United States. Unfortunately, however, for the interests of California, it is here almost impossible for the Surveyor to pursue his avocation in the

rainy season. Consequently, he selects the summer and fall months for his labors in the field. From May to November he runs his line of segregation, where the dust rises from beneath his feet, and grasshoppers make way before him. If, at any other season of the year, he wished to retrace his lines of segregation, unless an expert swimmer, he would find a boat a very necessary medium of navigation.

The Commissioner, seeing clearly the great injustice that would thus be done to the State, instructed the United States Surveyor-General, that if the State authorities were not satisfied with the lines thus run, and had surveys made to determine the boundaries of the swamp or overflowed lands, they should be accepted and approved; provided, their correctness was established by affidavits of County Surveyors and other respectable witnesses.

The necessity for immediate action in this matter was repeatedly urged upon the Legislature by my predecessors and myself, but nothing effected.

The instructions of 1850, seemingly based upon a fair construction of the law conferring the grant, having been issued from the General Land Office, the supposition was, that they would be adhered to; and the action of this office, previous to my term of service, in the sale and selection of swamp lands, has been in accordance with them. My predecessors requested County Surveyors to accompany their returns with the following affidavit, subscribed to by reliable witnesses:

“I, -----, County Surveyor, of the County of -----, being duly sworn, do depose and say that I am well acquainted with the method of surveying and marking public lands, and that I have made, in my proper person, examination of the lands in the following list, viz: (here give a list of the lands, by legal subdivisions, surveyed under the Act during the month, by you, to the character of which you are willing to make affidavit,) and that from said examination I have discovered, and am well satisfied, that every forty-acre lot, or its equivalent legal subdivision, embraced in said list, is the greater part swamp or swampy, or subject to inundation at the planting, growing, or harvesting season, so as to endanger, injure, or destroy the crops, taking the average season for a reasonable number of years as the rule of determination.

“Witness my hand this ----- day of -----, 1857.

“Subscribed and sworn to before me this ----- day of -----, 1857.

“-----, Justice of the Peace.”

This request was complied with in most instances.

Having learned that a letter was received by Gov. Johnson from Mr. Hendricks, Commissioner of the General Land Office, in relation to the proper signification of the term “cultivation,” in which he differed widely from Mr. Butterfield, and, anticipating difficulty, I made the following a part of the instructions of this office to County Surveyors:

“When the U. S. township plats show a line of segregation, whether the same be by an irregular meander-line, or by the lines of the rectangular subdivisions, you will consider such line of segregation as the boundary of the swamp and overflowed lands, until further instructed.

“If your surveys are of lands excluded by said lines of segregation from the public lands of the United States, or from private land claims, you will state that fact in your field-notes, and such statement will preclude the necessity for the affidavit as to the character, etc., of the lands.

“If, however, you are satisfied that any forty-acre tract of the U. S. subdivisions, not excluded by said line of segregation, is swamp or overflowed, within the meaning of the affidavit as to the character, you will report the same to this office, with the proper affidavits to prove the facts.

“Where lands, reported by the U. S. township plats as dry, are claimed as swamp and overflowed, according to the affidavit as to character, you may make survey thereof, and specially report the same, with the necessary affidavits to substantiate the claim of the State thereto.

“In all such cases, a statement of the facts, and the reason of the State’s claim, will be reported to the General Government, at Washington, and proper steps will be taken to secure the State’s title.

“The decision of the authorities will be made known to the parties claimant, if favorable, and the needed measure adopted to perfect the title.”

“In no case have I approved a survey without the required affidavit.”

I directed the Surveyors not to survey lands designated upon the township plats as dry, because I wished to avoid those difficulties in regard to title which have arisen under surveys approved before my term. I did not believe the lines of segregation properly established; still, I knew, as the Legislature had taken no action in the premises, if we sold land represented upon the plats to be dry, the General Government would also sell the same land to other parties, this creating confusion of title that would work great injustice to the purchaser from the State. There could be no doubt that the Legislature would sooner or later understand the immense importance of the landed interest of the State, and take some steps toward establishing the proper boundaries of the swamp land grant. The following is a portion of the letter of Mr. Hendricks, mentioned above:

“The question of cultivation has been carefully examined, and we have long since decided, that to place the land without the purview of the Act, it is not necessary for the cultivation to be in grain. It was not the design of Congress to grant to the States those rich prairie meadows where crops of grass may be cultivated and harvested – hay being regarded as much a staple production as wheat or corn. It is true, there is difficulty in its cultivation, and that it may be successfully raised and harvested where grain would perish; yet the fact that any land may be cultivated in any staple production, removes it from the operation of the law, which was designed to grant only such lands as, in their natural condition, were ‘unfit for cultivation by reason of swamp or overflow, and which, to be made valuable, would need the artificial aid of drains or embankments.’”

In a communication addressed to your Excellency about a month since, (a copy of which is herewith submitted,) I alluded to this letter. Every one acquainted with our peculiar climate, must agree with me that the construction put upon the law by Mr. Hendricks will deprive the State of the only really valuable portion of the grant. I am

confident, however, that upon a proper representation of facts, and a more thorough knowledge of the character of our floods, he will be induced to view the matter in a light more favorable to the State.

The Act of our Legislature, passed 1855, authorized the sale of the swamp lands granted to the State by the Act of Congress of 1850. The General Government, having at that time segregated but a small portion of this grant, my predecessors instructed the County Surveyors to transmit, with their returns, affidavits as to the character of the lands embraced in the survey. It was supposed this would be sufficient, as the grant was made of lands of a certain kind; not of a tract of land, described by metes and bounds. Some of the lines of segregation have since been established, in many instances excluding from the overflow, lands long before sold by the State as swamp, and evidently such. A portion of these lands is now advertised to be sold by the General Government in February next. Those holding the title of the State, naturally becoming alarmed for the safety of their homes, which are liable to pass into other hands, have appealed to me, as the agent of the State, to protect her title. Some of these express their willingness to forfeit the interest on the purchase-money already paid, provided they are allowed to locate lands under the School-land Law of 1858. I was for some time in doubt what course to pursue. The County Surveyors, and other respectable persons, having sworn that the lands are of the character embraced in the grant, I consider it my duty, as the State's agent, to recognize them as such, until such time as the General Government refuses to patent them to the State. Yet, if such school-land location is not allowed, the United States authorities undoubtedly will sell the lands in February next; and the occupant, who purchased from the State in good faith, having confidence in her ability to convey a title, and has erected valuable improvements upon the lands, would have acquired no right of priority or precedence over the speculator, at the Government sales of these lands. In fact, he would enter the market as bidder, at considerable disadvantage; for the speculator could afford to pay a high price for the lands, on account of their improvements, while the occupant, having already been at much expense in their construction, could not profitably pay for them a second time.

It will readily be seen what great injustice would be done these parties, were these lands permitted to be sold to the highest bidder, when, in good faith, they believed they had procured a perfect title, by strictly conforming to the terms of purchase prescribed by legislative enactment. The State is in honor bound to use every possible means to protect her own title; and the Register, as her constituted agent, should permit no opportunity to pass, where his exertions can be properly used for the accomplishment of that purpose.

The two grants – the one of the swamp lands, and the other of the 500,000 acres of school lands – are entirely distinct; and, by the Constitution of the State, and the Act of Congress, the proceeds are to be applied to entirely different purposes. I was afraid that, if the land was really swamp or overflowed, and a school location was allowed to be made upon it, the State would lose just so much land.

In searching through the various Acts of Congress in relation to public lands, I found that one passed March 2nd, 1855, in section two, provides that, if it is proved to the satisfaction of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, that lands sold by the United States are swamp and overflowed, the purchase-money shall be paid

over to the State, or other public lands given in lieu thereof. The acceptance of a school-land location, by the United States authorities, would be tantamount to a sale by the United States; and, although we might lose that particular tract, we could get another in lieu of it. Therefore, if the party holding the State title was himself desirous of again purchasing the land, under the 500,000 acre grant, he was allowed to do so. He was, in every case, however, advised to continue to pay his interest upon the swamp-land purchase, for fear, if he neglected to do so, some one else might comply fully with the terms of the Act of 1858, authorizing the sale of these lands, and, proving the same to be swamp or overflowed, demand his title under the same law. But in no case have I approved school-land locations under lands sold as swamp, except to those who have shown also the best swamp-land title. I informed those who paid twice for the lands, that I would recommend to the Legislature to refund the money paid for one or the other title, as soon as the true character of the land was determined.

The Act of Congress entitled "An Act for the relief of purchasers and locators of swamp and overflowed lands," passed March 2nd, 1855, authorized the President to cause patents to be issued to purchaser or locators who have made entries of the public lands, claimed as swamp lands, prior to the issue of patents to the State. It provides, however, that in all cases where any State may have sold or disposed of any tract of land, prior to the entry, sale, or location of the same, under the pre-emption or other laws of the United States, no patent shall be issued by the President, until the State shall release its claim thereto. It further provides, that if the State shall not, within ninety days from the passage of the Act, return to the General Land Office a list of the lands sold, the patents shall be issued immediately, etc.

I was in doubt whether the provisions of this Act applied to entries made prior to the issue of patents to the State *after* as well as *before* its passage. But by reference to an Act passed March 3rd, 1857, entitled "An Act to confirm to the several States the Swamp and Overflowed Land selected," etc. etc., will be found the following provision: "That nothing in this Act shall interfere with the provisions of the Act of Congress entitled 'An Act for the relief of Purchasers and Locators of Swamp and Overflowed Lands,' approved March 2nd, 1855, which shall be, and is hereby, continued in force, and extended to all entries an locations of lands claimed as swamp lands, made since its passage."

From this, it would appear that Congress has reserved the right to sell any portion of the swamp lands, whether sold by the State or not. For, at the time the Act of 1855 was passed, our Legislature had not provided for the sale of swamp lands. Even if any had been sold, it would have been impossible to return lists from California within the ninety days. Whether Congress had a constitutional right, after granting these lands to the State, to sell them a second time, is a question admitting of doubt to many minds. The argument of Judge Scates, the agent of the State of Illinois, I think is convincing. That State denied the right of Congress to compel her to accept a certain price per acre for her swamp land, or exchange it for other land, of a different character. Judge Scates was sent to Washington to demand a patent for all selections made upon swamp lands, before the passage of the Act granting the same. He says: "The Act of 1850 is a grant, *in presenti*, of all lands of a certain character, with provisions to ascertain and identify the same; with provisions, also, in the nature of covenants, for a further assurance by patent, containing that certain description of the property, when ascertained." "The

whole of that description was granted, and was *then* granted, and must then have taken effect as a grant, if language is allowed its true force and meaning. The superfluous assertion in section second, which, after providing that a patent shall issue upon request, declares that, 'on that patent, the fee-simple to said lands shall vest in the said State,' can not, in any view or sense, qualify or restrain the force or effect of the first section. The patent would have the same effect without, as with, this declaration. It is wholly unnecessary and superfluous, as to operative effect, and throws no light upon the meaning of the grantor." "A State, as land-holder, may make a direct grant by an Act of the Legislature, without intervening agent, patent, or other conveyance. Every Act which contains a contract, and, under which, contracts have been entered into, is, as to those contracts, irrevocable. A sovereign State may not annul its own contracts or grants, and this is also applicable to the United States." "Congress may directly grant, by a legislative Act, without any agency of the Land Office or the President, and it will not be in the power of Congress to annul or rescind such grant, or sell the same lands to another." "By the first grant, all right and title passed, and the Government has no right or power to sell a second, though the title still remains in her; for it will so remain in trust for the first purchaser."

The argument is lengthy and very able, supported by various authorities of law.

If our next Legislature provides for the immediate selection of the swamp lands of the State, the Acts of Congress, above referred to, will work but little injury to her, for she has disposed of only a small portion of the lands now offered for sale by the Government; and in cases where sales have already been made, I think arrangements may be entered into by which those holding a title from the State will be entirely protected from loss. If the Government should dispose of any other of our swamp lands, we can recover back the purchase money. The selections should have been made several years ago. Every hour's delay is a loss to the State. By the erection of levees, and other improvements, the waters of many streams have been confined within their banks; and by the shutting of water off from sloughs, and ditching, much of the land which, a few years ago, was submerged three-fourths of the time, has been reclaimed, and is now fit for cultivation. In the Tulare Valley, much of the land which was almost constantly overflowed, in years past, is now dry the greater portion of the year; from the fact that beaver-dams and other obstructions which prevented the flow of the water through its natural channels have been removed.

Of course, the State will claim all lands which were swamp, or subject to overflow, at the time the grant was made. To enable her to do this successfully, it will be necessary to produce evidence as to the character of the lands at that time. The delay of each year renders this more difficult. In Sacramento county, for instance, the flood of the present year could not be considered an indication of the extent of the natural overflow; because the river is levied for miles below Sacramento City; while the embankments and causeways which have been thrown up from the river to the high land, back, protect that country from the floods which have heretofore entirely inundated it. The population of California being somewhat migratory in its habits, makes it important that the testimony of witnesses, as to the true character of these lands, should be procured before a change takes place in their residence which may possibly carry them beyond the limits of the State.

In this matter, we are far behind the other States. Had we sent our lists to Washington in time, they would have been confirmed, and patents issued to the State before this, under the general Act of 1857, which granted to the States all the swamp lands selected by State authority, not otherwise previously disposed of by the General Government. The different States have adopted various methods of making these selections. In Florida, agents were appointed to examine the lands in the State, and mark upon the plats in the United States Land Offices all such as they believed to be swamp. They received one cent for each acre thus selected. In Illinois, the agents were directed to make the selections as above, and, when necessary, to make surveys, and return the plats and notes to the State Land Office. Thirty thousand dollars were expended in doing this. In these, and the other States, surveys of all the lands had been made by the United States Surveyors, previous to 1850, and selections could be made by sections. Here, however, the swamp lands have not been sectionized, and have been townshipped in but few localities. Consequently, it will be necessary to run the line of segregation, and continue the township lines through the tule as far as practicable. I do not think it would be advisable, at this time, to sectionize, as the cost would be too great. The township lines should be run, if possible. The County Surveyors must have these lines established to base their subdivisions upon; and, by carefully noting all sloughs, rivers, character of land, etc., on line, some idea might be formed of the best means to reclaim those immense bodies of tule lands, which, in the language of Mr. Beaumont, County Surveyor of San Joaquin county, "are as little known to the people of this State, as the territory of New Mexico."

It would be impossible for me to correctly estimate the cost of the survey. I think, however, it would hardly exceed one cent per acre. The Act of 1850 provides that the proceeds of the sales of these lands shall be applied exclusively to the purpose of reclaiming them. The survey, then, would be the first step towards the reclamation; for without it, we could not ascertain how this could be best accomplished.

In March last, the Legislature passed a concurrent resolution, instructing our Senators and requesting our Representatives to urge upon Congress the passage of a law authorizing the State of California to segregate from the United States domain the swamp and overflowed lands granted her by the Act of Congress, at her own cost – the State taking reliable testimony, under oath, and furnishing maps of the same to the proper department at Washington. There is a probability that such a law will be passed by Congress during its present session. The better to enable the State to make the selections next summer, let the Legislature authorize the Surveyor-General, by deputy, to make the necessary surveys; fix the price to be paid per mile or acre; appropriate twenty thousand dollars from the Swamp Land Fund, and authorize the issuance twenty thousand dollars of swamp land scrip; pay for the surveys partly in scrip, and partly in cash; and allow this scrip to be taken in payment for swamp lands. Parties holding it would, of course, soon purchase; and thus, in each scrip-holder, the State would have an agent whose interests would be promoted by bringing these lands early into the market. The accounts of the deputies, sworn to, and certified by the Surveyor-General, would necessarily go before the Board of Examiners for allowance. The field-notes could be accompanied by evidence as to the character of the lands required by the United States, and be made under the instructions of the Surveyor-General, subject to the approval of the Governor.

The Act establishing a Land Office, makes it the duty of the Register to ascertain the extent, limits, and boundaries of all lands to which the State is entitled, and have the title vested in the State; and, when necessary, to agree upon the same with the proper officers of the United States.

The impracticability of correct surveys being made during the winter season, has already been shown; and, to those at all familiar with the character of the lands and overflows of California, must furnish a convincing and conclusive argument. But the department at Washington seems not to have so clear a perception of the facts as those of us nearer home; and though addressed respectfully and fully on the subject by Executive communication, could, undoubtedly, be brought to a more explicit and determinate understanding of the whole subject, if the evidence, accompanied by proper explanations, were presented in person by a regularly authorized agent of the State, qualified from practice and experience for the proper discharge of that responsible duty.

An office of this kind would involve the necessity of explaining to the Commissioner of the General Land Office, the peculiarity of the California seasons; the topography of the country; the extent, locality, kind, and quality of the lands and overflows; the nature of the improvements made, and their effect and influence in turning aside the waters; the class and uses of the spontaneous vegetable growth of the swamp and overflowed lands; the suggestion, and, if possible, the adoption, of an average line of determination between the highest and lowest floods that have occurred since the grant was made; to agree upon the necessary forms to be required for the transmission of lists; and many other incidental questions, of great importance to the State, which could be more fully elaborated, and better explained, orally, than through the medium of correspondence, which would necessarily be voluminous, and not always liable to a correct and perfect interpretation.

Every other State has been represented in this manner, and found it highly advantageous to their interests – a success attending the personal efforts of these agents, which could not, perhaps, have been attained in any other manner. The appointment of this officer should be among the earliest Acts of the Legislature; in order to enable him to proceed to Washington with the lists of swamp and school lands already selected, with a view to their acceptance and confirmation by the Department; and, after agreeing upon some general plan for the selections hereafter to be made, return in time for the Surveyors to be placed in the field, for the performance of the summer labor.

SWAMP LAND ACT OF 1855.

The Swamp Land Act of 1855 is very imperfect, and has been variously interpreted by different interested persons. It provides that the person, or persons, wishing to acquire lands from the State, shall cause the same to be surveyed by the County Surveyor, the purchaser having previously filed an affidavit, to the effect that he (or she) knows of no other legal claim of any description; and that the Surveyor shall deliver a certified copy of the field-notes to the purchaser, who shall cause the same to be recorded within thirty days after the completion of the survey; the purchaser, at the time, or previous to the filing of the field-notes for records, to pay one dollar per acre for

the land, and to be entitled to a credit of five years, by paying interest, at the rate of ten per cent. per annum, one year in advance. The lands shall be declared forfeited if the interest is not paid within one year from the date that it becomes due.

The Act further requires that the County Treasurer shall pay the purchase-money in the State Treasury; the State Treasurer to certify the receipt of the same to the Secretary of the State, who shall issue a certificate of purchase to the purchaser, etc. Section nine of the same Act further provides that the time of computing interest shall, in all cases, commence from the date of the certificate of purchase.

It is to the ambiguity (or, rather, the want of completeness) in those portions of the Act last above quoted, that the numerous difficulties in the final adjustment of titles is mainly attributable. Suppose the purchaser to have made his first payment of interest, within the prescribed term of thirty days after the survey, but failing to apply for his certificate of purchase, the same is not issued to him; and the effect is, that he is relieved of, or avoids, the payment of both principal and interest, after having complied with the provisions of the Act relating to the payment of the first year's interest. In other words, interest does not accrue until the certificate of purchase has been issued; the law fails to render such issuance imperative; and the consequence is, that the purchaser hold the lands after paying the paltry sum of ten cents on the acre, and deprives the State of all other benefits contemplated to arise from placing them in the market. It is left for others to determine as to the motives of purchasers, in delaying to make application for certificates of purchase; but the rarity of the instances in which they have done so, very plainly suggests the necessity of so amending the law as to make the act compulsory.

Discovering at once the losses which a literal interpretation of the Act was likely to entail upon the State, I submitted the matter to the Attorney-General, who furnished me with a written opinion (herewith submitted,) agreeing entirely with my own views of the case, viz: that, as the law evidently intended payment should be made for the lands, interest must be calculated from the date the certificate of purchase *should* have been issued – that is, the time that payment is made into the State Treasury. I have, accordingly, so held and decided.

The attention of the Legislature is respectfully directed to this subject, with the expression of a hope, on my part, that, in amending the Act of 1855, to render its provisions more effective, the purchasers of swamp and overflowed lands may be required to make application for, and compelled to receive, a certificate, within six months from the passage of the Act, on penalty of forfeiture of title. It is impossible for the State Register to perform strictly the duties imposed on him, unless some such amendment to the Act should be adopted. More than one-half the lands already sold, are, by strict construction of the law, forfeited, so far as the present records of this office show. It is barely possible that payments may have been made to the County Treasurers, and no returns made by them to the State Treasury. If so, the Act is none the less defective, as it permits money to be retained in other hands than where it properly belongs, to the injury of our entire people. In many instances, the provisions of the Act are so indifferently observed, that both the names of purchasers, and the descriptions of the lands purchased, have been omitted, in the returns made to the State Treasurer. At other times, the lands are described without naming the purchaser; or, the purchaser being named, the description of the lands is neglected; so that,

although the Register may be satisfied, from the certificate of the State Treasurer, that certain persons have paid for swamp lands, yet, as these lands are not described, it is necessary for him to refer to the original survey for the description. As there may be several persons of the same name, or one purchaser of several tracts of land, the Register is frequently at a loss to determine for which particular tract payment has been made.

I suggest that this difficulty might be avoided, by compelling the parties to make application directly to the Register, or through the County Treasurer, for certificates of purchase, and to state that the money had been paid, the purchaser could then exhibit his receipts; which would at once fix the blame of omission or culpability upon the County Treasurer, and enable the State Treasurer to demand the amount due, when the County Treasurer came forward for a settlement of his quarterly accounts. In many instances, where discrepancies occur in the settlement of the accounts of County Treasurers with the State Treasury, the latter is unable to certify the payments of swamp and overflowed lands to this office. I am of opinion that when the State Register is satisfied that the proper payments have been made, by purchasers, to the County Treasurers, he should be empowered to issue the required certificates of purchase. I would also further suggest that where the purchaser has failed to comply strictly with the provisions of the Act of 1855, so far as it relates to the payment of interest within the prescribed time, and there are no applications of second or third parties to purchase the same, the Register be permitted to exercise discretionary judgment as to the cause of failure as assigned, and issue or withhold the title, as may seem to conform with the spirit of the law, and subserve the best interests of the State.

Under the Act of 1855, the purchaser is required to have the field-notes recorded, as well as pay for the land within thirty days from the date of survey. The record of the field-notes being one of the requirements of the Act, a neglect to make the record must work a forfeiture. The Register has now no means of knowing whether the record has been made or not.

SWAMP LAND ACT OF 1858.

The sales of land under this Act have not been so numerous as it was anticipated they would be. The swamp lands which were excluded from the provisions of the law of 1855, embrace, probably, the most valuable portion of the grant. The United States Land Office has given its interpretation to the Act of Congress, making the grant – with regard to the true meaning of which a difference of opinion is entertained – and the settler hesitates to purchase the title of the State, for the failure of the Legislature, as heretofore, to make provision for their selection, exposes the land to the contingency of being again offered for sale by the General Government.

I am of the opinion, from personal examination, that the lands bordering upon, and for some distance back of, the Sacramento River, on both sides, must be confirmed to the State; and have, therefore, in all cases, advised the purchase of the State's title. If exceptions exist to the rule, they are rare, and extend only to an occasional isolated forty-acre lot. The labor of searching for these spots and surveying them would cost the Government more than they would bring, if offered for sale; which precludes the belief that it will ever set up a separate claim to them.

It is asserted by the settler, that should he buy from the State, and the land is proven to belong to the United States, the purchase-money would be lost to him. In proof, he cites the fact that the seventh section of the Swamp Land Act of 1858 provides that the title of the State shall have no other force nor effect than as a quit-claim on the part of the State.

I have invariably taken the ground, that the Legislature never would permit such an injustice to be done; that the title would undoubtedly be perfected by the State, or the money refunded to the parties purchasing, the honesty or the generosity of the people's representatives in this particular. The object of the section above referred to, as I construe it, is merely to secure the State against any claim for constructive damages, should an effort be made to recover, in cases where parties are compelled to relinquish the State's title.

Another reason why there has not been a greater demand for these lands, rests in the fact that advance payment is required to be made for them in full. The Law of 1855 was very properly repealed, requiring, as it did, simply that the interest on the purchase-money should be paid, and no part of the principal. Under its provisions the purchaser could enjoy the possession and use of a tract of land for two years by paying the trifling sum of ten cents on the acre. If the lands were timbered, he could cut down the trees and dispose of the wood; and if it were found at the expiration of five years that the land was worth more than the price asked by the State, of course he would find it to his advantage to have his title perfected. If, on the other hand, the land was found to be worth less than the price demanded, the premises would be abandoned, and the title forfeited. This gave the purchaser a discriminative right of decision; and whether he remained an occupant of the land, or abandoned it, the State was a loser by the operation.

To remedy this defect, and also to overcome the objections made, the purchaser might be required to pay twenty per cent. of the principal, and ten per cent. interest on the balance, after the land has been surveyed, as is now done in the case of the school lands. The purchaser would then find little or no difficulty in paying for his lands. If a forfeiture should ensue, the lands would revert to the State; and the amount already advanced by the purchaser would probably be amply sufficient to indemnify for damages sustained by the removal of timber, etc.

In this connection, I would respectfully refer your Excellency to the report of Mr. Beaumont, Surveyor of San Joaquin County. San Joaquin County embraces more swamp lands than any other County in the State, and Mr. Beaumont, I know, has given much attention to the best method for the sale and disposition of them. Should the plan suggested by adopted by the Legislature, there is little reason to doubt that a great portion of these lands could be sold in a very short time.

A question arises, whether the interests of the State would not be best subserved by allowing purchasers, after a certain time, to locate more than three hundred and twenty acres. The price of swamp lands might also be graduated. If the best of these lands are worth no more than one dollar per acre, a much greater proportion of them is not worth one-quarter so much. Some can be reclaimed at a trifling cost, while to render others fit for cultivation, would require an outlay of at least thirty dollars per acre.

Amount of Swamp and Overflowed Land which has been Surveyed and returned to this Office, and approved by the Surveyor-General, under the Act of April, 1855, viz:

STATEMENT.

NAMES OF COUNTIES.	Acres returned in 1855.	Acres returned in 1856.	Acres returned in 1857.	Acres returned in 1858.
Alameda.....	-	1,179	817	205
Butte.....	1,280	1,765	-	-
Colusa.....	2,413	3,214	-	200
Contra Costa.....	200	3,089	8,360	1,833
Del Norte.....	-	-	1,360	-
Fresno.....	-	-	3,040	2,228
Humboldt.....	-	2,280	1,069	1,808
Merced.....	-	1,318	-	520
Sacramento.....	3,669	19,560	21,552	720
San Francisco.....	-	1,793	-	-
San Joaquin.....	24,043	31,734	32,292	21,451
Shasta.....	-	720	-	-
Siskiyou.....	-	840	2,040	-
Solano.....	2,736	2,773	8,334	4,801
Sonoma.....	-	-	-	1,349
Sutter.....	5,263	15,657	5,262	200
Stanislaus.....	3,011	2,019	783	522
Tulare.....	720	9,668	27,954	4,316
Yolo.....	11,917	18,680	1,840	11,960
Napa.....	-	-	404	-
Totals.....	55,225	116,289	115,107	52,113

What proportion of these lands has been forfeited, it is impossible for me to state at this time. The County Treasurers were some time since requested to furnish this office with a statement of the various amounts which had been paid for swamp lands in their respective counties, with the name of the purchaser, date, and description. This request has, in most instances, been complied with; but, owing to the great press of business, arising from the sale of school lands, and the want of sufficient clerical force, it has been impossible for me to so arrange the returns and records, as to show the exact state of affairs in regard to the lands sold before the State Land Office was created. I hope, however, to be able, if allowed the necessary assistance, to give, in my next Report, a statement of the true condition of all the lands sold up to that time.

Under the Act of 1858, there have been returned to this office surveys of swamp lands, comprising acres as follows:

COUNTIES.	Acres.
Alameda.....	1,536
Colusa.....	800
Contra Costa.....	600
Humboldt.....	316
Placer.....	640
Sacramento.....	13,003
San Joaquin.....	2,025
San Mateo.....	206
Siskiyou.....	400
Solano.....	3,623
Sutter.....	1,272
Stanislaus.....	250
Yolo.....	5,254
Fresno.....	293
Del Norte.....	40
Total.....	30,258

Of this amount, about 19,000 acres are situated on the banks of the Sacramento River. Probably no other lands than those excluded from the provisions of the Act of 1855, will be sold during the coming year.

Of the total amount derived from the sale of swamp lands, the sum of \$48,136 95 was paid to the General Fund before the passage of the Act of April, 1858, creating a Swamp Land Fund, and should be restored to its proper place, and expended in the selection and reclamation of those lands.

LANDS OF THE STATE OWNED BY VIRTUE OF HER SOVEREIGNTY.

Of this class of land there have been sold as follows, and paid to the County Treasurers, as per Auditors' certificates, two hundred and thirty-one dollars and sixty-seven cents:

Humboldt County.....	289.82 acres
Alameda County.....	<u>12.82 acres</u>
Total.....	302.64 acres

STATE LAND OFFICE,
Sacramento, November 24, 1858.

His Excellency,

JOHN B. WELLER,

Governor of California:

SIR: - I have the honor to transmit, herewith, a copy of a letter received a few days since from Gov. Denver, suggesting the necessity of immediately forwarding to Washington lists of the selections of swamp and overflowed lands made by authority of the State.

Owing to a want of a just appreciation of the importance of making the selections, our Legislature has never acted in the matter, other than to authorize the sale of swamp and overflowed lands, upon certain conditions. The lands thus sold, amounting to but a small portion of the grant, are the only selections yet made. It is a notorious fact, however, that the United States Deputies have largely encroached upon lands really the property of the State, if a fair and liberal construction is put upon the intent and meaning of the Act granting the swamp and overflowed lands to the State. It is to be supposed that Congress, by the Act of 1850, making the grant, really intended to give something of value to the different States; and the Commissioner at that time (Mr. Butterfield,) seemed to be impressed with that idea, judging from his instructions to the United States Surveyors-General, issued the same year. He says: "If the authorities of a State are willing to adopt the field-notes of the United States surveys as the basis of the list of swamp land selections, you will so regard them;" in which event, of course, there could be no further controversy between the State and the United States.

Mr. Butterfield continues: "If not, and those authorities furnish you satisfactory evidence that any lands are of the character embraced by the grant, you will so report them." Again, he says: "Where the State authorities may conclude to have surveys made to determine the boundaries of the swamp or overflowed lands, those boundaries alone should be surveyed, taking connections with the nearest section or township, or any particular part of a township, or the whole of a tract of country, bounded by specified surveyed or natural boundaries, is of the character embraced by the grant, you will so report it. The affidavits of the County Surveyors, and other respectable persons, that they understand and have examined the lines, and that the lands bounded by lines thus examined and designated in the affidavits, are of the character embraced by the law, should be sufficient. The line or boundary of the overflow that renders the land unfit for *regular* cultivation, may be adopted as that which regulates the grant."

This is evidently fair – both to the grantor, who undoubtedly desires to be generous; and to the grantee, who expects to derive some benefit from the gift. Who, sir, I ask, is the more proper judge of the true character of the land – the United States Surveyor, who sees it for the first and last time when running his lines in the driest season of the year, or the County Surveyor, or other competent witness, who has lived upon or adjoining it for years, and who anxiously watches the rise and fall of the waters, which are liable at any moment to destroy the entire produce of his labor?

I have made surveys in most parts of the State, and I know, from personal observation, how these lines of segregation were run – how they must have been run at the price allowed by the General Government for such work. The seasons of California are wholly unlike those of any of the older States of the Union within whose borders swamp lands are found. We have here but two – the wet and dry. During the rainy season, it is almost impossible for the Surveyor to perform his duties in the field; consequently, it is in the summer months that most of his work is done. He is instructed to run the line dividing the dry from the swamp lands. He honestly runs this line, separating the overflowed from the dry land, as it appears to him at that time; and yet, over the very line which his men are then chaining without wetting the soles of their shoes, a few months before, or later, he might find a volume of water through which to navigate a vessel of fifty tons burden. With equal propriety the State might have sent out her surveyors at the height of the flood in 1853, when those portions of the State bordering on streams presented the appearance of one vast lake, and taken the water-line then as the true boundary of segregation. Either course would be the adoption of an extreme not contemplated by the interested parties.

As I am led to understand, the instructions of 1850 relative to the proper method of selecting the swamp lands, have been set aside for those of a more recent date, said to have emanated from the present chief of the General Land Office, a copy of which I have not, as yet, been able to procure.

From the Report of the Surveyor-General for 1856, I find mention made of a letter, addressed by Mr. Hendricks, Commissioner of the General Land Office, to your predecessor in office, in which he states: “The question of cultivation has been carefully examined, and we have long since decided that, to place the land without the purview of the Act, it is not necessary for the cultivation to be in grain. It was not the design of Congress to grant to the States those rich prairie meadows whose crops of grass may be cultivated and harvested – hay being regarded as much a staple production as wheat or corn.”

The Commissioner of the Land Office, in 1850, the year the grant was made, in his instructions says: “All the lands which, though dry a part of the year, are subject to inundation at the planting, growing, or harvesting season, so as to destroy the crop, and therefore are unfit for cultivation, taking the average season, for a reasonable number of years, as the rule of determination, are to be considered granted to the State.”

The Act of 1850 grants to the States all “swamp and overflowed lands, made thereby unfit for cultivation.” Because the waters recede sufficiently, during a few months in the year, to allow a crop of rank grass, tules, and aquatic plants, to grow to such a height that they may be cut, dried, and fed to cattle, for lack of a better food, should be no proof that the land is fit for cultivation. Swamps, in many of the Western States, yield luxuriant and profitable crops of cranberries, and yet they are scarcely fit for cultivation. Hay is undoubtedly a staple production, when cultivated upon good lands, like grain, or other crops; and, choice of crops being left with the farmer, he might raise hay, or something else, as he saw fit. If he were to await the falling of the waters, however, to harvest this rank vegetation, of spontaneous growth, I am disposed to think the market would be glutted with dried bulrushes, and the farmer convinced that, although hay might be as much a staple as corn or wheat, yet, it would not prove quite as nutritious a food for the bipeds of his family, and he would probably proceed to

reclaim his lands, by levees and ditches, that he might, by cultivation, have an election as to the *kind* of staple he would prefer to raise!

From the great amount of current business in this office, it will be impossible for me to furnish proper lists of even those lands the State had already sold. Some of these lands, as I have before informed your Excellency, are offered for sale by the President, in February next; although, in a note appended to the proclamation, it is said that swamp and overflowed lands will be excluded from the sale. I have addressed circulars to the different United States Land offices, asking if my protest, as agent of the State, would be sufficient to induce them to withdraw certain swamp lands from market; or, if proof as to the character were required, in what manner should I present it. The Registers of the San Francisco and Marysville Districts replied, that they could not withhold from sale any of the lands offered, except under direct instructions from Washington.

I have no doubt, in my own mind, but the Registers have power to withhold the lands. In the proclamation, certain *described* lands are offered for sale; yet why should the note be appended, were it not intended that if, in the list offered, there should be found swamp lands, they should be withdrawn from market? With the exception of those lands for which the State has already given title, it makes but little difference to her whether she sells the swamp lands, or they are disposed of by the General Government. The second section of an Act of Congress, passed March 2, 1855, provides that, "upon due proof, by the authorized agent of the State, before the Commissioner of the General Land Office, that any of the lands purchased (*i.e.*, from the United States,) were swamp lands, within the true intent and meaning of the Act aforesaid, (of 1850,) the purchase-money shall be paid over to the State," etc. etc. But should the United States again sell lands which have been purchased from the State in good faith, supposing them to be swamp, which have been levied and drained, and upon which valuable improvements have been erected, she would be inflicting upon the settler great injustice and injury. He, relying upon his title derived from the State, of course never dreamed of the necessity of securing it by pre-emption; and the consequence will be that, should the United States authorities insist upon offering these lands for sale in February next, the settler, who has spent much money and time in making the land valuable, will be at the mercy of the heartless speculator, who, of course, would bid the tract off at the full value of improvements and land. It has always been the policy of our Government to assist the actual settler and tiller of the soil. The money to be derived from the sale of the lands referred to is a matter of no moment to the State, or United States. In fact, I would assume the responsibility of saying, that if these lands are really the property of the United States, the Legislature of California would willingly agree to refund ten times their original cost, rather than the hard-working citizen should suffer so great an injustice as to be despoiled of them.

I am afraid that no action of the next Congress, in this matter, can be had in time to prevent the evils which the February sale must inflict. Still, I think upon a proper representation of the facts to the General Land Office, that the Secretary of the Interior would, at least, instruct the United States Registers in California to withhold from sale the lands already sold by the State, until such time as the true character of the land can be proven.

I would suggest to your Excellency, in view of the exigency of the occasion, the propriety of addressing our Senators and Representatives in Congress on this subject, asking them to procure from that body, at as early a day as possible, the passage of an Act explanatory of the Act of 1850, granting the swamp and overflowed lands to the several States. Let us have some definite rule for our guidance. Let Congress say what shall be taken as the true line of segregation. Why would it not be proper to take the mean of the greatest and least overflow since 1849 as the line? Or, say that, in this State, all lands shall be considered as embraced in the grant of 1850, which are ordinarily overflowed in the rainy season – taking an average of the various floods from 1849 to 1858? To simplify the matter, let the points of intersection of the United States section-lines with the line of average flood be established. Let these intersections be connected by straight lines, and all quarter-quarter sections, or forty-acre lots, the greater part of which are shown by these lines to be within the swamp or overflow, be certified to the State. I think there can be no doubt that our next Legislature will take some measures to ascertain the true line of segregation. This can be done by running the lines according to the evidence of persons who have been familiar with each locality for years.

I transmit, herewith, a list of the swamp lands sold by the State, and which are now offered for sale by the Government, in February next.

Respectfully your obedient servant,

H. A. HIGLEY,
Surveyor-General, and *ex officio* Register of Land Office.

A list of the swamp lands sold by the State was transmitted to A. G. Bradford, Esq., Register of United States Land Office at Stockton. In an official communication, he informs me that, although some of these lands are offered for sale in February, he will, in justice to the purchasers from the State, withdraw them from the market, until further instructions are received from Washington.

SCHOOL LANDS

THE FIVE HUNDRED THOUSAND ACRES DONATION.

At the time the School-land Act of April 23, 1858, was passed, there had been sold School-land Warrants as follows:

Nos. from 1 to 838, for 160 acres each.....	134,080
Nos. from 1 to 324, for 320 acres each.....	103,680
Total acres.....	237,760

Which, deducted from 500,000, leaves a balance of 262,240, to be sold under the provisions of the Act of 1858. The whole of this amount has been selected, although full returns have not yet been received at this Office. Purchasers, in every instance, preferred to pay twenty per cent. of the purchase-money, and interest, at the rate of ten per cent. per annum, on the balance. This method of payment is much the best for the School Fund; as, in the event that the full amount of the purchase-money were paid, the law requires it to be converted into State Bonds, bearing interest only at the rate of seven per cent. per annum. The lien upon the land is ample security for the payment of the balance.

Section six of the Act providing for the sale of these lands, on a credit, is obscure in its language, and difficult to be rightly interpreted. It reads: "Or if said purchaser prefer, he may pay twenty per cent. of the purchase-money, and *one* year's interest on the balance, in advance, and the Register of the State Land Office shall deliver to him a certificate of purchase." The law does not say when this money shall be paid, nor does it provide for the payment of more than one year's interest, although the credit extends to one year after the locations are approved by the General Government.

To prevent misunderstanding, I instructed the Locating Agents in no case to receive applications unless they were accompanied by an agreement to the following effect: The applicant to pay one dollar and twenty-five cents per acre, when the land is located in the United States Land Office, in the following manner – twenty per cent. of the principal, and interest on the balance, at the rate of ten per cent. per annum, in advance, dating as above, from the time of location. Inasmuch as there were instances in which, after the locations had been made in the United States Land Office, they could not be approved by the Surveyor-General, purchasers were allowed a period of thirty days after the date of approval, in which to make their payments. I had no authority to require this – yet I knew that it would be the means of avoiding a great deal of trouble. The law required that affidavits should be made before a Notary Public, or Justice of the Peace. The Locating Agent had legal power to administer oaths, and he was the most competent person to exercise the right in making these locations. There are instances of affidavits having been subscribed to before him.

I would respectfully suggest, through you, to the Legislature, that the School-land Law of April 23, 1858, be so amended and explained as to require the twenty per cent. of the principal, and the ten per cent. interest on the balance to be paid within thirty days after the approval of the Surveyor-General is recorded by the Locating Agent; that the interest shall be considered to date from the approval of the location in the United States Land Office; that the subsequent year's interest be paid yearly in advance, at the rate of ten per cent., until the whole of the purchase-money is received; and that all affidavits required in applications for land, which have been subscribed to before the Locating Agents, be declared lawful and valid.

STATEMENT.

Balance of 500,000 acres not sold under Warrants, 262,240, which, at \$1 25 per acre, would amount to.....	\$327,800
Twenty per cent. of \$327,800.....	\$65,560
Ten per cent. interest on balance, \$262,240.....	<u>26,224</u>
Total.....	\$91,784

Which will probably be paid to the County Treasurers before the first of March.

Of this amount, \$65,560 will be expended by the Board of Examiners in the purchase of State Bonds, which will be placed to the credit of the School Fund. The interest-money, \$26,224, will be subject to the order of the Board of Education, and by them apportioned to the different Counties.

STATEMENT.

Sold under School Warrants, 237,760 acres, at \$2,00.....	\$475,520
Twenty per cent. of purchase-money on balance.....	<u>65,560</u>
Amount of School Fund drawing seven per cent. per annum.....	<u>\$541,080</u>
Yearly interest.....	\$37,875 60
Ten per cent. on \$262,240, amount still due for land.....	<u>26,224 00</u>
Yearly amount of interest, from sale of 500,00 acres.....	\$64,099 60
Amount paid County Treasurers for School lands, as shown by Auditors' certificates, received by this Office, to date.....	\$40,541 68

Which amount will probably be paid into the State Treasury in the January settlement.

SCHOOL-LAND WARRANTS.

I would respectfully call your attention to the present condition of the School-land Warrants and the lands upon which they have been located.

An Act of the Legislature of 1852, provided for the issuance of Warrants for 160 and 320 acres each, one person not being allowed to purchase more than 640 acres;

although one man could buy from individuals as many Warrants as he had money to pay for, and locate them to the full amount of the grant.

The same Act allowed locations to be made upon unsurveyed lands, while the Act of Congress making the grant, expressly provides that the selection shall be made of lands surveyed according to existing laws. The holder of the Warrant was required to apply to the County Surveyor to have the land desired to be located, surveyed by lines running to the cardinal points. A copy of the field-notes, certified to be correct by the County Surveyor, was to be recorded in the office of the County Clerk, and then, as far as the provisions of the Act were concerned, the location was complete. Nothing is said as to what disposition shall be made of the Warrant; nor is it even required that the fact of location shall be endorsed upon it.

The purchaser of course retained his Warrant, and, if he chose, could sell it to a second party, who, ignorant of the prior location, would probably locate it a second time in some other County.

We will then suppose a Warrant to have been located a dozen times in this manner, each one having been made in exact conformity to the law. Which one will hold? Suppose, again, that A, the original holder of a Warrant, locates it upon a certain piece of land: After the location is completed he sells the land to B, and the Warrant to C: C locates the Warrant, in a different part of the State. For which of the two tracts of land will the State give title? As the land which A sold to B was the first location, and no float had been made in the manner prescribed by law, the supposition is that it will hold good. But suppose C sells the Warrant to D, and he locates it in the United States Land Office under the provisions of the Act of the Legislature of 1857 – many locations have been thus made, and in all instances the United States Register retained the Warrants and certified the location to the Department at Washington as a selection of the State – the supposition is, that the United States would patent to the State that tract of land located by D, and B would consequently, lose his land.

Section twelve of the School-land Act of 1858, provides, “That all School-land Warrants now in circulation, shall be received for school lands, and may be located as now provided by law.”

A number of applications for lands have been received where the parties agree to pay for the same with certain School-land Warrants. The locations have been made in the name of the State, and I have accepted them as State selections, but in all cases have refused to issue certificates of purchase to the applicant until some action shall be taken in the matter by the Legislature. The same Warrant may have been located before, and if it is decided that the first is the only valid location, then of course the applicant under the Law of 1858 ought not to receive a certificate. As the Law now stands, the Register has no power to issue certificates of purchase for locations made in the United States Land Offices under the Act of 1857. From the information derived from the registers of the United States Land Office and the County Surveyors, I have made out a list of all the School-land Warrant locations made in the State, showing when, where, and by whom, they have been located. This list will be found in the Appendix, and I trust it will be found to be nearly so. By circulating the list, interested parties will be able to find out whether other locations than their own have been made under the same Warrant; and if so, can inform themselves whether the same were

properly floated, etc. by communicating the result of their inquiries to this office, we can arrive at a correct knowledge of the true condition of these Warrant locations.

Even the Law of 1858 does not require the Warrants to be cancelled after the location is made.

SEMINARY LANDS

The seventy-two sections of land granted to the State for a Seminary of Learning, have probably been selected by the Locating Agents.

Having received no advices from the Humboldt Land District for some time, I can not state the exact quantity located. There is no doubt, however, that all the land to which the State is entitled, can and will be selected before the sales of the United States Lands take place in February next. Section ten of the Act authorizing the sale of the seminary lands, provides that one year from the passage of the Act there shall be taken from the School Fund \$57,600, with which State Bonds are to be purchased, and placed to the credit of the Seminary Fund. All those lands have been sold upon the credit allowed by the Act. Consequently, upon one dollar per acre the purchaser pays interest at the rate of ten per cent. per annum, while State Bonds yield but seven per cent.

The selections and locations of the two grants for school and seminary purposes, are entirely separate and distinct, and the records in this office are so kept.

It is probable that full payments for these lands will not be demanded for several years. The law works, in consequence, an injustice to the Seminary Fund, by compelling it to accept State Bonds in lieu of the lien upon its own lands, which yields an interest of three per cent. per year more.

Parties desiring to purchase these lands make application for them as seminary lands. The selections are approved in the United States Land Office as such, and the receipt of the County Treasurers and the statements of the State Treasurer show them to be of that class.

Two Townships would amount to 46,080 acres, which, at \$1 25 per acre, would realize \$57,600. Twenty per cent. of this could yield \$11,520, and the first year's interest on the balance, at ten per cent., would be \$4,608. Total of first year's payment, \$16,128. This amount should very properly be invested in State Bonds, as well as each succeeding year's interest, amounting to \$4,608, from the unpaid balance, with \$1,129, the interest on the first Bonds. At the expiration of five years, the Fund would be as follows:

Twenty per cent. of principal, and interest on balance, first year.....		\$16,128 00
Second year's interest on unpaid balance.....	\$4,608 00	
Interest on State Bonds for \$16,128 00.....	1,128 96	
Carried forward.....		5,736 96
Brought forward.....		\$5,739 96
Third year's interest on unpaid balance.....	\$4,608 00	
Interest on State Bonds for \$21,864 96	1,530 55	
		6,138 55
Fourth year's interest on unpaid balance.....	\$4,608 00	
Interest on State Bonds for \$28,003 51.....	1,960 24	
		6,568 24
Fifth year's interest on unpaid balance.....	\$4,608 00	
Interest on State Bonds for \$34,571 75.....	2,420 02	
		7,028 02
Total of twenty per cent. of principal and interest on unpaid balance and State Bonds.....		\$41,599 77
Eighty per cent. of principal unpaid.....		46,080 00
Total to be derived from sale of Seminary Lands in five years.....		\$87,679 77

This exhibit will show very clearly the advantage to the Fund, of prolonging the credit allowed the purchaser until there is actual need for the use of the whole principal.

By the terms of the Act, it can be called in whenever the Legislature so desires.

I would recommend, therefore, that so much of the Act as requires the Board of Examiners, on the twenty-third of April next, to invest \$57,600 in State Stocks for the benefit of the Seminary Fund, be repealed, and that provision be made for the purchase of State Bonds, with so much of the principal as may be paid up, with the interest accruing on those Bonds, and with the interest upon that portion of the principal which remains unpaid.

PUBLIC BUILDING LANDS.

The Act of Congress granting to the State the seminary lands, also donated to her ten sections, or 6,400 acres, to aid in the erection of public buildings. This grant probably escaped the attention of the last Legislature, as no provision was made for the selection or disposal of the same. As, under the Act making the grant, the Governors of the different States had the power to make these selections, at your suggestions, and as your agent, I last summer proceeded to the country about Clear Lake, for that

purpose. I found, however, no vacant land of sufficient value to authorize me in making the selections. I would, therefore, recommend that a law be immediately passed, authorizing the sale of the Public Building Lands at the rate of two dollars per acre, twenty-five per cent. of the principal, and ten per cent. per annum interest on the balance, to be paid when the location is approved, and interest to be paid yearly in advance, at the rate of ten per cent. per annum, with the whole of the purchase-money when called for by the Act of Legislature. The whole grant can thus be disposed of, if the Act is passed sufficiently early in the session to enable parties to locate the lands before the February sales.

COUNTY BOUNDARIES.

But one survey of a County line has been returned to this office – that between the Counties of Santa Clara and Monterey, under the requisition of the Board of Supervisors of Santa Clara County. A commission was issued to Chas. T. Healy, Surveyor of Santa Clara, as Deputy. Notice was given the Board of Supervisors of Monterey that instructions had been issued, with a request that they would appoint a Commissioner to accompany Mr. Healy. The boundary line has been established to the satisfaction of both Counties, and the survey approved.

The Board of Supervisors of Placer County made application for a survey of the boundaries of their County and recommended Mr. Elliott, the County Surveyor, as a proper person to establish the lines. I appointed him some time since, but he has not as yet made his returns.

Applications for boundary surveys have been received from the Supervisors of Napa and Sonoma Counties. I have not as yet deputized any one to perform the work.

STATISTICS.

In obedience to the requirements of the law, I issued circulars to the County Surveyors and Assessors, calling upon them for the statistical information required to be transmitted to this office. Full reports have been received from the Assessors of every County in the State, with the exception of Santa Barbara, as follows:

D. S. Lacy.....	County Assessor of Alameda County,
H. A. Eichelberger.....	County Assessor of Amador County,
David Weaver.....	County Assessor of Butte County,
Chauncey Johnson.....	County Assessor of Calaveras County,
Frank Spalding.....	County Assessor of Colusa County,

John F. S. Smith.....	County Assessor of Contra Costa County,
Solon Hall.....	County Assessor of Del Norte County,
Lewis Foster.....	County Assessor of El Dorado County,
John G. Simpson.....	County Assessor of Fresno County,
Jacob DeHaven.....	County Assessor of Humboldt County,
F. J. Coffin.....	County Assessor of Klamath County,
Juan Sepulveda.....	County Assessor of Los Angeles County,
Warren Dutton.....	County Assessor of Marin County,
James F. Jenkins.....	County Assessor of Mariposa County
William C. Hoge.....	County Assessor of Merced County,
William E. Lovett.....	County Assessor of Monterey County,
A. Roney.....	County Assessor of Napa County,
Martin Brannan.....	County Assessor of Nevada County,
J. W. Spann.....	County Assessor of Placer County,
John. G. Corey.....	County Assessor of Plumas County,
E. B. Ryan.....	County Assessor of Sacramento County,
W. S. Morrow, (Deputy).....	County Assessor of San Bernardino County,
A. B. Smith.....	County Assessor of San Diego County,
C. R. Bond.....	County Assessor of San Francisco County,
H. Grissim.....	County Assessor of San Joaquin County,
Charles Varian.....	County Assessor of San Luis Obispo County,
Charles E. Kelly.....	County Assessor of San Mateo County,
Wm. H. Patton.....	County Assessor of Santa Clara County,
T. T. Tidball.....	County Assessor of Santa Cruz County,
William H. Angell.....	County Assessor of Shasta County,
A. J. McKinsey.....	County Assessor of Sierra County,
John S. Dudley.....	County Assessor of Siskiyou County,
Benj. H. Brown.....	County Assessor of Solano County,
N. Nuckalls.....	County Assessor of Sonoma County,
E. B. Beard.....	County Assessor of Stanislaus County,
D. E. Hamblen.....	County Assessor of Sutter County,
R. B. Loomis.....	County Assessor of Tehama County,
Henry Hart.....	County Assessor of Trinity County,
T. C. Hayes.....	County Assessor of Tulare County,
R. B. Robinson.....	County Assessor of Tuolumne County,
James McCauley.....	County Assessor of Yolo County,
Joel D. Martin.....	County Assessor of Yuba County.

These will be found in the Appendix, and contain a great deal of very valuable information.

There have been received this year, reports from:

James T. Statten.....	County Surveyor of Alameda County,
Daniel Small.....	County Surveyor of Contra Costa County,
E. W. Welton.....	County Surveyor of El Dorado County,
A. D. Eastroot.....	County Surveyor of Marin County,

Nathaniel Squibb.....	County Surveyor of Napa County,
James H. Whitlock.....	County Surveyor of Plumas County,
Phil E. Drescher.....	County Surveyor of Sutter County,
John B. Wood.....	County Surveyor of Sonoma County,
Duncan Beaumont.....	County Surveyor of San Joaquin County,
Charles T. Healy.....	County Surveyor of Santa Clara County,
I. E. James.....	County Surveyor of Sierra County,
G. R. Turner.....	County Surveyor of San Francisco County,
Alex. Garbi.....	County Surveyor of San Mateo County.

Some of these reports are also very full, and contain information of much interest to the State at large. They will also be found in the Appendix.

STATE LAND OFFICE.

The Act creating a State Land Office imposed new and arduous duties upon the Surveyor-General.

It was known that the landed interests of the State were in great confusion. Various Acts had been passed, authorizing the sale of both the swamp and school lands, but, from the fact that no one officer had been intrusted with the care and management of the same, both the purchaser and State were daily suffering, from the uncertain and complicated proceedings necessary to acquire title. Lands to which the State had no title, had been sold by her agents; other lands, undoubtedly her property, had been disposed of by the General Government. In some instances, title for the same tract of land had been issued to two purchasers. Neither the number of acres sold, nor the amount paid for the same, could be arrived at, from the fact that no officer possessed the requisite information.

Since my appointment as Register, I have diligently striven to overcome these evils, and bring order out of chaos. My success has not been as great as I could have wished. It was supposed, by the Legislature of last year, that the fee of three dollars for each certificate of purchase would enable me to pay the clerks necessary to perform the duties of the office. These fees for the year amounted to \$798. The duties of the Land Office required the services of three clerks. During the last six months, I have always had one employed – sometimes three. The fees would not properly pay the salary of one.

It will be readily seen the disadvantages under which I have labored. One unacquainted with the duties of a Land Office can scarce realize the amount of labor required to perform its duties.

During the past year we have sold nearly the whole of the five hundred thousand acre grant, and the seminary lands; also, some ninety thousand acres of swamp land. With the money furnished by you I purchased about one hundred United States Township plats, and, from the contingent fund of my office, about twenty more. These have all been copied on tracing-linen, and transmitted to the Surveyors of the various Counties.

Nearly one-half of the time of myself or Clerk has been occupied in giving information to parties of purchasing lands, or to those who, having purchased, and fearing that the titles they had derived from the State were imperfect, wished the opinion of the Office in relation to the proper mode of perfecting the same.

The law requires the Register to decide to whom the certificate of purchase shall be issued, when there are conflicting claimants to the land. These contests, about thirty in number, have taken up a large portion of my time. The land in dispute oftentimes did not amount to ten acres, for which the State would receive one dollar per acre. Frequently, personal spite, more than the value of the land, was the cause of contest, yet I would have to hear the testimony of probably a dozen witnesses, in doing which time was occupied which could have been employed in a manner much more profitable to the State. One reason, probably, of the many contests, was the fact that I made no charge, the law not authorizing any. I therefore ask, that the Register be allowed the same fee as a Justice of the Peace, or else that contestants be compelled to go into the Courts, and there settle these claims.

I have employed in the Land Office, for three months or more, a Draughtsman, who has been platting, upon sheets, on a scale of one mile to the inch, the various Districts of the State, showing the lands that have been offered for sale by the United States, the school, swamp, and seminary lands, which have been sold by the State – Rivers, Counties, etc., enabling one, at a glance, to ascertain whether any quarter-section has been sold, how sold, etc., with a reference to the proper Book of Record. They will not be completed for several months.

In view of the small amount of fees collected during the last year, I ask that you recommend an appropriation for the salaries of two clerks, for the month of December last; also, for one from the first of January to the first of July, 1859. I trust that the fees of office will enable me to pay for the additional assistance required, without again calling upon the Legislature for an appropriation.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

H. A. HIGLEY,
Surveyor-General, and Register of Land Office.

TAXABLE PROPERTY.

AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS.

COUNTIES.	Acres of Land Inclosed.	Acres of Land Cultivated.	WHEAT.		BARLEY.		OATS.		RYE.		CORN.		BUCKWHEAT.	
			Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.
Alameda.....	-	56,000	12,803	256,060	24,044	721,320	5,412	324,720	43	860	291	-	140	1,400
Amador.....	-	7,509	1,476	22,140	1,828	36,560	300	7,500	-	-	200	10,000	-	-
Butte.....	-	11,332	2,497	46,829	4,086	78,669	218	6,235	6	135	115	3,630	-	-
Calaveras.....	-	5,648	676	6,760	1,998	23,976	562	2,810	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusi.....	-	9,405	3,560	52,900	4,845	96,906	785	23,550	-	-	150	5,350	-	-
Contra Costa...	-	31,155	16,870	286,790	6,300	180,000	900	31,500	60	2,400	-	-	-	-
Del Norte.....	-	2,228	620	24,800	140	5,880	975	68,250	-	-	10	750	11	715
El Dorado.....	-	7,130	1,016	10,258	1,511	17,744	1,720	8,211	-	-	22	1,000	-	-
Fresno.....	-	1,500	250	5,000	1,000	20,000	-	-	-	-	100	3,000	-	-
Humboldt.....	-	4,150	1,350	40,000	250	10,000	1,200	40,000	10	200	75	2,250	20	500
Klamath.....	-	2,975	1,500	37,500	15	300	600	78,000	-	-	60	2,200	-	-
Los Angeles.....	-	6,750	510	-	4,215	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin.....	-	6,516	546	21,840	1,420	56,800	3,250	130,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa.....	-	2,000	280	4,200	500	7,500	50	1,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced.....	-	1,750	600	12,000	1,000	25,000	5	100	-	-	100	3,000	-	-
Monterey.....	-	29,054	2,861	28,610	2,544	76,428	216	12,960	-	-	43	430	40	400
Napa.....	-	35,660	16,000	500,000	5,000	150,000	2,000	50,000	-	-	2,000	50,000	-	-
Nevada.....	-	28,000	4,500	-	6,500	-	1,400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer.....	-	-	-	108,000	-	100,000	400	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas.....	17,420	4,957	3,080	46,200	290	5,800	702	17,500	-	-	36	1,000	-	-
Sacramento.....	-	54,128	9,628	171,340	21,430	516,782	1,680	41,420	3	-	197	6,600	-	-
San Bernardino	-	16,000	541	13,525	355	12,425	-	-	6	126	314	10,990	16	160
San Diego.....	-	2,300	800	16,000	1,100	27,500	-	-	-	-	304	6,080	-	-
San Francisco..	-	-	30	850	100	4,000	100	2,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin....	108,233	72,473	20,000	300,000	37,000	600,000	1,620	40,500	89	2,050	260	9,120	45	900

San Luis														
Obispo.....	-	900	500	3,000	350	2,500	-	-	-	-	30	600	-	-
San Mateo.....	-	11,129	2,480	74,400	2,590	103,600	2,145	75,075	336	11,760	95	1,520	29	1,160
Santa Barbara..	-	16,000	541	13,525	355	12,425	-	-	6	120	314	10,990	16	160
Santa Clara.....	-	30,000	14,500	145,000	8,000	160,000	1,000	25,000	50	500	100	1,500	10	200
Santa Cruz.....	-	33,520	3,930	98,250	5,100	200,000	1,460	58,400	140	3,500	300	9,000	470	9,400
Shasta.....	-	4,440	1,200	24,060	1,872	26,592	700	1,400	-	-	172	3,440	-	-
Sierra.....	-	575	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou.....	-	100,000	4,000	140,000	4,000	145,000	3,500	142,500	500	12,500	500	12,500	-	-
Solano.....	-	37,255	8,258	165,160	6,567	164,175	833	24,990	100	1,000	428	8,560	50	500
Sonoma.....	-	30,000	8,000	16,000	6,000	240,000	5,978	119,560	358	7,160	1,348	347,000	121	2,420
Stanislaus.....	-	8,550	1,850	18,500	4,000	48,000	500	-	150	1,500	300	4,000	-	-
Sutter.....	-	17,000	2,225	62,300	11,000	308,000	1,400	28,000	140	4,200	124	4,360	-	-
Tehama.....	-	18,320	7,850	133,450	9,300	232,000	125	3,125	134	4,020	200	600	-	-
Trinity.....	-	3,210 ¾	953 ¼	-	838 ½	-	332 ¾	-	1	-	71 3/8	-	-	-
Tulare.....	-	2,625	1,800	36,000	300	6,000	5	250	-	-	200	8,000	-	-
Tuolumne.....	13,020	4,563	537	-	2,336	-	913	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo.....	67,000	47,438	10,500	-	30,675	-	110	-	-	-	162	-	-	-
Yuba.....	40,000	23,000	3,363	85,975	5,576	153,765	300	8,700	-	-	75	3,750	20	500

AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (cont.)

COUNTIES.	PEAS.		BEANS.		POTATOES.		SWEET POTATOES.		ONIONS.		HAY.		FLAX.	
	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Bushels.	Acres.	Tons.	Acres.	Pounds.
Alameda.....	57	1,700	800	16,000	2,100	85,000	3	300	35	1,400	1,108	1,200	-	-
Amador.....	15	-	27	-	50	-	10	-	25	-	2,000	2,000	-	-
Butte.....	26	400	-	-	39	9,936	1 ½	440	-	-	1,620	1,799	-	-
Calaveras.....	-	-	-	-	50	7,500	-	-	-	-	2,362	2,362	-	-
Colusi.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,500	-	-
Contra Costa..	-	-	300	-	600	-	-	-	-	-	5,000	5,000	-	-
Del Norte.....	100	5,000	25	1,125	120	60,000	-	-	10	1,500	60	210	-	-
El Dorado.....	-	-	-	-	43	6,375	-	-	2	235	1,383	1,383	-	-
Frezno.....	-	-	-	-	10	1,000	4	1,000	-	-	-	40	-	-
Humboldt.....	500	25,000	60	900	250	25,000	-	-	12	-	400	-	3	-
Klamath.....	40	2,500	35	1,000	300	48,260	-	-	7	1,450	25	60	-	-
Los Angeles...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin.....	-	-	60	1,200	1,240	124,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa.....	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	300	-	-
Merced.....	-	-	200	4,000	-	-	-	-	10	500	300	300	-	-
Monterey.....	-	-	300	6,000	980	245,000	-	-	-	-	2,687	4,030	-	-
Napa.....	100	2,500	50	1,000	300	1,500	-	-	20	4,000	5,000	5,000	-	-
Nevada.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	600	-	-	-
Plumas.....	18	-	16	-	208	16,000	-	-	-	-	2,000	2,000	-	-
Sacramento....	40	1,600	40	1,200	831	191,300	166	37,200	-	33,186	10,391	12,020	-	-
San Bernardino....	-	-	38	760	40	3,000	-	-	15	975	160	375	-	-
San Diego.....	25	400	50	100	15	600	1	25	3	150	-	-	-	-
San Francisco..	-	-	4	200	100	8,000	-	-	-	-	30	60	-	-
San Joaquin...	100	1,800	75	2,625	425	25,000	106	8,480	220	33,000	10,500	15,750	4	-
San Luis Obispo.....	-	-	20	500	4	400	-	-	-	-	-	100	-	-
San Mateo.....	80	3,200	530	15,900	1,311	144,216	3	-	40	3,200	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	38	760	40	3,000	-	-	15	975	160	375	-	-

Santa Clara.....	18	180	200	2,000	100	5,000	-	-	50	5,000	5,917	-	-	-
Santa Cruz.....	230	2,300	2,700	40,500	1,060	53,000	-	-	44	885	8,000	12,000	-	-
Shasta.....	30	560	15	370	48	6,028	-	-	16	672	1,700	1,275	-	-
Sierra.....	-	-	-	-	-	21,834	-	-	-	250	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou.....	200	4,000	100	1,500	550	4,250	-	-	125	1,250	5,000	7,500	-	-
Solano.....	60	1,200	50	1,000	100	1,000	120	21,850	30	2,500	2,000	2,000	-	-
Sonoma.....	250	5,060	30	3,000	2,129	17,032	-	-	5	600	9,362	13,893	-	-
Stanislaus.....	20	400	15	300	10	200	-	-	5	125	1,000	500	-	-
Sutter.....	-	-	-	-	50	10,000	-	-	20	2,000	1,500	3,000	-	-
Tehama.....	-	-	-	-	70	7,000	20	1,000	15	1,200	2,000	2,000	-	-
Trinity.....	11 5/8	-	38 ¼	-	326 ¾	-	-	-	24	-	550	-	-	-
Tulare.....	-	-	20	-	10	3,000	20	4,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne.....	-	-	-	-	112	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,093	-	-
Yolo.....	60	-	21	-	506	-	95	-	34	-	-	-	1	-
Yuba.....	10	-	50	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	1,845	2,395	-	-

AGRICULTURAL PRODUCTS (cont.)

COUNTIES.	HEMP.		TOBACCO.		COTTON.		SUGAR CANE.	Acres of Broom Corn.	Pounds Butter.	Pounds Cheese.	Dozens of Eggs.	Pounds of Wool.
	Acres.	Pounds.	Acres.	Pounds.	Acres.	Pounds.	Acres.					
Alameda.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	75,150	8,250	450,000	32,000
Amador.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	-	-
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	21,456	-	-	10,300
Calaveras.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusi.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	19,150	1,100	9,345	38,000
Contra Costa..	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	125	480,000	100,000	126,000	7,500
Del Norte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	12,000	-	24,333	2,000
El Dorado.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	4,000
Fresno.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,000
Humboldt.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100,000	5,000	18,225	-
Klamath.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,500	-	2,600	-
Los Angeles...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	210,000	150,000	-	-
Mariposa.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Merced.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	8,000	2,000	20,000	10,000
Monterey.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20,000	30,000	3,000	190,000
Napa.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	125,000	25,000	-	-
Nevada.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150,000
Plumas.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	80	281,600	90,000	120,826	33,370
San Bernardino....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	10,700	6,080	4,225	7,000
San Diego.....	-	-	1	300	-	-	-	1	1,000	2,000	300	3,000
San Francisco..	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6,000	2,500	9,000	-
San Joaquin...	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	42,043	28,000	33,000	49,733
San Luis Obispo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo.....	-	-	1	100	-	-	-	-	25,000	10,000	40,000	7,000

Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	6	10,700	6,080	4,225	7,000
Santa Clara.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	75	200,000	250,000	200,000	55,000
Santa Cruz.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	26,400	1,800	6,800	-
Sierra.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12,000	6,000	20,000	-
Solano.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	300	5,000	5,000	50,000	75,000
Sonoma.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	621,000	384,150	40,000	46,020
Stanislaus.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,500	500	3,500	75,000
Sutter.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	35,000	10,000	35,393	25,000
Tehama.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	13,886
Trinity.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,820	2,000	-	-
Tulare.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuolumne.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo.....	1	-	-	-	-	-	27	156	-	-	-	60,000
Yuba.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	-	-	21,000

TABLE OF STATISTICS – COMPILED FROM THE OFFICIAL REPORTS OF COUNTY ASSESSORS, FOR THE YEAR 1858, RETURNED TO THE SURVEYOR GENERAL.

HORTICULTURAL PRODUCTS. – NUMBER OF TREES AND VINES.

COUNTIES.	Apple.	Peach.	Pear.	Plum.	Cherry.	Nectarine.	Quince.	Apricot.	Fig.	Aloe.	Citron.	Lemon.	Orange.	Olive.
Alameda.....	103,632	180,857	56,276	27,258	18,940	5,660	1,126	21,438	6,075	-	-	-	100	3
Amador.....	6,500	13,750	1,160	475	425	500	350	1,025	235	-	-	-	-	-
Butte.....	5,210	20,100	2,696	908	590	2,228	4,124	1,496	599	-	-	-	-	-
Calaveras.....	8,850	15,524	1,020	892	732	674	530	632	331	14	34	29	63	102
Colusi.....	7,204	12,400	74	172	112	-	-	875	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa..	10,320	15,890	670	750	1,045	120	430	320	500	21	-	9	31	28
Del Norte.....	5,002	2,000	300	200	200	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
El Dorado.....	64,226	47,014	4,785	1,385	1,282	657	1,106	607	282	-	-	-	23	-
Fresno.....	100	500	50	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Humboldt.....	9,000	4,000	450	450	250	-	400	250	25	-	-	-	-	-
Klamath.....	300	900	150	75	200	50	-	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
Los Angeles...	2,728	2,420	3,150	-	-	-	-	3,380	-	-	-	-	3,770	540
Marin.....	8,800	155	110	202	65	170	-	60	70	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa.....	3,170	2,500	55	130	23	15	10	-	15	-	-	-	-	-
Merced.....	3,510	3,290	395	200	100	50	50	250	100	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey.....	1,204	775	1,243	113	91	5	20	33	30	60	-	-	-	-
Napa.....	35,000	35,000	2,000	1,300	1,817	2,000	5,000	1,800	200	40	-	50	35	-
Nevada.....	20,000	300,000	1,500	500	500	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer.....	6,321	10,228	594	668	204	37	208	200	67	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas.....	155	5,840	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sacramento....	132,514	213,658	42,836	27,580	30,972	16,912	28,200	16,550	5,946	-	-	259	282	3,187
San Bernardino....	580	5,700	70	105	60	28	72	105	75	-	-	30	17	-
San Diego.....	100	2,000	500	25	10	-	150	25	200	-	8	8	50	300
San Francisco..	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Joaquin...	14,582	28,000	2,240	736	520	420	1,500	820	650	5	3	4	16	18
San Luis Obispo.....	500	200	200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30
San Mateo.....	7,300	24,240	328	380	2,000	70	89	730	55	-	29	-	-	8
Santa Barbara	580	5,700	70	105	60	28	72	105	75	-	-	30	17	-
Santa Clara....	40,000	30,000	7,500	3,050	1,950	1,175	219	2,766	117	-	-	-	50	87

Santa Cruz.....	8,120	2,321	470	152	94	66	70	63	30	-	-	-	-	8
Shasta.....	4,070	7,200	420	300	260	180	384	1,000	100	-	-	-	-	-
Sierra.....	2,650	4,000	110	1,050	80	80	28	100	-	-	-	-	-	-
Siskiyou.....	3,000	500	225	200	300	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano.....	13,606	4,942	2,163	122	119	33	237	141	200	-	-	-	50	62
Sonoma.....	68,133	43,372	6,253	6,437	4,941	2,460	434	487	553	-	-	-	-	-
Stanislaus.....	150	6,000	100	50	50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sutter.....	1,000	4,600	200	100	100	300	-	110	115	-	-	-	-	10
Tehama.....	1,834	10,455	176	330	177	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity.....	3,856	5,420	90	653	65	107	1	295	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare.....	4,000	10,000	1,000	1,000	1,000	-	-	500	500	-	-	-	20	-
Tuolumne.....	15,969	23,989	685	854	746	-	-	-	136	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo.....	35,227	92,645	10,250	2,379	1,939	788	184	3,256	410	-	-	-	-	-
Yuba.....	25,500	225,300	6,000	6,075	7,500	5,000	2,050	3,500	150	-	-	20	10	5

HORTICULTURAL PRODUCTS. – NUMBER OF TREES AND VINES (cont'd.)

COUNTIES.	Pomegranate.	Pine Apple.	Prune.	Persimmon.	Pecan.	Cherimoya.	Almond.	Walnut.	Filbert.	Gooseberry.	Raspberry.	Strawberry.	Grape.	Tons of Grapes.	Gallons Wine.	Value of Fruit Raised.
Alameda.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	92	20	10	12,000	30,000	195 acres	381,631	-	-	-
Amador.....	100	-	-	-	-	-	325	-	-	5,050	5,630	100,000	20,000	-	-	-
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,708	-	-	85	60	6,616,450	80,711	-	400	-
Calaveras.....	146	-	21	-	-	-	228	76	42	1,027	923	228,847	24,187	-	-	-
Colusa.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,225	-	-	2,500
Contra Costa..	92	-	-	-	-	-	98	150	-	1,500	2,000	22,000	42,640	-	-	-
Del Norte.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	25	2,000	200	-	-	100
El Dorado.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	106	-	-	-	-	111,397	77,472	-	-	-
Fresno.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	500	3,000	-	-	-
Humboldt.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	-	-	4,050	150	27,009	915	-	-	-
Klamath.....	-	-	40	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,200	140	-	-	-
Los Angeles...	1,430	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,350,000	-	-	-
Marin.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	-	600	-	-	-
Mariposa.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	230,000	15,000	-	-	-
Merced.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	-	-	-	5,000	15,000	-	-	5,000
Monterey.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	20	-	-	-	25,500	50,000	-	-	-
Napa.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	38	-	-	-	100,000	90,000	-	1,000	-
Nevada.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10 acres	8,000	-	-	-
Placer.....	-	-	-	8	16	-	14	8	-	-	-	121,828	4,231	-	-	-
Plumas.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	1,195	-	-	-
Sacramento....	-	-	4,560	-	198	-	2,500	4,655	-	4,040	12,920	304,345	327,900	-	170	121,000
San Bernardino....	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	124	-	-	-	70,000	60,500	-	-	25,000
San Diego.....	2,000	-	6	-	-	-	8	30	-	-	-	-	29,000	12	960	4,000
San Francisco..	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,000	3,000	60,000	1,800	-	-	-
San Joaquin...	1,572	-	13	12	41	20	200	60	-	3,050	500	4,500	40,000	28	300	58,000
San Luis Obispo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	-	-	-
San Mateo.....	-	-	15	-	9	-	33	-	-	721	1,890	20,000	8,220	-	-	1,550
Santa Barbara..	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	124	-	-	-	70,000	60,500	-	-	25,000
Santa Clara....	15	35	49	-	27	-	213	163	29	15,000	4,200	97,000	513,000	200	15,000	-
Santa Cruz.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	19	-	267	229	24,300	7,620	-	-	-
Shasta.....	30	-	20	-	-	-	50	30	-	700	4,020	20,000	25,000	1 ½	-	6,300

Sierra.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	32	10	-	1,000	400	300,000	3,000	-	-	-
Siskiyou.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	60	5,000	250	-	-	5,000
Solano.....	100	100	-	-	-	-	50	500	200	3,000	400	5,000	52,696	-	-	50,000
Sonoma.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	100	200	-	3,000	1,000,000	1,000,000	87,621	439	-	-
Stanislaus.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	40	-	1,000	1,800	1	-	3,000
Sutter.....	15	-	-	7	-	-	25	20	-	100	50	1,000	50,000	-	-	-
Tehama.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,025	5,050	-	-	-
Trinity.....	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	20	-	20	-	2 ¾ acres	1,151	-	-	-
Tulare.....	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	-	500	500	10,000	30,000	2	-	1,000
Tuolumne.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	57,526	-	-	-
Yolo.....	6	-	-	-	-	-	310	23	-	50	200	200	155,425	-	-	-
Yuba.....	-	-	50	-	-	-	75	-	-	100	500	10,000	30,000	-	-	-

ASSESSED VALUE OF PROPERTY.

COUNTIES.	Real Estate.	Personal Property.	Total Valuation of Property.
Alameda.....	-	-	-
Amador.....	\$290,857 00	\$1,648,350 00	\$1,939,207 00
Butte.....	1,981,546 00	2,376,176 00	4,357,722 00
Calaveras.....	1,008,476 00	1,488,353 00	2,496,829 00
Colusi.....	470,895 00	1,656,991 93	2,127,886 93
Contra Costa.....	1,024,718 00	1,521,899 00	2,546,617 00
Del Norte.....	71,280 00	309,031 00	380,311 00
El Dorado.....	1,035,235 00	1,759,689 50	2,794,924 50
Fresno.....	223,520 00	440,800 00	664,320 00
Humboldt.....	-	-	1,080,833 00
Klamath.....	-	-	-
Los Angeles.....	1,160,190 00	1,048,505 00	2,208,695 00
Marin.....	735,014 00	895,400 00	1,630,417 00
Mariposa.....	-	-	-
Merced.....	176,957 00	592,730 00	769,687 00
Monterey.....	453,478 00	690,056 00	1,143,534 00
Napa.....	3,015,911 00	1,388,580 00	4,404,491 00
Nevada.....	1,138,645 00	2,963,348 00	4,101,993 00
Placer.....	-	-	2,787,473 00
Plumas.....	476,250 00	605,676 00	1,072,926 00
Sacramento.....	7,149,285 00	4,936,256 96	12,085,545 71
San Bernardino.....	280,000 00	145,000 00	425,000 00
San Diego.....	241,255 00	515,718 00	756,973 00
San Francisco.....	19,501,150 00	11,224,800 00	30,725,950 00
San Joaquin.....	2,319,600 00	2,571,650 00	4,891,250 00
San Luis Obispo.....	250,000 00	350,000 00	600,000 00
San Mateo.....	861,877 00	570,484 50	1,432,361 50
Santa Barbara.....	280,000 00	145,000 00	425,000 00
Santa Clara.....	-	-	5,331,582 50
Santa Cruz.....	399,440 37	731,800 00	1,131,241 37
Shasta.....	801,695 00	976,601 90	1,778,296 90
Sierra.....	737,014 00	1,611,399 00	2,348,413 00
Siskiyou.....	958,180 00	2,121,894 00	3,080,074 00
Solano.....	1,794,897 00	2,313,826 00	4,108,723 00
Sonoma.....	-	-	6,000,000 00
Stanislaus.....	197,819 00	552,187 00	750,006 00
Sutter.....	381,331 00	1,681,095 00	2,062,426 00

Tehama.....	374,647 00	1,564,444 00	1,939,091 00
Trinity.....	162,925 00	1,317,394 66	1,480,319 66
Tulare.....	49,943 00	718,220 00	768,163 00
Tuolumne.....	1,873,230 00	1,180,683 00	3,053,913 00
Yolo.....	707,377 00	1,541,981 00	2,249,358 00
Yuba.....	3,201,843 00	2,679,882 00	5,881,725 00

LIVE STOCK.

COUNTIES.	Horses, American.	Horses, Spanish (Tame.)	Horses, Spanish (Wild.)	Horses, Total Number.	Mules.	Asses.	Cows.	Calves.	Stock Cattle.	Beef Cattle.	Oxen.	Total Number Cattle.	Sheep.	Goats.	Hogs.
Alameda.....	1,178	2,469	-	3,647	275	100	13,960	13,400	16,400	1,000	240	45,208	13,595	150	1,306
Amador.....	417	733	-	1,150	262	150	1,485	310	1,485	310	435	4,788	2,983	284	1,772
Butte.....	-	-	-	2,126	-	98	-	6,378	-	-	-	21,450	16,454	-	8,623
Calaveras.....	385	960	-	1,345	333	72	2,310	2,014	2,618	-	545	-	4,588	170	3,020
Colusi.....	832	999	1,064	2,895	310	12	4,999	3,801	27,171	-	283	36,254	14,199	25	11,335
Contra Costa..	1,986	6,950	-	8,936	290	6	8,140	6,020	25,100	-	620	39,880	17,000	240	9,800
Del Norte.....	100	100	400	600	1,200	20	500	347	1,500	400	300	3,047	600	25	2,500
El Dorado.....	-	-	-	1,002	324	104	2,120	1,181	1,913	450	670	6,334	1,514	146	1,817
Fresno.....	50	800	350	1,200	119	50	-	-	12,000	3,650	-	15,650	5,000	200	1,084
Humboldt.....	400	250	175	825	600	10	2,600	1,500	3,500	1,500	400	9,500	500	50	2,800
Klamath.....	60	35	-	95	760	25	275	100	-	446	136	957	2	12	999
Los Angeles...	37	2,150	7,415	9,602	570	-	-	-	-	-	570	40,050	20,910	-	670
Marin.....	410	1,250	1,750	3,410	-	-	4,910	4,508	4,050	9,800	-	23,268	4,900	250	2,400
Mariposa.....	20	250	250	520	200	30	360	300	1,750	450	200	3,000	2,000	25	800
Merced.....	300	1,000	2,000	3,300	300	100	-	-	20,000	1,000	200	21,200	4,000	-	1,000
Monterey.....	296	2,848	3,711	6,355	255	27	4,035	2,300	44,448	-	461	-	74,339	400	1,609
Napa.....	800	1,200	2,800	4,800	600	12	12,950	8,000	7,421	3,000	1,000	32,371	11,515	100	9,275
Nevada.....	-	-	-	936	240	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,608	400	-	1,973
Placer.....	-	-	-	954	356	28	2,264	-	3,166	-	372	7,622	6,228	48	5,872
Plumas.....	-	-	-	303	460	36	1,333	1,120	1,196	1,058	410	5,117	-	-	619
Sacramento....	4,836	7,513	-	12,349	1,718	6	9,513	6,217	17,649	2,445	2,138	37,962	23,056	998	8,099
San Bernardino....	30	600	3,500	4,130	425	2	1,150	-	13,400	-	208	14,758	5,500	20	250
San Diego.....	30	300	2,700	3,030	250	100	3,800	3,200	500	-	300	7,800	3,200	750	200
San Francisco.	3,000	300	-	3,300	150	-	1,500	300	-	-	150	-	800	500	1,000
San Joaquin...	2,536	3,073	-	5,609	1,754	57	7,048	6,010	17,188	-	1,288	31,534	18,085	4,080	7,089
San Luis Obispo.....	-	590	2,049	2,639	30	30	706	650	27,145	-	222	28,723	9,399	-	200
San Mateo.....	780	1,000	1,460	3,240	50	21	2,520	1,948	2,800	1,320	234	8,822	2,230	182	2,300
Santa Barbara	30	600	3,500	4,130	425	2	1,150	-	13,400	-	208	14,758	5,500	20	250
Santa Clara.....	1,985	1,713	3,006	6,804	317	37	6,000	4,300	17,000	3,000	567	35,967	18,000	565	2,959
Santa Cruz.....	272	954	878	2,104	73	-	2,069	1,506	4,210	1,514	777	10,076	1,809	155	3,048
Shasta.....	220	588	106	914	307	30	1,676	1,017	2,888	1,392	828	7,801	650	38	6,476
Sierra.....	240	-	-	-	502	40	217	64	-	-	157	-	175	37	557

Siskiyou.....	1,000	554	40	1,594	1,227	102	5,029	2,500	7,986	3,530	1,500	-	1,975	200	3,070
Solano.....	1,060	1,939	3,981	6,980	280	10	9,231	6,501	26,142	3,446	288	45,608	51,665	80	8,768
Sonoma.....	2,121	3,000	6,390	11,511	443	11	9,000	8,000	12,406	18,000	2,244	49,850	9,204	911	11,190
Stanislaus.....	140	800	1,147	2,087	152	6	4,500	3,000	6,700	5,000	200	19,400	16,295	100	721
Sutter.....	672	1,756	-	2,428	1,027	11	5,717	5,407	16,886	-	739	28,749	13,231	56	5,610
Tehama.....	697	770	625	2,092	1,175	10	6,951	5,980	-	4,375	977	18,283	6,943	15	7,477
Trinity.....	-	-	-	160	562	28	582	389	163	59	318	1,511	156	16	683
Tulare.....	107	1,083	1,478	2,668	158	19	9,244	5,468	6,616	1,470	602	23,400	3,260	20	4,292
Tuolumne.....	-	-	-	984	414	175	1,040	-	1,050	-	1,005	-	1,645	475	1,098
Yolo.....	950	1,255	1,350	3,555	345	6	4,260	1,000	7,740	-	562	13,562	10,951	-	4,047
Yuba.....	700	300	275	1,275	1,190	23	1,295	700	2,765	709	685	6,154	5,573	100	4,110

COUNTIES.	LIVE STOCK. (cont'd)				STOCK SLAUGHTERED.					
	Chickens.	Turkeys.	Ducks.	Geese.	CATTLE.		HOGS.		SHEEP.	
					Number.	Value.	Number.	Value.	Number.	Value.
Alameda.....	19,140	1,233	1,106	60	1,603	-	420	-	300	-
Amador.....	-	-	-	-	4,750	\$166,250 00	2,800	\$35,600 00	1,050	\$8,400 00
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	3,176	147,000 00	1,314	21,688 00	720	4,950 00
Calaveras.....	24,262	640	221	162	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colusi.....	3,472	244	46	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa..	26,000	1,500	3,000	150	3,000	90,000 00	1,000	10,000 00	900	5,400 00
Del Norte.....	5,000	100	50	25	325	-	500	-	200	-
El Dorado.....	8,355	495	76	129	-	-	-	-	-	-
Fresno.....	3,000	200	-	-	-	12,000 00	300	6,000 00	-	-
Humboldt.....	7,000	75	300	-	500	-	2,500	-	-	-
Klamath.....	2,290	-	-	-	880	61,600 00	275	4,125 00	8	200 00
Los Angeles...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa.....	4,000	75	-	-	4,320	259,200 00	2,160	25,920 00	2,160	17,280 00
Merced.....	6,999	200	50	25	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey.....	6,776	382	168	40	-	-	-	-	-	-
Napa.....	-	-	-	-	1,500	60,000 00	8,000	80,000 00	1,000	6,000 00
Nevada.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer.....	4,167	320	125	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas.....	-	-	-	-	1,600	94,000 00	1,000	16,000 00	-	-
Sacramento....	47,408	4,546	698	279	6,520	209,300 00	7,500	63,900 00	8,200	65,500 00
San Bernardino....	1,600	30	60	25	700	21,000 00	-	-	104	520 00
San Diego.....	12,000	30	200	30	1,500	24,000 00	150	750	200	600 00
San Francisco.	5,000	800	600	200	18,000	-	5,000	-	10,000	-
San Joaquin...	15,156	2,860	480	250	7,300	255,500 00	3,070	46,050 00	6,570	42,700 00
San Luis Obispo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Mateo.....	6,000	106	88	31	1,840	55,200 00	600	6,000 00	500	2,000 00
Santa Barbara	1,600	30	60	25	700	21,000 00	-	-	104	520 00
Santa Clara....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz.....	2,687	100	60	40	1,500	-	800	-	500	-
Shasta.....	6,249	104	208	-	2,160	112,320 00	3,500	33,000 00	700	7,560 00
Sierra.....	4,875	110	40	-	3,984	350,000 00	1,666	20,000 00	1,921	11,526 00

Siskiyou.....	200,000	750	350	225	-	-	-	-	-	-
Solano.....	1,000,000	2,000	200	100	1,500	-	200	-	1,000	-
Sonoma.....	8,888	1,000	3,000	300	3,000	-	6,000	-	5,000	-
Stanislaus.....	2,500	600	50	-	500	17,500 00	150	1,200 00	400	2,000 00
Sutter.....	9,079	201	27	11	3,000	-	2,000	-	2,000	-
Tehama.....	9,421	313	51	60	-	-	-	-	-	-
Trinity.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tulare.....	3,483	385	8	-	200	10,000 00	1,000	-	-	-
Tuolumne.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yolo.....	16,650	1,400	49	-	1,825	-	-	-	-	-
Yuba.....	2,129	390	115	19	-	-	-	-	-	-

IMPROVEMENTS.

COUNTIES.	GRIST MILLS.								SAW MILLS.				
	Number.	Stem Power	Run of Stones.	Value of Steam Grist Mills.	Water Power	Run of Stones.	Value of Water Power Mills	Bushels of Grain Ground.	Number of.	Value of.	Steam Power.	Water Power.	No. of Feet of Lumber Sawed.
Alameda.....	5	2	10	\$49,000 00	3	7	-	-	1	-	1	-	800,000
Amador.....	3	1	2	5,000 00	2	3	\$8,000 00	-	18	-	12	6	12,000,000
Butte.....	-	-	-	-	2	4	10,000 00	20,000	17	\$66,500 00	7	10	3,020,000
Calaveras.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	42,800 00	5	6	15,900,000
Colusa.....	2	2	4	12,000 00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Contra Costa.....	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
Del Norte.....	1	1	-	3,500 00	-	-	-	20,000	6	-	1	5	1,000,000
El Dorado.....	2	-	-	-	2	4	8,500 00	-	40	-	24	16	-
Fresno.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	8,000 00	1	1	600,000
Humboldt.....	4	2	-	-	2	-	-	75,000	9	-	7	2	25,000,000
Klamath.....	1	-	-	-	1	2	5,000 00	30,000	8	8,000 00	-	8	900,000
Los Angeles.....	7	-	-	46,500 00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa.....	1	1	2	3,000 00	-	-	-	-	5	-	5	-	3,600,000
Merced.....	3	-	-	-	3	5	8,000 00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Monterey.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10,000 00	1	-	6,000,000
Napa.....	4	2	-	8,000 00	2	-	20,000 00	-	6	10,000 00	2	4	-
Nevada.....	2	2	7	-	-	-	-	208,928	42	-	26	16	39,810,000
Placer.....	2	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	22	58,400 00	14	8	18,400,000
Plumas.....	2	-	-	-	2	4	20,000 00	45,000	28	-	2	26	20,000,000
Sacramento.....	7	6	17	42,000 00	1	3	5,000 00	606,924	2	-	2	-	2,000,000
San Bernardino.....	3	-	-	-	3	6	12,000 00	-	5	-	3	2	3,600,000
San Diego.....	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,000	-	-	-	-	10,000
San Francisco.....	10	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	4	-	7,000,000
San Joaquin.....	8	6	14	160,000 00	2	6	50,000 00	975,000	3	-	2	1	500,000
San Luis Obispo.....	3	-	-	-	3	3	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
San Mateo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	44,000 00	9	2	13,860,000
Santa Barbara.....	3	-	-	-	3	6	12,000 00	-	5	-	3	2	3,650,000

Santa Clara.....	10	4	12	125,000 00	6	12	180,000 00	130,000	11	-	2	9	11,500,000
Santa Cruz.....	6	1	3	6,000 00	5	9	17,500 00	250,000	10	-	3	7	10,000,000
Shasta.....	2	1	2	5,000 00	1	2	4,800 00	-	15	24,000 00	1	14	2,600,000
Sierra.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	36	-	20	16	20,000,000
Siskiyou.....	5	-	-	-	5	8	39,500 00	-	17	47,600 00	2	15	-
Solano.....	3	3	5	40,000 00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sonoma.....	5	2	9	-	3	3	-	-	13	-	8	5	30,000,000
Stanislaus.....	1	-	-	-	1	1	1,000 00	-	-	-	-	1	-
Sutter.....	-	-	-	-	1	2	3,000 00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tehama.....	3	1	3	8,000 00	2	4	28,000 00	-	2	11,000 00	1	1	2,878,000
Trinity.....	-	-	-	-	3	4	-	26,750	18	-	-	18	3,365,500
Tulare.....	3	1	2	6,000 00	2	2	6,000 00	4,600	1	-	-	1	500,000
Tuolumne.....	4	1	2	-	3	4	-	-	22	-	16	6	-
Yolo.....	3	3	5	15,000 00	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yuba.....	6	4	13	50,000 00	2	4	7,000 00	40,000	22	-	7	15	10,000,000

IMPROVEMENTS. (cont'd)

COUNTIES.	QUARTZ MILLS.			MINING DITCHES.			TURNPIKE ROADS.					FERRIES.		TOLL BRIDGES.	
	Number of.	Value of.	No. of Tons of Quartz crushed.	Number of.	Value of.	Miles in Length.	Number of.	Length of in Miles.	Cost of.	Income of.	Cost of Repairs.	Number of.	Value of.	Number of.	Value of.
Alameda.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amador.....	33	-	96,480	32	-	475	1	10	\$2,000 00	-	-	-	-	5	\$15,000 00
Butte.....	9	\$60,000 00	-	30	-	150	-	-	-	-	-	8	\$8,000 00	1	20,000 00
Calaveras.....	53	208,000 00	88,800	49	\$991,100 00	720	-	-	-	-	-	7	18,200 00	11	59,200 00
Colusi.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	9,000 00	-	-
Contra Costa..	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	18,000 00	-	-
Del Norte.....	-	-	-	10	-	31	1	52	50,000 00	-	-	6	-	2	-
El Dorado.....	21	-	-	43	619,970 00	1,150	7	-	10,400 00	-	-	-	-	18	55,550 00
Fresno.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	12,000 00	-	-
Humboldt.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Klamath.....	-	-	-	-	76,000 00	93	-	-	-	-	-	4	2,300 00	2	1,000 00
Los Angeles...	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Marin.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mariposa.....	20	-	36,000	4	-	20	7	25	12,500 00	-	-	1	-	1	-
Merced.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	3,000 00	1	10,000 00
Monterey.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	5,000 00	-	-	8	3,200 00	-	-
Napa.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Nevada.....	29	129,700 00	428,690	86	953,700 00	696	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Placer.....	13	35,000 00	-	34	283,160 00	528	9	37	59,000 00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Plumas.....	8	-	10,000	92	-	201	2	40	20,000 00	-	-	1	-	4	-
Sacramento....	1	-	3,000	11	-	135	1	22	-	\$178,000 00	\$34,000 00	10	-	11	-
San Bernardino....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
San Diego.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
San Francisco.	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	8	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
San Joaquin...	-	-	-	2	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	13	25,000 00	7	50,000 00
San Luis Obispo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

San Mateo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Barbara	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Clara....	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	15	18,000 00	-	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Shasta.....	5	14,100 00	800	24	89,000 00	104	1	8	6,000 00	-	-	11	9,200 00	7	5,800 00
Sierra.....	7	56,000 00	12,500	70	420,650 00	183	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	9,000 00
Siskiyou.....	3	4,500 00	-	16	92,500 00	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	6	25,000 00
Solano.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Sonoma.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	-	-	-
Stanislaus.....	-	-	-	4	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	2	-
Sutter.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	4,000 00	-	-
Tehama.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	4,000 00	-	-
								16							
Trinity.....	-	-	-	94	-	163	1	7/8	12,000 00	-	-	4	1,000 00	6	20,000 00
Tulare.....	6	-	1,200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	-
Tuolumne.....	27	-	-	10	-	229	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	4	-
Yolo.....	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	5 ¼	-	25,000 00	-	-	-	-	-
Yuba.....	5	-	-	29	-	241	3	85	-	-	-	4	-	13	-

STATISTICAL NOTES.

ALAMEDA COUNTY.

The Statistical Report shows a considerable increase in the amount of land cultivated. During the past year, there has been established a steam starch-factory, with a capacity of making fifty tons per annum.

AMADOR COUNTY.

There are in successful operation in this County one iron-foundry, one tannery, three soda-factories, four breweries, and three lime-kilns. A quarry has been opened, near Volcano, furnishing a very superior quality of marble.

BUTTE COUNTY.

There has been no increase in the agricultural products of this County during the past year. There are two flouring, and seventeen saw-mills. The value of the lumber manufactured by the latter is estimated at five hundred thousand dollars. Also, nine quartz-mills, value, sixty thousand dollars.

CALAVERAS COUNTY.

There are eleven saw-mills in this County, producing lumber to the value of one thousand five hundred and ninety-three dollars per diem. There are also two iron-foundries, four soda-factories, one tannery, fifty-three quartz-mills. Total value of the latter, two hundred and eight thousand dollars.

EL DORADO COUNTY.

There has been quite an increase in the agricultural products of this County during the past year. Of manufactures, there are two iron-foundries, two tanneries, three soda-factories, twelve breweries, forty-one saw-mills, twenty-one quartz-mills, and two telegraph lines.

MONTEREY COUNTY.

Much attention has been paid to stock-raising, and the breeds improved by the importation of choice stock. Whale-fishing has become a business of some importance

on the coast. The amount of oil secured, for the fishing season of three months, is about fifteen hundred barrels, the value of which is sixty cents per gallon. The stone-quarries at Carmel furnish employment for sixty men. Attempts to procure water, by means of Artesian wells, have recently been made, in the vicinity of San Juan, which have proved successful.

HUMBOLDT COUNTY.

There are nine saw-mills in this County, furnishing about twenty-five million feet of lumber per annum.

FRESNO COUNTY.

The agricultural resources of this County have been greatly retarded by the drought. There is a large amount of swamp land, susceptible of reclamation. During the past year, the San Joaquin River has been navigated by a steamer, of one hundred tons, up to the Tulare Slough.

SACRAMENTO COUNTY.

There are six breweries, manufacturing four hundred and ten thousand eight hundred gallons of beer per annum; three iron-foundries, two potteries, one gas-works.

SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY.

There are eighteen breweries, thirteen brick-yards, employing one hundred and fifty men, seven machine-shops and foundries; iron cast per annum, two thousand tons; wrought-iron used, six hundred tons; two broom-factories, and one sugar-refinery.

APPENDIX.

REPORTS OF COUNTY SURVEYORS.

PLUMAS COUNTY.

JAMES H. WHITLOCK.....COUNTY SURVEYOR.

MEADOW VALLEY, Plumas County,
November 7, 1858.

HON. H. A. HIGLEY.:

DEAR SIR: - In accordance with the requirements of law, I now offer you the following, as my report for 1858.

Plumas is a mountain county, and has no Government surveys in it; and, as a consequence, my official duties are somewhat limited. The agricultural interest of our County is yet in its incipiency. The Assessor reports seventeen thousand four hundred and twenty acres of land, claimed for agricultural purposes. My book of record of surveys of possessory claims shows as follows:

Surveyed by J. T. Taylor, as County Surveyor.....	2,544 acres.
Surveyed by J. C. Church, as County Surveyor.....	5,866 acres.
Surveyed by J. H. Whitlock, as County Surveyor.....	<u>13,268 acres.</u>
Making, in the aggregate.....	22,038 acres.

There have also been surveyed by the County Surveyor of Butte County, before Plumas was organized, in the valleys in this County, about two thousand acres, also about an equal amount claimed without survey, making the whole twenty-six thousand thirty-eight acres. Of this amount a great deal has been claimed for grazing purposes, milling, hotels on roads, and some abandoned, and a number of other obstacles in the way to prevent the Assessor's obtaining a full report of all the land taken up, according to the Possessory Law.

Honey Lake Valley is not included in this estimate. The citizens there refuse to be governed by the Possessory Laws of this State. In my last year's report I gave a table, purporting to show about the amount of swamp and overflowed, arable, and grazing land, in the County. That table, although considered at that time, by most persons, too large, I am well satisfied was entirely too small. Big Meadows, alone, must contain at least two hundred and twenty-five thousand acres of grazing land, but as I have no means of giving this table correctly, I will omit it this year. From the junction of the two branches of Feather River, in Big Meadows, to Lassen's Buttes, a distance of probably fifty miles, is one continuous beautiful meadow, varying in width from a half mile to five miles, and covered, during the Summer and Fall months, with a most

luxuriant growth of clover and grass. From Lassen's Buttes you may travel, in a southeasterly direction, towards Honey Lake, Beckworth's, and Carson Valleys, for a distance of probably two hundred miles, and find large, fertile valleys all the way – separated, in most instances, only by low hills. A large portion of these lands are, or of right ought to be, the property of the State, as they “need the aid of artificial drains, or embankments, to make them available.” In the lower end of the Big Meadows, for instance, there are about two thousand acres of land covered with a luxuriant growth of grass, late in the Fall, which is so nearly on a level with the river that a rise of the water four inches will cover the whole of it, and make it a pond, or swamp. Near the lower end of this land the river goes over a ledge of rocks, and falls about thirty feet, in about one hundred and fifty feet horizontal. A comparatively trifling expense would drain this whole tract, which, at present, is inhabited only by snakes, fish, and winged animals. I find, from conversation with the citizens, that a large number of them would be willing to drain these lands, provided they could procure a good title to them. I believe a very large amount of swamp and overflowed land might be secured to the State in this County by establishing a meridian-line, or starting-point, by high peaks, or other immovable objects, in sight of the valley where such survey is to be made. I would not recommend a survey, however, in any except those valleys where there would be no probability of its ever interfering with the mining interest, as I consider the mining interest first of all the industrial pursuits in this County.

On the south side of the Big Meadows there is a spring that runs a stream of water ten rods wide and three feet deep, on a grade of about forty inches per mile. There is also a number of springs of immense size all around the edge of the valley. We have also a number of sulphur and soda springs in different parts of the County.

County Boundaries. – I will next call your attention to the situation of our County boundaries. Almost the entire line between Butte and Plumas is through a mining district that is settling up very fast, and I would therefore recommend its survey at an early day. The Board of Supervisors of this County have made an order for the survey of this line by the County Surveyors, but Butte County has never made a corresponding order. The line is straight, and will probably have to be surveyed through, and corrected back. The line between this and Sierra is also very indefinite, and should be established by survey; but I would call your attention more particularly to the State line bounding this County on the east, as I consider it of more importance than either of the others. Honey Lake Valley is already a place of considerable importance, and rapidly increasing in population and wealth, and is situated near this line. Its citizens are of opinion that they are situated east of the boundary-line of the State, and refuse to pay taxes, or yield submission in any shape to our laws, until the line shall be established. They usually take up six hundred and forty acres for a possessory claim. It is my opinion, (though I express it with some doubt nowadays,) that the entire settlement is in the State. If so, the establishing of a single point at or near the Lake would be sufficient for the present, and the taxes, for a single year, would pay all expenses. The citizens all declare their willingness to submit to the laws of the State, if satisfactory evidence is given them that they are within its jurisdiction.

The distance of County line necessary to be surveyed prior to the year 1860, will probably be one hundred and fifty miles, and the probable expense, twenty dollars per

mile. The survey of fifty miles would do, for the present, the eastern line and the line bounding this County on the south and Butte on the north.

Roads. – Our citizens are just beginning to pay some attention to the importance of good roads. We have two turnpike roads completed; one six and three quarter miles long – the other twenty-two and a half miles, (the latter incorrectly reported by the Assessor thirty-four miles.) I have surveyed two more: one, from Quincy to Meadow Valley, seven and a quarter miles – and one from Quincy to Mohawk Flat, twenty-two and a half miles. The Government Road, terminating at this end of Honey Lake, can be extended through this County by way of Big Meadows and Humbug Valley – arriving at Sacramento Valley at or near Bidwell’s Ranch – cheaper than perhaps any other route across the Sierra Nevadas in the State, as there is already a good practical Wagon-Road all the way through. This road passes near the center of the territory of Plumas County, but there is perhaps not half a dozen white men North of the road in the County. I would recommend the County Surveyors to be appointed by law as Road Supervisors for their respective Counties, they being better qualified to locate roads – both by profession and experience – than other persons; and that they be allowed a per diem for their services, to be fixed by the Board of Supervisors of each County, and paid only while in the discharge of said duties.

Mining. – Mining is the predominant interest of our County, and is fast increasing in importance. Heretofore, it has been mostly confined to bars on the river, gulches, and other places where claims could be opened with a light expense, but our miners are now beginning to tunnel into the bowels of the mountains on an extensive scale, and in some places with flattering success. It is believed that the celebrated Blue Lead runs exactly in a straight line, but in one general direction, having the appearance of a lead or channel, and connecting with the Blue Lead in Sierra County. Its general bearing through this county is: starting from Union Valley, N. 35° W., to Rich Bar, on the East branch of the North Fork of Feather River. This lead seems to have been cut into the Poor Man’s Creek, Dixon Creek, the Middle Fork of Feather River at Rich Bar, and the east branch of the North Fork of Feather River, at Rich Bar. I do not believe, however, that this lead can be traced by an geological or mineralogical formations, as the whole county seems to be of a secondary or tertiary formation. There is but very little, if any, genuine granite bed-rock in this County.

There has never been any location of School-land Warrants in this County.

Quincy is situated in the American Valley, is the County Seat, and the largest town in the County. It affords one press, issuing a weekly paper called, “The Plumas Argus.”

For statistical information, I refer to you the Assessor’s Report, as being correct.

Yours, respectfully,

JAMES H. WHITLOCK,
County Surveyor of Plumas County.

SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY.

DUNCAN BEAUMONT.....COUNTY SURVEYOR.

COUNTY SURVEYOR'S OFFICE, SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY,
Stockton, November 10th, 1858.

HON. H. A. HIGLEY, *State Surveyor-General*:

SIR: - In accordance with the Act concerning County Surveyors, I respectfully submit the following report:

The Assessor, from the nature of his duties, being evidently better calculated to furnish you with more reliable information on most of the subjects mentioned in your circular than I am, I therefore refer you to his report for the statistics of the County.

Ferries and Toll-Bridges. – There are in this County six toll-bridges and six ferries.

Artesian Wells: - There is but one Artesian well in this county. This is bored to the depth of one thousand and two feet, at a cost of ten thousand dollars, and furnishes two hundred and fifty gallons of water per minute. It has as yet been put to no practical use.

County Roads: - The roads in this County are under the supervision of the County Supervisors, and are generally in very bad condition. The Act passed, during the last session of the Legislature, declaring all roads which had been traveled one year previous to its passage to be County roads, is working very badly, as there were too many of them in proper condition. Having about twice as many roads under the present system, and only the same amount of money to be expended on them, the consequence is, that no road can be properly improved, and the money expended is thrown away.

County Boundaries. – I would recommend that the boundaries of this County be altered, so as to conform to the U. S. surveys – either on township or section-lines, except that part bounded by the Stanislaus, San Joaquin, and Mokelumne Rivers. In order to make this survey, about one hundred and ten miles would have to be run.

Area of the County. – This County embraces about one thousand four hundred and fifty-two square miles, of which one thousand and thirty are upland, and four hundred and twenty-two square miles are swamp or overflowed lands. Of the upland three hundred and sixty-nine square miles are covered by Spanish grants, and thirty square miles are mineral lands.

Swamp Land Surveys. – I have made ninety-six surveys of swamp or overflowed land, under the Act approved April 28th, 1855, and five surveys under the Act approved April 21st, 1858, embracing twenty-three thousand acres. Thirty of these surveys have been forfeited, and twenty-one are re-surveys of land which had been forfeited.

I would call your attention particularly to the large amount of swamp or overflowed land in this County, which is lying idle, and must continue so until they are owned by private individuals. These lands were donated to the State for their reclamation. Nothing can be done to effect this until the State relinquishes her title to them. Under the Act passed April 28th, 1855, (which was a very liberal one,) all of the

most desirable of these lands were located, and almost all could have been located under that Act by this time, had it not been repealed. The Act approved April 21st, 1858, has operated very much to the detriment of the State, as the returns of the Swamp Land Surveys from this office, since its passage, will prove. This law should be repealed, or so modified that inducements could be offered to individuals or capitalists to purchase and reclaim them as soon as possible. It is a great mistake to limit one person to three hundred and twenty acres. When these lands are reclaimed, it must be done by large bodies, and by men of capital. There is no use to legislate as to the amount of land which any one person shall have. If capitalists want land they will find a way to get it. The only effect these restrictive laws have is to retard the improvement of the country.

I am convinced that if the State would relinquish her title to these lands to settlers or capitalists for a fair consideration, but a short time would elapse before the largest portion of them would be located and permanently reclaimed from the waters of the San Joaquin, Calaveras, and Mokelumne Rivers, thus greatly increasing the taxable property of the County and State, as well as at the same time increasing in our midst a community having a permanent home and interest among us. This land is of unsurpassable fertility, and when once reclaimed will furnish constant and remunerative employment for a larger number of inhabitants than all the balance of the County. In your instructions to County Surveyors they are required to submit to you plans and estimates of the cost of the reclamation of swamp land. This can not be done without an extended survey both with compass and level, requiring a heavy outlay of time, money, and labor, for which no remuneration is made.

The overflow caused by the Winter rains and melting of the snows in the Spring, can be remedied by the construction of proper levees on the banks of the rivers, and large sloughs of sufficient height and strength to withstand any rise in the rivers, and, in connection with these, catch-water drains at the edge of the upland to carry the rain water from the plains into the main channels. The water which would be collected within the embankment during the rainy season, and seepage water, must be removed by machinery. Lands which are overflowed by the tides only, can be easily reclaimed by a general system of drains and embankments.

These lands, in their present condition, are as little known to the people of this State as the Territory of New Mexico. None except a few hunters have explored them, and it is not to their interest to have them settled.

In order to arrive at any correct knowledge of them, or any practicable plan for their reclamation, I would recommend that they be townshipped and subdivided after the manner of the United States surveys, and subject to location in the State Register's office. The expenses of the survey to be paid out of the Swamp Land Fund, or scrip issued from that fund. In this manner a correct map could be made of the whole of it, and correct estimates made of the cost of reclamation.

For the purpose of showing that the reclamation of these lands is perfectly feasible, not only of marshes, but even of extensive lakes, in such manner as to repay, from the land reclaimed, the expenses of the process, I make an extract from the account of the draining of Haerlem Lake, published in the Agricultural Report of the Patent Office for 1855.

This Lake was situated in North Holland and covered forth thousand acres, being thirty-three miles in circumference. *Its bed was more than thirteen feet below the*

lowest tide (the largest portion of the overflowed land in this County is not more than twelve inches under water at the highest tide) of the Bay into which it emptied. Such it was in 1839. Now no lake is to be found, but in its place in October, 1855, what had been the bed of a great lake, was then a region of exceedingly fertile land, in a fine state of cultivation, dry, comfortable, and healthy. A population of about two thousand were living within the "Polder," or tract of land below the level of the sea. This work was performed by the government at the expense of eighty dollars per acre. A canal about one hundred and forty feet in width, nine and a half feet deep, and forty miles in length, was cut round the lake. A dyke was built between the canal and lake, and when all was completed, the water of the lake was pumped out by steam-engines, which are still kept in readiness to remove the rain or melted snows or any surplus water that may, by leakage or breaking away of the works, endanger the security of the inhabitants.

It is estimated that the land thus rescued from the sea is of twice the average value for cultivation of that of Holland generally, there being no waste land in the tract, except that occupied by the canals and drains.

The price of the best lands in this State ranges from fifteen dollars to seventy-five dollars per acre.

The Swamp Lands can be reclaimed at a cost not exceeding thirty dollars per acre, and will then be of double the value of any other lands in the State.

School-land Warrants. – I subjoin a list of School-land Warrants, located and floated by the County Surveyors, since the organization of this County:

Nos. of Warrants.	No. Acres for which Warrants issued.	No. Acres.	Date of Location.	From whom Located.
			1852.	
63, 64, 65, 66.....	each for 160	640	June 17.....	Myron Hutchinson
*31.....320	320	June 23.....	Jno. McNish and A. F. Rudler.
142, 143, 144, 145..160	640	June 25.....	Albert Williams.
9.....320	320	July 3.....	O. B. Taylor.
No. not given.....----	320	June 12.....	Emanuel Linoberg.
8.....320	320	July 1.....	A. C. Blaine.
50.....320	320	August 22.....	John S. Williams.
1.....320	320	August 9.....	G. W. Trahern.
2.....320	320	September 11.	G. W. Trahern.
268, 271, 281, 282..160	640	September 27.	E. H. Comstock.
43.....320	320	November 17..	John C. Edwards.
287, 288.....160	320	November 24..	J. L. Carnduff.
46.....320	320	November 2....	William M. Vance.
			1853.	
396, 397.....160	320	February 8.....	Wm. F. McDermott.
137.....320	320	February 12....	William Calvert.
174.....320	320	March 14.....	Henry Howland.

* Located on Spanish grant, and Warrant returned to Washington

173.....	320	320	March 14.....	J. D. Hamilton.
507, 508.....	160	320	March 24.....	John Rich.
577, 578.....	160	320	May 13.....	Christian Reist.
*577, 578.....	160	320	July 21.....	Christian Reist.
525, 526.....	160	320	July 28.....	T. R. Bours.
523, 524.....	160	320	August 10.....	B. W. Bours.
240, 241.....	320	640	August 10.....	A. L. Bours.
589.....	160	160	August 16.....	Madison Walthall.
587.....	160	160	August 16.....	Madison Walthall, Jr.
588.....	160	160	August 16.....	Elizabeth Walthall.
226.....	320	320	July 25.....	William Barnett.
†762, 763, 764.....	160	480	October 21.....	R. C. Sargeant.
799, 800, 801, 802..	160	640	November 1....	J. P. Treadway.
314.....	320	320	October 17.....	John McMullen.
269.....	320	320	October 17.....	J. Gancey.
‡2.....	320	320	October 17.....	G. W. Trahern.
721.....	160	160	October 17.....	John McMullen.
797, 798.....	160	320	November 17..	R. P. Ashe.
‡63, 64, 65, 66.....	160	640	December 29..	Myron Hurchinson.
301.....	320	320	December 26..	R. K. Reid.
			1854.	
462, 702, 703.....	160	480	May 26.....	Nelson Taylor.
242.....	320	320	August 8.....	William M. Ryer.
66.....	320	320	October 21.....	J. H. Cole.
63.....	320	320	November 14..	D. S. Terry.
			1855.	
‡50.....	320	320	July 12.....	J. S. Williams.
‡396, 397.....	160	320	July 13.....	W. F. McDermott.
707, 708.....	160	320	July 16.....	R. P. Ashe.
‡797, 798.....	160	320	July 16.....	R. P. Ashe.
			1856.	
†776.....	160	160	June 30.....	R. C. Sargeant.
317, 319.....	320	640	June 30.....	B. V. Sargeant.
318.....	320	<i>See Below</i>	<i>See Below</i>	<i>See Below</i>
716.....	160	480	June 30.....	J. L. Sargeant.
714.....	160	160	June 30.....	J. P. Sargeant.
72, 454.....	160	320	February 16....	Alden Daly.
			1857.	
65, 66.....	160	320	May 21.....	J. H. Cole and j. H. Dodge.
301, 302.....	160	320	September 19.	David Haskell.

* Floated and re-located.

† Floated.

‡ Re-locations.

			1858.	
§763, 764.....160	320	February 6.....	I. U. Castle.
§762, 766.....160	320	February 11....	Rudolf Meyer.

Very Respectfully, your obedient servant,

DUNCAN BEAUMONT,
County Surveyor of San Joaquin County.

SONOMA AND MENDOCINO COUNTIES.

JOHN B. WOOD.....SURVEYOR.

HON. HORACE A. HIGLEY, *Surveyor-General of the State of California:*

Sir: In compliance with law, and in obedience to your instructions, I beg leave to make this, my annual report, as County Surveyor of Sonoma and Mendocino Counties, for the year A. D. 1858. The County Assessor having made a full return to your office, it will be unnecessary for me to embody in my report the agricultural statistics otherwise required.

Lands. – About one-third of all the lands in the two Counties is suitable for agriculture and tillage, one-half grazing, a small portion bordering on San Pablo Bay, Sonoma, Petaluma, and San Antonio Creeks, swamp and overflowed, and the remainder is covered with a thick undergrowth of brush or chaparral.

The United States surveys never having been completed, it is impossible to even approximate to the quantity of land embraced within our boundaries.

Timber. – From a point near the sea-coast, and about ten or fifteen miles south of Russian River, to the line of Humboldt, there is almost a continuous and dense forest of redwood, and fir timber; the same timber is likewise found on many of the hills, and in many of the cañons in the interior of the two Counties.

We have an abundance of white, black, and live oak, it being found on almost every hill, and in all the valleys. Much of it is suitable for ship timber, and two or three companies have been engaged the past year in getting it out for that purpose, under contract with the United States Government Agent at Mare Island.

A large proportion of the fire-wood used in the San Francisco market is sent from Sonoma County.

Minerals. – The mineral resources of this section of country have not yet been developed. There is hardly any place that the color of gold can not be found, and in some places, as much as ten cents to the pan has been washed out; but, up to this time, no gold-digging, of any extent, has been done. We have some gold-bearing quartz-veins, but they have never been opened, or properly prospected. Coal, said to be of good quality, has been found on Russian River, and near the city of Petaluma.

§ All listed with this symbol are the Same Warrants located and floated by R. C. Sargeant.

One company has been organized, and commenced work on the Russian River vein, and as they progress the prospect is more promising. There are strong indications of coal in other places than those already discovered.

Internal Improvements. – There are three licensed ferries in the two Counties – two on Russian River, (one near Healdsburg, and one at its mouth,) and one on Albion River. The income from them is very small, being scarcely used, except in the Winter, and during high water.

A canal is much needed, and would greatly improve the navigation of Petaluma Creek. From the city of Petaluma to Rudesill's landing, (the present head of steamboat navigation,) it is about two and a-half miles by land, and about seven by water. Between the two points, the creek is so crooked that it is impossible, almost, for a vessel, of suitable size for the trade, to pass. By cutting off two or three bends, and putting in locks near Rudesill's landing, the creek would be nearly straight, and its navigation rendered easy, at any stage of the tide.

Estimates of the probable cost of straightening the creek, and putting in the necessary locks between the points named, have been made, ranging from fifteen to twenty-five thousand dollars.

County Boundaries. – The boundary-line between Sonoma and Napa Counties should be re-surveyed, and determined. As it is, persons living near the supposed line are frequently assessed in both Counties. Many difficulties have grown out of it, in the service of civil processes, jurisdiction, etc., and it will continue to be so until the line is definitely fixed and marked. The line should be surveyed, and monuments placed along it at convenient distances. By so doing, much trouble will be avoided, and both Counties collect revenue that is now lost to them, by reason of the uncertain location of the boundary-line.

School-land Warrants. – First: Warrant No. 375, located in the east half of the south-east quarter section No. 6, and the west half of the south-west quarter of section No. 5; the north-west quarter of section No. 8. – containing, in all, three hundred and twenty acres – Township No. 8, north range No. 8 west from Monte Diablo meridian. Located on the fifteenth day of April, 1856, by William Mock, County Surveyor, for J. S. Ormsby.

Second: Warrant No. 68, located on the south-east quarter of section No. 15, and the north-east quarter of section No. 22, for three hundred and twenty acres – Township No. 6, north range No. 9 west from Monte Diablo meridian. Located on the fourteenth day of June, 1857, by Wm. Mock, County Surveyor, for George Campbell.

Third: Warrants numbered 163, for three hundred and twenty acres; 674, for one hundred and sixty acres; and 678, for one hundred and sixty acres – located on the following described lands: the south-east quarter of the south-east quarter of section No. 11; the south half of the south-west quarter of section No. 12; the south half of the south-east quarter of section No. 12; the west half of the north-east quarter of section No. 13; the north-west quarter of the south-east quarter of section No. 13; whole containing six hundred and forty acres; Township No. 6, north range No. 10 west from the Monte Diablo meridian. Located on the thirtieth day of July, 1857, by William Mock, County Surveyor, for Thos. Roche.

Fourth: Warrants numbered 138, for one hundred and sixty acres; 139, for one hundred and sixty acres; 140, for one hundred and sixty acres; and 141, for one

hundred and sixty acres – making, altogether, six hundred and forty acres – located on the following lands: No. 138, located on the south-west quarter section No. 32; 139, on the south-east quarter of section No. 31, Township No. 8, north range No. 7, west; No. 140, located on the north-east quarter of section No. 6; No. 141, located on the north-west quarter of section No. 5, township No. 7, north range No. 7 west of Monte Diablo meridian. Located on the third day of December, 1857, by John B. Wood, County Surveyor, for Emsley Elliot.

All of which is respectfully submitted.

JOHN B. WOOD.

County Surveyor of Sonoma and Mendocino Counties.

LIST OF WARRANTS LOCATED.

LIST OF

SCHOOL-LAND WARRANTS FOR 320 ACRES EACH.

No. of warr't.	Date of Location.	To whom Issued.	For whom Located.	County.
†1	July 15, '55	G. W. Trahern.....	San Joaquin.....
2	Sept. 11, '52	G. W. Trahern.....	G. W. Trahern.....	San Joaquin.....
4	June 22,	N. Hitchcock.....	N. Hitchcock.....	El Dorado.....
5	July 17,.....	Oliver S. Colgrove.	Sutter.....
*6	July 13, '53	H. B. Davis.....	Tuolumne.....
*6	Mar. 16, '58	H. B. Davis.....	Tuolumne.....
7	Mar. 16, '53	H. B. Davis.....	Tuolumne.....
*7	July 13, '58	H. B. Davis.....	Tuolumne.....
8	Sept. 1, '52	A. L. Bain.....	Tuolumne.....
*8	July 20, '57	Geo. H. Castle.....	San Joaquin.....
9	July 3, '52	A. B. Taylor.....	San Joaquin.....
9	Nov. 17, '58	Chas. N. Weber....	Santa Clara.....
‡12	Aug. 19, '52	Wm. J. White.....	Klamath.....
27	May 28, '56	John D. Stephens.	Yolo.....
28	Sept. 9, '54	E. M. Howison.....	Howison.....	Tuolumne.....
*28	Mar. 6, '56	Howison.....	Tuolumne.....
29	July 2, '52	W. T. Cole.....	Solano.....
30	July 2,.....	W. T. Cole.....	Solano.....
§31	June 23,.....	McNish & Rudler..	San Joaquin.....
*31	Ap'l 21, '55	McNish & Rudler..	San Joaquin.....
33	July 3, '52	Wm. Curtis.....	W. Curtis.....	Nevada.....
34	June 12,.....	E. Linoberg.....	San Joaquin.....
*34	Dec. 18, '55	McKaney & Kitchen.....	San Joaquin.....
35	Jul 20, '52	Julian Hanks.....	J. Hanks.....	Santa Clara.....
36	Oct. 23,.....	C. P. Hester.....	Philip Biddle.....	Santa Clara.....
37	Ap'l 25, '53	C. P. Hester.....	C. P. Hester.....	Santa Cruz.....
*37	Oct. 31, '57	W. W. Allen.....	Solano.....
^a 38	John Strenzall.....	Mariposa.....

† Final Location in Register's Office at San Francisco; - Re-Location; original returns not received.

* Final Location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

‡ Returns received September 21, 1854.

§ Floated from Spanish grant.

^a Informal returns received December 16, 1854.

* 38	Feb. 21, '56	V. M. Peyton.....	San Joaquin.....
39	Oct. 12, '52	C. Meyer.....	Chas. Meyer.....	Mendocino.....
40	Oct. 12,.....	C. Meyer.....	Chas. Meyer.....	Mendocino.....
42	July 20, '52	A. H. Morse.....	Hastings & Morse.	Solano.....
43	Nov. 17,...	J. C. Edwards.....	John C. Edwards..	San Joaquin.....
* 43	Nov. 17, '57	J. C. Edwards.....	Yancy B. Stokes...	Tulare.....
44	Nov. 17, '52	J. C. Edwards.....	J. C. Edwards.....	San Joaquin.....
* 44	Dec. 5, '57	J. M. Montgomery.	San Joaquin.....
† 45	Ap'l 7, '53	Moulton.....	Van Court.....	Placer.....
^a 46	Oct. 23, '52	W. M. Vance.....	Calaveras.....
47	Sept. 15, '55	P. Dunlay.....	J. B. Barlow.....	Mendocino.....
47	Aug. 20, '56	P. Dunlay.....	Eben Fascon.....	Santa Clara.....
48	Sept. 15,....	P. Dunlay.....	J. B. Barlow.....	Mendocino.....
48	Sept. 23,....	J. H. & J. A. Blackburn.....	Santa Clara.....
* 49	Oct. 17, '55	L. Alford.....	Solano.....
* 50	Mar. 21, '56	J. S. Williams.....	Jno. S. Williams....	San Joaquin.....
51	Nov. 22, '52	W. Skedmore.....	W. Skedmore.....	Marin.....
52	Aug. 13,...	J. B. Cook.....	Napa.....
53	Aug. 16,...	H. H. Watson.....	Yuba.....
54	Oct. 12, '52	William Bonity.....	Wm. Bonity.....	Mendocino.....
54	Mar. 30, '58	William Bonity.....	E. L. Beard.....	Alameda.....
55	Mar. 31, 58	Leonidas Haskell..	Alameda.....
* 56	Mar. 16, '55	A. J. Clark.....	Humboldt.....
* 57	Jan. 25, '53	Jonathan Clark.....	W. S. Clark.....	Trinity.....
† 58	Aug. 19, '53	Jonathan Clark.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Humboldt.....
59	Sept. 25, '52	M. Stuart.....	Trinity.....
* 59	May 15, '55	R. M. Williams.....	Humboldt.....
60	Sept. 25, '52	M. Stuart.....	Trinity.....
* 60	May 15, '55	R. M. Williams.....	Humboldt.....
§ 61	June 22, '55	Osborn Cross.....	G. F. Hooper & others.....	San Diego.....
§ 62	June 22, '55	Osborn Cross.....	G. F. Hooper & others.....	San Diego.....
63	Sept. 15, '52	A. G. Meiggs.....	A. G. Meiggs.....	Mendocino.....
63	Nov. 4, '54	D. S. Terry.....	San Joaquin.....
64	Sept. 15, '52	A. G. Meiggs.....	A. G. Meiggs.....	Mendocino.....
* 64	Nov. 3, '55	Ransom Ward.....	Solano.....
65	Sept. 15, '52	J. G. Hubbell.....	Mendocino.....
65	Mar. 7, '57	H. P. Gallagher....	Santa Clara.....
66	Sept. 15, '52	J. G. Hubbell.....

* Final location in U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

† Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Marysville.

^a Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Marysville, March 10, 1856.

‡ Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Marysville, April 16, 1856

§ Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Los Angeles.

66	Oct. 20, '54	J. G. Hubbell.....	Jos. H. Cole.....	San Joaquin.....
66	June 22', '58	J. G. Hubbell.....	R. C. Sargent.....	Santa Clara.....
67	Sept. 15, '52	L. Williams.....	Mendocino.....
67	May 28, '57	Lewis Rose.....	San Diego.....
68	Sept. 15, '52	E. Williams.....	Mendocino.....
68	June 15, '57	Geo. Campbell.....	Sonoma.....
69	Sept. 15' 52	H. Meiggs.....	Mendocino.....
*69	Aug. 28, '56	A. Elkins.....	Stanislaus.....
70	Sept. 15, '52	H. Meiggs.....	Mendocino.....
▫70	Nov. 18, '56	H. Meiggs.....	Andrew Jelly.....	Mendocino.....
71	Sept. 15, '52	C. Gilchrist.....	Mendocino.....
^a 71	Oct. 15, '54	C. Gilchrist.....	Jas. Redmond.....	Alameda.....
*71	Dec. 10, '55	W. G. Barfield.....	Merced.....
72	Sept. 15, '52	C. Gilchrist.....	Mendocino.....
†72	Mar. 18, '57
73	Sept. 21, '52	J. C. Palmer.....	G. P. Johnston.....	Contra Costa.....
‡73	Sept. 20, '58	John McMullen.....	San Joaquin.....
74	Sept. 21, '52	J. C. Palmer.....	G. P. Johnston.....	Contra Costa.....
75	Sept. 21, '52	Edward Johns.....	G. P. Johnston.....	Contra Costa.....
75	Feb. 26, '57	Edward Johns.....	T. G. Neville.....	Santa Clara.....
!!76	Sept. 21, '52	Edward Johns.....	G. P. Johnston.....	Contra Costa.....
77	Sept. 7, '52	Long & Coddington.....	Tulare.....
78	Sept. 7, '52	Jones & Robedo...	Tulare.....
82	Oct. 28, '52	Geo. Yount.....	Napa.....
82	Feb. 22, '58	Geo. Yount.....	Napa.....
83	Nov. 20, '52	W. Skidmore.....	Marin.....
*84	Dec. 1, '52	John W. Laird.....	Tuolumne.....
*85	Dec. 1,	John W. Laird.....	Tuolumne.....
86	Nov. 4, '52	J. S. Stark.....	J. S. Stark.....	Napa.....
87	Jan. 4, '53	F. Kitteridge.....	Jas. Williams.....	Santa Cruz.....
88	Jan. 25,	J. Burney.....	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
88	Jan. 14,	S. Bynum.....	Napa.....
89	Nov. 5, '52	S. C. Hastings.....	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
*90	Jan. 8, '56	E. Packwood.....	Tulare.....
*92	Jan. 8,	Elisha Packwood...	Tulare.....
*93	Jan. 8,	Elisha Packwood...	Tulare.....
‡96	April 28,
‡97	April 28,
98	Jan. 25, '53	Fleming & Tilghman	W. D. Niles.....	Solano.....

* Final location in U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

▫ Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Marysville, Nov. 22, 1856.

^a Does not conform to U. S. Survey.

† Final location in U. S. Register's Office Marysville.

‡ Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Stockton.

!! Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Marysville, March 6, 1856.

98	June 22, '58	R. S. Carlisle.....	Santa Clara.....
§99	May 29, '56	C. P. Hester.....	C. P. Hester.....	Santa Clara.....
100	Nov. 18, '52	Philip Palmer.....	Solano.....
101	Nov. 18, '52	Philip Palmer.....	Solano.....
102	Dec. 11,	Aug. W. Hale.....	Aug. W. Hale.....	Calaveras.....
103	Dec. 11,	Aug. W. Hale.....	Aug. W. Hale.....	Calaveras.....
104	Dec. 11,	T. N. Luning.....	T. N. Luning.....	Calaveras.....
105	Nov. 29,	G. W. Cooke.....	G. W. Cooke.....	Solano.....
* 105	Sept. 23, '55	E. J. Stearns.....	Merced.....
106	Nov. 29,	G. W. Cooke.....	G. W. Cooke.....	Solano.....
* 106	Sept. 23, '55	E. J. Stearns.....	Merced.....
107	Dec. 8, '52	H. B. Jones.....	H. B. Jones.....	Solano.....
* 107	Sept. 23, '55	E. J. Stearns.....	Merced.....
108	Dec. 11, '52	J. B. Devoe.....	Thos. Fallon.....	Santa Cruz.....
109	Dec. 2,	T. J. Henley.....	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
110	Dec. 2,	T. J. Henley.....	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
ᵀ 111	Dec. 12,	M. D. Kirwan.....	M. D. Kirwan.....	Butte.....
113	Dec. 22, '52	Wm. Wood.....	Chas. W. Cooke... ..	Contra Costa.....
113	Feb. 11, '56	Wm. Wood.....	W. H. Palmer.....	Santa Clara.....
114	Dec. 22, '52	Wm. Wood.....	Chas. W. Cooke... ..	Contra Costa.....
114	Dec. 2, '56	Demas Strong.....	Sacramento.....
115	Dec. 22, '52	E. Bosqui.....	Cooke & Jones.....	Contra Costa.....
115	Mar. 16, '57	Fred. Billings.....	Colusa.....
116	Dec. 22, '52	E. Bosqui.....	Cooke & Jones.....	Contra Costa.....
** 116	Aug. 8, '53	E. Bosqui.....	A. Welsh.....	San Francisco.....
* 116	Nov. 10, '57	E. Bosqui.....	Thos. T. Hooper... ..	Solano.....
117	Dec. 8, '52	W. D. Niles.....	W. D. Niles.....	Solano.....
* 117	Apr. 27, '58	G. E. Long.....	Tulare.....
118	Dec. 8, '52	W. D. Niles.....	W. D. Niles.....	Solano.....
† 118	Sept. 20, '58	John McMullen.....	San Joaquin.....
119	Dec. 8, '52	E. B. Bonny.....	E. B. Bonny.....	Solano.....
* 119	Feb. 17, '58	J. D. & J. W. Mosley.....	Stanislaus.....
121	Jan. 11, '53	W. G. Malthus.....	W. G. Malthus.....	Solano.....
122	Jan. 11,	Solano.....
** 123	Mar. 2, '53	Thos. Dickey.....	Thos. McGeorge... ..	San Francisco.....
124	Jan. 25,	Fleming & Tilghman	W. D. Niles.....	Solano.....
124	Aug. 2, '58	Fleming & Tilghman	W. S. Hollenbeck..	Santa Clara.....
125	Jan. 22, '53	A. S. Gould.....	S. R. King.....	Solano.....
125	Apr. 14, '57	A. S. Gould.....	S. B. Emerson.....	Santa Clara.....

§ Re-location.

* Final location in U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

ᵀ Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Marysville, March 8, 1856.

** Informal.

† Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Stockton.

126	Feb. 16, '53	J. F. Wendell.....	Klamath.....
127	Jan. 25,	C. Garvey.....	C. Garvey.....	Solano.....
128	June 25,	C. Garvey.....	Solano.....
129	June 15,	S. Heydenfeldt.....	S. Heydenfeldt.....	Solano.....
130	June 15,	S. Heydenfeldt.....	S. Heydenfeldt.....	Solano.....
* 131	Oct. 4,	J. A. Givey.....	Tulare.....
132	Feb. 10,	Sam. Purdy.....	Sam'l Purdy.....	Tuolumne.....
* 132	Aug. 21, '57	Geo. Bennett.....	Calaveras.....
133	June 20, '53	Isaac Thomas.....	I. Thomas.....	Solano.....
* 134	May 30,	S. P. White.....	Jonathan Clark....	Klamath.....
135	Mar. 19,	S. O. Houghton.....	S. O. Houghton....	Santa Clara.....
136	Mar. 19,	S. O. Houghton.....	S. O. Houghton....	Santa Clara.....
137	Feb. 11,	Wm. Calvert.....	Wm. Calvert.....	San Joaquin.....
* 137	May 8, '56	Wm. D. Fullerton...	San Joaquin.....
138	Apr. 18, '53	E. Berri.....	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
* 139	Oct. 4,	J. C. Dowding.....	Jos. A. Livey.....	Tulare.....
* 140	Apr. 15,	P. Wright.....	Peter Wright.....	Solano.....
** 141	Aug. 29,	Alex. Gwin.....	Wm. Brown.....	San Francisco.....
142	July 6,	Alex. Gwin.....	P. Lambert.....	Marin.....
* 142	Dec. 11, '55	M. Murphy.....
145	Mar. 2, '53	Shreve & Adams....	Shreve & Adams...	Contra Costa.....
* 145	Oct. 3, '55	Hiram Rust.....	Solano.....
146	Mar. 2, '53	Shreve & Adams...	Contra Costa.....
* 146	Oct. 3, '55	Hiram Rust.....	Solano.....
147	Mar. 27, '53	G. Hammond.....	G. Hammond.....	Santa Cruz.....
147	Mar. 31, '58	Ed. Bosqui.....	Alameda.....
** 148	Mar. 15, '53	Jesse Boring.....	San Francisco.....
149
150	Aug. 22, '54	F. H. Spratt.....	A. A. Ritchie.....	Napa.....
* 150	Nov. 2, '57	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
151	Mar. 11, '53	W. S. Hough.....	W. S. Hough.....	Santa Clara.....
152	Mar. 11,	W. S. Hough.....	Santa Clara.....
* 153	Sept. 4, '55	Samuel Scott.....	Merced.....
154	Sept. 4,	Samuel Scott.....	Merced.....
155
156	April 2, '53	R. C. Page.....	R. C. Page.....	Santa Clara.....
156	Mar. 28, '57	L. F. Jarvis.....	Tuolumne.....
157	May 20, '53	R. Hubbard.....	R. Hubbard.....	Solano.....
158	July 14,	M. A. Cavert.....	M. A. Cavert.....	Shasta.....
† 158	Nov. 12, '58	J. Granville Doll....	Tehama.....
159	April 1, '53	J. H. Washington....	J. Eldridge.....	Santa Clara.....
159	Sept. 20, '56	Henry Hancock....	Los Angeles.....
160	April 1, '53	J. H. Washington....	J. Eldridge.....	Santa Clara.....

* Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

** Informal.

† Final location in Register's office at Marysville, April 11, 1857.

161	April 11,	C. Thorne.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
161	July 10, '54	David W. Berry.....	Solano.....
162	April 15, '53	C. Thorne.....	J. Hawkins.....	Santa Clara.....
163	July 30, '57	Thomas Roche.....	Sonoma.....
164	Mar. 10, '53	J. Hawkins.....	J. Hawkins.....	Santa Clara.....
§164	Oct. 16, '54	Michael Murray.....	Alameda.....
*165	Mar. 9, '53	J. A. Yell.....	J. Lewis.....	Santa Clara.....
165	Mar. 9, '55	Anthony Fales.....	Merced.....
*166	Mar. 9, '53	J. A. Yell.....	J. Lewis.....	Santa Clara.....
167	April 10,	T. G. Smith.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
*167	May 15, '55	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
168
169
170	May 12, '53	H. Eldridge.....	C. Davis.....	Santa Clara.....
**171	May 3,	H. Eldridge.....	Heys, Welch & Rog's.....	San Francisco.....
171	Mar. 30, '58	E. L. Beard.....	Alameda.....
172	Jan. 30, '54	J. Eldridge.....	Benj. D. Wilson.....	Los Angeles.....
172	June 23, '57	George F. Lamson
*173	Mar. 6, '53	J. D. Hamilton.....	J. D. Hamilton.....	San Joaquin.....
*174	Mar. 11,	H. Howland.....	H. Howland.....	San Joaquin.....
175	Mar. 28, 53	H. De Groot.....	L. W. Newell.....	Calaveras.....
176	Sept. 4,	E. W. Colt.....	E. W. Colt.....	Santa Clara.....
176	Nov. 18, '56	E. W. Colt.....	Andrew Jelly.....	Tehama.....
177	April 18, '53	George Kerr.....	F. Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
178	April 18,	George Kerr.....	F. Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
179	Oct. 9, '52	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
179	Mar. 14, '53	L. E. Beardsley.....	Jesse Boring.....	Santa Clara.....
*179	Sept. 14, '55	Charles A. Starr....	Merced.....
180	Nov. 5, '52	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
180	Ap'l 20, '53	J. M. Heard.....	A. B. Lutz.....	El Dorado.....
*181	Oct. 9, '55	James A. Neill.....	Merced.....
182	April 9, '53	A. Sedgely.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
*182	Jan. 7, '56	A. Sedgely.....	Jonathan Clark.....
*183	Aug. 26, '53	A. Sedgely.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Humboldt.....
*184	May 27, '54	Thos. Scholfield.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
*185	May 29, '53	Thos. Scholfield.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
*186	July 21,	A. G. Abell.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
*187	July 21,	A. G. Abell.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
*188	Ap'l 15, '53	A. Kirkwood.....	A. Kirkwood.....	Solano.....
189	Mar. 23, '53	D. K. Newell.....	D. K. Newell.....	Sacramento.....
190	Mar. 23,	D. K. Newell.....	D. K. Newell.....	Sacramento.....
191	Mar. 18,	D. M. Berry.....	D. M. Berry.....	Solano.....

§ Does not conform to U. S. Survey.

* Final location in Register's office at San Francisco.

** Informal

192	Mar. 22,	J. P. Long.....	J. P. Long.....	Solano.....
*192	Sept. 23, '55	E. J. Stearns.....	Merced.....
193	Mar. 22, '53	J. P. Long.....	J. P. Long.....	Solano.....
*193	Sept. 23, '55	E. J. Stearns.....	Merced.....
*194	Ap'l 16, '53	James Barry.....	James Barry.....	Solano.....
195	May 3,	L. E. Beardsley.....	E. Vischer.....	Santa Clara.....
196	Mar. 14,	Gish & Lemon.....	Gish & Lemon.....	Solano.....
*196	July 16, '54	Elmes A. Townsend.....	Solano.....
*197	Nov. 25, '56	Robert H. Vance...	Solano.....
198	Sept. 4, '55	C. V. Snelling.....	Mariposa.....
199	Ap'l 20, '53	W. Buchanan.....	W. Buchanan.....	El Dorado.....
†200	May 17,	D. R. Ashley.....	D. R. Ashley.....	Monterey.....
200	Nov. 17, '56	M. C. Freland.....	Monterey.....
201	Nov. 17,	D. R. Ashley.....	M. C. Freland.....	Monterey.....
*202	July 10, '54	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
204	July 28, '53	Charles K. Smith....	Jonathan Clark....	Humboldt.....
‡205	July 28,	Charles K. Smith....	Jonathan Clark....	Humboldt.....
207	Ap'l 18, ...	Albert Rowe.....	Pulaski Greene....	Sacramento.....
208	Oct. 17, '54	Noorman & Denton.....	Solano.....
*209	Mar. 27, '57	Bird Strickland....	Merced.....
210	May 21, '53	Spencer & Sullivan..	Spencer & Sullivan	Solano.....
211	Dec. 30,	Charles Loring.....	Grant, Gallagher, & Green.....	San Francisco.....
*211	Nov. 2, '57	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
213	Aug. 6, '53	Adams & Welch....	Santa Clara.....
213	Mar. 31, '58	Henry G. Ellsworth	Alameda.....
*215	June 6, '53	B. F. Marshall.....	Benj. F. Marshall..	Calaveras.....
216	Ap'l 16,	S. K. Nurse.....	S. K. Nurse.....	Solano.....
216	July 13, '54	W. R. Nurse.....	Solano.....
*217	June 6, '53	B. F. Marshall.....	B. F. Marshall.....	Calaveras.....
218	Aug. 4, '56	H. G. Ferris.....	H. G. Ferris.....	Siskiyou.....
219	Oct. 3, '54	David D. Colton.....	P. A. Hartstrandt...	Siskiyou.....
219	Aug. 31, '57	David D. Colton.....	P. A. Hartstrandt...	Siskiyou.....
*220	Sept. 23, '55	Ed. J. Stearns.....	Merced.....
!!221
222	Sept. 2, '53	E. McGerry.....	E. McGerry.....	Solano.....
222	Mar. 1, '54	Isaac Thomas.....	Santa Clara.....
223	Sept. 2, '53	E. McGerry.....	E. McGerry.....	Solano.....
223	Mar. 1, '54	Isaac Thomas.....	Santa Clara.....
224	July 9, '53	W. B. Long.....	Solano.....
†224	Dec. 17, '55	Solano.....

† Abandoned.

‡ Final Location in Register's Office at San Francisco, March 16, 1855.

!! Returned informal from San Francisco.

225	July 9, '53	W. B. Long.....	Solano.....
*225	Mar. 26, '55	Jack W. Smith.....	Merced.....
226	July 12, '53	William Barnett.....	William Barnett.....	San Joaquin.....
§227	Jan. 30, '54	Charles D. Judah....	W. T. B. Sanford...	Los Angeles.....
227	June 23, '57	Edward Merrill.....	Los Angeles.....
228	Jan. 30, '54	Charles D. Judah....	John G. Downey...	Los Angeles.....
*229	July 10,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
230	July 10,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
232	Oct. 6, '53	John Thorp.....	John Thorp.....	Santa Cruz.....
234	Oct. 6,	W. B. Knox.....	W. B. Knox.....	Santa Cruz.....
236	Oct. 6,	P. O'Connor.....	P. O'Connor.....	Santa Cruz.....
**237	July 29,	Read and others...	San Francisco.....
237	Feb. 5, '56	Alexander Horton....	W. H. Palmer.....	Santa Clara.....
*237	Sept. 28, '57	Thos. P. Madder...	Tulare.....
238	Sept. 20, '56	H. Hancock.....	Los Angeles.....
239	Aug. 22, '53	S. J. Hensley.....	Santa Clara.....
*240	Feb. 20, '56	Allen Lee Bours....	San Joaquin.....
*241	Feb. 20,	Allen Lee Bours....	San Joaquin.....
242	Aug. 4, '54	Geo. Aiken.....	W. N. Ryer.....	San Joaquin.....
*242	June 1, '57	J. W. Fitzhugh.....	Merced.....
246	Oct. 18, '53	R. Hopkins.....	W. H. White.....	Santa Clara.....
247	Dec. 20, '53	R. Hopkins.....	Gluyas and Coult..	Santa Cruz.....
*248	July 10, '54	Felix Argenti.....	Solano.....
249	July 10, '54	Felix Argenti.....	Solano.....
*252	July 10, '54	Felix Argenti.....	Solano.....
253	Dec. 20, '53	Geo. W. Ten Broeck	Gluyas, Coult, Williams.....	Solano.....
254	Dec. 20,	Thomas Coleman...	" " "	Santa Cruz.....
255	Dec. 20,	Thomas Coleman...	" " "	Santa Cruz.....
*256	July 10, '54	Felix Argenti.....	Solano.....
*257	July 10,	Felix Argenti.....	Solano.....
258	Aug. 23, '53	H. Gwynn.....	H. Gwynn.....	Yolo.....
259	Aug. 19,	F. H. Wood.....	W. C. Jones.....	San Francisco.....
260	Aug. 19,	G. H. Wilson.....	H. P. Jones.....	San Francisco.....
261	Aug. 19,	C. H. Heath.....	H. P. Jones.....	San Francisco.....
*261	Sept. 20, '58	John McMullin.....	San Joaquin.....
262	Aug. 22, '52	Jas. Caples.....	Sacramento.....
262	Aug. 25, '53	H. L. Ford.....	Colusa.....
263	Aug. 24, '53	W. C. Moon.....	Colusa.....
†264	Dec. 17, '55
265	Dec. 29, '54	T. P. Hart.....	Ignacio Del Valle...	Los Angeles.....

† Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Marysville.

* Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

§ Sold by first locator.

** Informal

† Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Marysville.

266	Sept. 12, '56	Jas. A. Forbes.....	Santa Clara.....
§267		San Francisco.....
*267	Sep. 28, '57	Thomas P. Madden.....	Tulare.....
†268	Mar. 10, '56
269	Oct. 6, '53	J. Suttar.....	Joseph Yancey.....	San Joaquin.....
‡269	Sept. 2, '58	Joseph Yancey.....	San Joaquin.....
*272	Dec. 31, '56	Thomas J. Brooke.	San Joaquin.....
273	Aug. 12,	Wm. W. Wright.....	James Hughes.....	Colusa.....
275	April 15,	J. S. Ormsby.....	Sonoma.....
277	Oct. 8,	Daniel Rountree.....	Geo. H. Bodfish....	Santa Clara.....
278	Aug. 31, '53	A. Brooks.....	J. D. Stevenson....	San Francisco.....
*278	Mar. 6, '56	Chas. C. Rynerson	San Joaquin.....
279	'53
280	Dec. 20,	T. A. Lynch.....	Patrick Breen.....	Monterey.....
281	Dec. 23,	J. Neely Johnson....	M. A. Meeder.....	Santa Cruz.....
282	Sept. 14,	P. W. Colt.....	Santa Clara.....
283	Mar. 24,	W. Murphy.....	Santa Clara.....
284	Mar. 24,	W. Murphy.....	Santa Clara.....
288	Oct. 1,	J. H. Whitman.....	Yolo.....
292	June 12, '56	G. Tagliabue.....	Joseph Haywood..	Colusa.....
294	Oct. 15, '53	F. Smith.....	Santa Cruz.....
295	Aug. 27,	F. D. Kohler.....	N. C. Reed.....	San Francisco.....
296	Sept. 14, ...	Jas. C. Layton.....	J. W. Colt.....	Santa Clara.....
297	Aug. 19,	W. Briggs.....	Solano.....
*297	July 18, '56	W. J. Barfield.....	Merced.....
298	Aug. 19, '53	W. Briggs.....	Solano.....
*298	June 29, '55	W. J. Barfield.....	Merced.....
*300	Sept. 28, '57	James P. Speer....	Fresno.....
*301	Dec. 23, '53	John Y. Lind.....	B. K. Reid.....	San Joaquin.....
302	Sept. 2,	John Able.....	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
303	Sept. 2,	John Able.....	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
303	Dec. 20,	Ed. Breen.....	Monterey.....
*304	Oct. 26, '57	James P. Speer....	Fresno.....
306	Oct. 22, '53	W. Walker.....	J. Belden.....	Santa Clara.....
307	Oct. 22,	W. Walker.....	J. Belden.....	Santa Clara.....
308	(No date.)	H. M. Cooper.....	H. M. Cooper.....	Yolo.....
309	Oct. 27, '53	Jas. M. Harbin.....	Jas. M. Harbin.....	Yolo.....
*310	Sept. 23, '55	E. J. Stearns.....	Merced.....
314	Oct. 6, '53	J. McMullen.....	J. McMullen.....	San Joaquin.....
*314	Jan 22, '56	J. McMullen.....	J. McMullen.....	San Joaquin.....
!!317	Jan 22,	Atkins S. Wright.....	B. V. Sargent.....	San Joaquin.....

§ Informal.

* Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

‡ Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Stockton.

!! Floated in same county, December 29, 1857.

* 317	April 17, '58	Alex. Hartford.....	San Joaquin.....
318	Jan. 22, '56	A. S. Wright.....	J. L. Sargent.....	San Joaquin.....
* 318	Mar. 9, '58	Simeon H. Anthony.....	San Joaquin.....
319	Jan. 22, '56	A. S. Wright.....	B. V. Sargent.....	San Joaquin.....
* 319	Jan. 7, '58	Job. S. Anglin.....	San Joaquin.....
* 321	Sept. 18, '56	Nat. S. Harold.....	San Joaquin.....
‡ 321	Aug. 9, '58	J. M. Montgomery.	Merced.....
322	Nov. 24, '56	John A. Veact.....	Napa.....
323	Nov. 24,	John A. Veact.....	Napa.....
324	June 23, '57	Wm. N. Thompson..	Wm. M. Thompson	Fresno.....

* Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

‡ Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Stockton.

LIST OF

SCHOOL-LAND WARRANTS FOR 160 ACRES EACH.

No. of warr't.	Date of Location.	To whom Issued.	For whom Located.	County.
†1	Aug. 3, '52	Roswell Barbour.....	Solano.....
* 1	Oct. 17, '55	Nathan Barbour.....	Solano.....
†2	Aug. 3, '52	Roswell Barbour.....	Solano.....
* 2	Oct. 17, '55	Nathan Barbour.....	Solano.....
* 3	July 20, '53	Sarshil Bynum.....	Solano.....
* 3	Mar. 11,	Addison C. Hynkson.	Santa Clara.....
4	Sept. 15, '52	L. B. Harkness.....	D. P. Thayer.....	Mendocino.....
4	July 22,	Jas. Caples.....	Jas. Caples.....	Sacramento.....
4	Aug. 20, '56	J. B. Harkness.....	Eben Fakon.....	Santa Clara.....
5	June 19, '52	J. Tanner.....	Yuba.....
6	June 19,	J. Tanner.....	Yuba.....
7	June 19,	J. Tanner.....	Yuba.....
8	June 19,	J. Tanner.....	Yuba.....
11	June 18,	N. Congier.....	Sonoma.....
12	June 18,	N. Congier.....	Sonoma.....
13	June 5,	Cooper, Vaughn, Bynum.....	Solano.....
14	June 5,	“ “ “	Solano.....
15	June 19,	J. H. Storer.....	Yuba.....
16	June 19,	J. H. Storer.....	Yuba.....
17	June 19,	J. H. Storer.....	Yuba.....
18	June 19,	J. H. Storer.....	Yuba.....
21	Aug. 3,	Roswell Barbour.....	Solano.....
‡23	Aug. 26,	M. B. Schakelford.....	Santa Cruz.....
24	Aug. 26,	M. B. Schakelford.....	Santa Cruz.....
§24	Sept. 25, '55	Samuel R. Gwin.....	Merced.....
25	Feb. 26, '58	Amos Buckman.....	Napa.....
26	Mar. 6, '57	E. Howland.....	Hugh P. Gallagher....	Santa Clara.....
27	Mar. 31, ...	E. Howland.....	Alfred G. Carter.....	Tehama.....

† On record in this Office.

* On record in Register's Office, San Francisco.

‡ Assignee of Thomas Fallon.

§ Located on Register, San Francisco.

29	Aug. 26,	M. Larned.....	E. and G. W. Heard...	Siskiyou.....
30	May 24,	M. Larned.....	Thomas Widdess.....	Siskiyou.....
31	May 24,	M. Larned.....	Thomas Widdess.....	Siskiyou.....
†33	June 28, '52	Griffin and Wilkins....	Yuba.....
†34	June 28,	Griffin and Wilkins....	Yuba.....
†35	June 28,	Griffin and Wilkins....	Yuba.....
†36	June 28,	Griffin and Wilkins....	Yuba.....
*37	May 31, '58	W. H. Laird.....	Stanislaus.....
‡38	April 13, ...	G. H. Howard.....	Demont, Smith, Dom Chauncey.....	Alameda.....
*39	May 31,	W. H. Laird.....	Stanislaus.....
‡40	April 13, ...	G. H. Howard.....	Demont, Smith, Dom Chauncey.....	Alameda.....
*41	April 17,	F. H. Woods.....	San Joaquin.....
*42	April 17,	F. H. Woods.....	San Joaquin.....
*43	April 17,	F. H. Woods.....	San Joaquin.....
*44	April 17,	F. H. Woods.....	San Joaquin.....
45	Oct. 28, '52	A. Wheeler.....	John A. Benson.....	Sacramento.....
*45	Jan 22, '58	John A. Benson.....	Tulare.....
*47	July 7, '57	L. D. Vinsonhaler.....	Mariposa.....
*48	July 7,	L. D. Vinsonhaler.....	Mariposa.....
49	July 5, '53	Geo. R. Nesbitt.....	Sacramento.....
*49	Sept. 4, '55	Cornelius Osborne....	Merced.....
50	Sept. 4,	Cornelius Osborne....	Merced.....
50	Sept. 8, '56	H. Robinson.....	Hames & Dubendiss..	Santa Cruz.....
51	July 20, '52	Sarshill Bynum.....	Solano.....
51	Dec. 5, '53	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
52	July 16, '52	Sonoma.....
52	July 21,	S. C. Hastings and Morse.....	Solano.....
53	Dec. 5, '53	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
§54	July 16, '52	S. C. Hastings.....	Sonoma.....
57	June 3, '52	Jonathan Tittle.....	Yolo.....
58	June 3,	Jonathan Tittle.....	Yolo.....
59	Mar. 31, '58	William Stanwood....	H. G. Ellsworth.....	Alameda.....
60	Mar. 31, ...	William Stanwood....	H. G. Ellsworth.....	Alameda.....
*61	April 7,	Peter Kennedy.....	San Joaquin.....
*62	April 7,	Peter Kennedy.....	San Joaquin.....
^a 63	June 17, 52	Myron Hutchinson.....	San Joaquin.....
^a 64	June 17,	Myron Hutchinson.....	San Joaquin.....
^a 65	June 17,	Myron Hutchinson.....	San Joaquin.....

† Located in Register's Office, Marysville, Jan. 19, 1856.

* Located in Register's Office, San Francisco.

‡ Located in Register's Office, San Francisco, June 9, 1852 – floated April 10, 1858.

§ Floated to Solano, July 20, 1852.

^a Re-located, December 27, 1853, in same County.

^b 65	May 19, '57	Cole & Dodge.....	San Joaquin.....
^c 66	June 17, '52	Myron & Hutchinson..	San Joaquin.....
^d 66	May 19, '57	Cole & Dodge.....	San Joaquin.....
*67	Feb. 18, '54	S. C. Field.....
68	Oct. 22, '53	Chas. M. Hudspeth...	Zachariah Jones.....	Santa Clara.....
70	Aug. 20,	Hayes & Moore.....	San Francisco...
^e 71	Aug. 8,	James Pratt.....	San Francisco.....
†72	Aug. 20,	J. M. Hudspeth.....	Hayes & Moore.....	San Joaquin.....
†72	Dec. 29, '54	J. M. Hudspeth.....	W. K. Van Allen.....	San Francisco.....
72	Dec. 31, '55	J. M. Hudspeth.....	Alden Daly.....	San Joaquin.....
72	June 1, '58	J. M. Hudspeth.....	Jas. P. Sargent.....	Santa Clara.....
73	June 19, '52	Warren Nims.....	Calaveras (now Amador).....
74	June 19,	Warren Nims.....	“ “ “
75	June 19,	Warren Nims.....	“ “ “
76	June 19,	Warren Nims.....	“ “ “
77	June 23,	G. J. Clarke & J. Pope.....	Yuba.....
77	Sept. 23, '54	Gould & Maclay.....	Santa Clara.....
78	Sept. 23,	Gould & Maclay.....	Santa Clara.....
78	Mar. 7, '57	Thos. J. Neville.....	Santa Clara.....
80	Aug. 26, '57	M. Larned.....	E. & G. W. Heard.....	Siskiyou.....
81	Aug. 26,	E. Larned.....	M. J. Carroll.....	Siskiyou.....
82	June 4, '52	John Williams.....	Yolo.....
§82	Oct. 1, '58	Philander Arnold.....	Solano.....
83	June 4, '52	John Williams.....	Yolo.....
84	July 8,	Jas. F. Thompson....	T. Thompson.....	Santa Clara.....
85	July 8,	Jas. F. Thompson....	T. Thompson.....	Santa Clara.....
86	July 11,	Jas. F. Thompson....	Jas. M. Allen.....	Santa Cruz.....
87	July 11,	W. M. Mendenhall....	Santa Cruz.....
88	Sept. 7,	J. P. Chrisman.....	Roscoe Field.....	Contra Costa.....
^a 88	March 5, '57	John R. Boyd.....	Contra Costa.....
89	Sept. 7, '52	C. P. Stevenson.....	R. Field.....	Contra Costa.....
^a 89	March 5, '57	John R. Boyd.....	Contra Costa.....
90	April 15, '53	Wright, Hammond, and others.....	Santa Cruz.....
91	June 12, '52	Thos. C. Byrd.....	Santa Cruz.....
*91	Oct. 23, '55	J. M. Montgomery....	Merced.....
92	June 12, '52	Thos. C. Byrd.....	Santa Cruz.....

^b Assigned, floated from original location on land confirmed to Andreas Pico.

^c Re-located, December 27, 1853, by same.

^d Assignees – floated from original location on land confirmed to A. Pico.

* Located in Register's Office, San Francisco.

^e Located in Register's Office, San Francisco, April 29, 1854.

† Informal.

§ Located in Register's Office, in Stockton.

^a Located in Register's Office, Marysville, May 14, 1858.

* 92	Oct. 23, '55	J. M. Montgomery.....	Merced.....
93	Aug. 3, '52	G. V. Weaver.....	Santa Cruz.....
94	Oct. 4,	M. A. Meeder.....	G. V. Weaver.....	Santa Cruz.....
95	Oct. 17, '53	M. A. Meeder.....	M. A. Meeder.....	Santa Cruz.....
96	Oct. 17,	M. A. Meeder.....	M. A. Meeder.....	Santa Cruz.....
98	June 1, '52	Wm. Clary.....	Wm. Clary.....	Yolo.....
98	May 20, '53	John Gibb.....	Yolo.....
^b 98	Dec. 6, '58	John W. Porterfield...	Yolo.....
99	June 1, '52	Wm. Clary.....	Yolo.....
99	May 20, '53	John Gibb.....	Yolo.....
^b 99	Dec. 6, '58	William Clary.....	John W. Porterfield...	Yolo.....
100	June 19, '52	Fletcher Baker.....	Calaveras (now Amador).....
101	June 19, '52	Fletcher Baker.....	" " "
102	June 19,	Fletcher Baker.....	" " "
103	June 19,	Fletcher Baker.....	" " "
104	Nov. 6,	J. A. Paxton.....	Peter Rice.....	Butte.....
105	April 24, '57	J. A. Paxton.....	G. P. Swift.....	Colusa.....
106	June 4, '52	Samuel Conrad.....	Yolo.....
* 106	Jan. 9, '57	Henry Howland.....	San Joaquin.....
107	June 7, '52	Isly Holt Fine.....	Solano.....
* 107	Sept. 25, '55	Sam'l R. Gwin.....	Merced.....
108	June 7, '52	Isly Holt Fine.....	Solano.....
* 108	Sept. 25, '55	Sam'l R. Gwin.....	Merced.....
109	June 7, '52	I. Holt Fine.....	Solano.....
109	Aug. 27, '55	Albert Jones.....	San Joaquin.....
* 109	Feb. 22, '56	Wm. Nelson.....	Merced.....
110	Aug. 27, '55	Seth H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
110	June 7, '52	Isly Holt Fine.....	Solano.....
* 110	Ap'l 14, '56	Joseph W. Leland.....	San Joaquin.....
† 111	May 19,
† 112	Dec. 23, '53	John Ferguson.....	Solano.....
114	Dec. 20,	L. W. Baggs.....	Edward Breen.....	Monterey.....
115	Mar. 25, '57	A. C. Peachy.....	Napa.....
117	June 5, '52	J. B. Tilden.....	Yolo.....
117	Nov. 20, '57	G. W. & E. H. Heard..	Siskiyou.....
118	June 16, '53	Sarshil Bynum.....	Napa.....
119	June 16,	Sarshil Bynum.....	Napa.....
‡ 120	Jan. 12,	P. M. Mackay.....	Solano.....
* 120	Dec. 17, '57	Jas. J. Dickinson.....	Stanislaus.....
* 121	Dec. 17,	Jas. J. Dickinson.....	Stanislaus.....

* Located in Register's Office, San Francisco.

^b Located in Register's Office, Marysville.

* Located in Register's Office, San Francisco.

† Located in Register's Office, Marysville.

‡ Informal.

* 122	Dec. 5,	Ruffin A. Moore.....	Merced.....
* 123	Dec. 5,	Archiblad Prewitt.....	Merced.....
* 124	Dec. 5,	Wm. M. Neill.....	Merced.....
* 125	Dec. 5,	Wm. M. Neill.....	Merced.....
§ 130	Oct. 16, '52	Thos. Sunderland.....	Rollins, Britton, Henkley.....	Colusa.....
§ 130	Oct. 16, '54	“ “ “	Colusa.....
§ 131	Oct. 16, '52	Thos. Sunderland.....	“ “ “	Colusa.....
* 131	Oct. 16, '54	“ “ “	Colusa.....
132	Oct. 27, '52	John A. Benson.....	Sacramento.....
* 132	Jan. 22, '58	John A. Benson.....	Tulare.....
133	Oct. 13,	Thos. Thompson.....	Santa Clara.....
‡ 134	July 28,	J. N. Briceland.....	San Francisco.....
* 134	Jan. 21, '58	S. D. Lovell.....	Thos. P. Madden.....	Tulare.....
‡ 135	July 21, '53	J. N. Briceland.....	San Francisco.....
* 135	Jan 22, '58	S. D. Lovell.....	Cornelius Osborne....	Stanslaus.....
‡ 136	July 21, '53	S. D. Lovell.....	J. N. Briceland.....	San Francisco.....
* 136	Jan. 22, '58	Cornelius Osborne...	Stanislaus.....
‡ 137	Jan. 21, '53	S. D. Lovell.....	J. N. Briceland.....	San Francisco.....
* 137	Jan. 22, '58	T. P. Madden.....	Tulare.....
138	June 18, '52	Benj. Dewell.....	Sonoma.....
138	Dec. 3, '57	W. B. Elliott.....	Emsley Elliott.....	Sonoma.....
139	June 18, '52	W. B. Elliott.....	A. R. Elliott.....	Sonoma.....
139	Dec. 3, '57	Emsley Elliott.....	Sonoma.....
140	June 18, '52	W. B. Elliott.....	A. R. Elliott.....	Sonoma.....
140	Dec. 3, '57	W. B. Elliott.....	Emsley Elliott.....	Sonoma.....
141	June 18, '52	W. B. Elliott.....	Benj. Dewell.....	Sonoma.....
141	Dec. 3, '57	Emsley Elltiott.....	Sonoma.....
142	June 25, '52	Albert Williams.....	San Joaquin.....
† 142	July 10, '54	Albert Williams.....	Solano.....
143	June 25, '52	Albert Williams.....	San Joaquin.....
† 143	July 10, '54	Albert Williams.....	Solano.....
144	June 25, '52	Albert Williams.....	San Joaquin.....
† 144	July 10, '54	Albert Williams.....	Solano.....
145	June 25, '52	Albert Williams.....	San Joaquin.....
† 145	July 10, '54	Albert Williams.....	Solano.....
146	July 5, '52	Thos. B. Prince.....	Contra Costa.....
147	July 5, '52	Thos. B. Prince.....	Contra Costa.....
§ 148	Oct. 14, '58	Ilock Hills.....	Ilock Hills.....	Humboldt.....
149	Feb. 7 ' 53	Ilock Hills.....	Ilock Hills.....	Trinity.....
§ 149	Oct. 14, '58	Ilock Hills.....	Ilock Hills.....	Humboldt.....
150	Feb. 7, '53	Ilock Hills.....	Ilock Hills.....	Humboldt.....
151	Sept. 15, '52	V. Seaman.....	Mendocino.....

§ Re-located for same parties, Oct. 27, 1853, in Register's Office, San Francisco.

† Located in Register's Office, San Francisco – Floated.

§ Final location in Register's Office, Humboldt.

151	Nov. 16, '54	Frank Soule.....	Isaiah Hanscom.....	Solano.....
* 151	Ap'l 2, '56	Solman A. Benton.....	San Joaquin.....
152	Sept. 15, '52	Frank Soule.....	V. Seaman.....	Mendocino.....
* 152	Sept. 4, '55	Cornelius Osborne....	Merced.....
153	Aug. 20, '52	W. M. Chipman.....	Contra Costa.....
153	Aug. 21, '57	James Thompson.....	Los Angeles.....
154	Sept. 15, '52	Frank Soule.....	V. Seaman.....	Mendocino.....
154	Ap'l 18, '53	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
155	Sept. 15, '52	G. W. Kinsee.....	D. P. Thayer.....	Mendocino.....
156	Sept. 15,	G. W. Kinsee.....	D. P. Thayer.....	Mendocino.....
156	June 23, '57	Edward Merrill.....	Los Angeles.....
157	Nov. 6, '52	John A. Paxton.....	Peter Rice.....	Butte.....
158	Sept. 15,	E. G. Austin.....	J. B. Ford.....	Mendocino.....
159	Sept. 15,	E. G. Austin.....	J. B. Ford.....	Mendocino.....
160	Sept. 15,	C. Scholfield.....	Jesse L. Wetmore.....	Mendocino.....
161	Sept. 15,	Jesse L. Wetmore.....	Mendocino.....
* 161	Feb. 14, '57	A. P. Jackson.....	Solano.....
162	Sept. 15, '52	B. D. Banter.....	A. P. Jackson.....	Mendocino.....
163	Sept. 15,	B. D. Banter.....	A. P. Jackson.....	Mendocino.....
164	Sept. 15,	M. Rudsdale.....	D. P. Thayer.....	Mendocino.....
165	Aug. 20,	W. M. Chipman.....	Contra Costa.....
165	Aug. 21, '57	B. F. Moulton.....	James Thompson.....	Los Angeles.....
166	Sept. 15, '52	B. F. Moulton.....	J. B. Ford.....	Mendocino.....
166	Dec. 29, '54	Ignacio del Valle.....	Los Angeles.....
167	Sept. 15, '52	B. F. Moulton.....	J. B. Ford.....	Mendocino.....
169	Nov. 16, '55	Jonathan Clark.....	Trinity.....
170	Nov. 16,	Jonathan Clark.....	Trinity.....
171	Nov. 16,	Jonathan Clark.....	Trinity.....
172	Aug. 14, '52	Jas. H. Ralston.....	John A. Benson.....	Sacramento.....
* 172	Jan. 22, '58	John A. Benson.....	Tulare.....
173	Aug. 14, '52	Jas. H. Ralston.....	John A. Benson.....	Sacramento.....
173	Jan. 22, '58	John A. Benson.....	Tulare.....
174	Oct. 16, '52	Jas. H. Ralston.....	Rollins, Britton, Henkly.....	Colusa.....
* 174	Oct. 17, '53	" " "	Colusa.....
175	Oct. 16, '52	Jas. H. Ralston.....	" " "	Colusa.....
* 175	Oct. 17, '53	" " "	Colusa.....
176	Aug. 12, '52	James A. Bell.	Nevada.....
177	Aug. 12,	James A. Bell.	Nevada.....
178	Aug. 12,	James A. Bell.	Nevada.....
179	Aug. 12,	James A. Bell.	Nevada.....
180	June 21, '52	S. A. McMeans.....	S. A. McMeans.....	Sacramento.....
180	June 12, '53	S. A. McMeans.....	O. K. Levings.....	Sacramento.....
* 180	June 29, '55	S. A. McMeans.....	W. J. Barfield.....	Merced.....

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

* Final location in Registers Office at San Francisco.

181	June 21, '52	S. A. McMeans.....	Selden A. McMeans..	Sacramento.....
181	July 12, '53	O. K. Levings.....	Sacramento.....
*181	June 29, '55	W. J. Barfield.....	Merced.....
182	May 18, '53	E. Casserly.....	Eugene Casserly.....	Marin.....
183	May 18,	E. Casserly.....	Eugene Casserly.....	Marin.....
184	May 18,	E. Casserly.....	Eugene Casserly.....	Marin.....
185	May 18,	E. Casserly.....	Eugene Casserly.....	Marin.....
188	June 23, '52	Levi Goodrich.....	Levi Goodrich.....	Santa Clara.....
189	June 23,	Levi Goodrich.....	Levi Goodrich.....	Santa Clara.....
190	June 23,	Levi Goodrich.....	Levi Goodrich.....	Santa Clara.....
191	June 23,	Levi Goodrich.....	Levi Goodrich.....	Santa Clara.....
192	June 19, '52	Dennis G. Weston....	Dennis G. Weston....	Sacramento.....
193	June 19,	Dennis G. Weston....	Dennis G. Weston....	Sacramento.....
†194	Aug. 13, '58	Walkump & Wylum..	Placer.....
†195	Aug. 13,	Walkump & Wylum..	Placer.....
196	July 31, '52	Alex M. Preston.....	Klamath.....
197	July 31,	Alex M. Preston.....	Klamath.....
†198	April 16, '56
†199	April 16, '56
^a 200	T. B. Van Buren.....	Philip Zimmerman....	Solano.....
†200	Dec. 7, '58	J. Granville Doll.....	Tehama.....
^a 201	T. B. Van Buren.....	Philip Zimmerman....	Solano.....
†201	Dec. 7, '58	J. Granville Doll.....	Tehama.....
202	July 27, '52	S. Cooper.....	A. Riddell.....	Contra Costa.....
§202	Sept. 21, '58	John McMullin.....	San Joaquin.....
203	July 27, '52	A. Riddell.....	Contra Costa.....
§203	Sept. 20, '58	John McMullin.....	San Joaquin.....
204	July 16, '52	Dudley C. Bryan.....	D. C. Bryan.....	Sonoma.....
204	Oct. 9, '52	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
205	July 16, '52	Dudley C. Bryan.....	D. C. Bryan.....	Sonoma.....
205	Oct. 9, '52	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
206	July 16, '52	Dudley C. Bryan.....	S. C. Hastings.....	Sonoma.....
206	Oct. 9, '52	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
207	July 16, '52	Dudley C. Bryan.....	S. C. Hastings.....	Sonoma.....
207	Oct. 9, '52	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
208	July 6, '53	S. C. Field.....	Solano.....
*208	Jan. 17, '54	S. C. Field.....	Solano.....
209	July 6, '53	S. C. Field.....	Solano.....
*209	Jan. 17, '54	S. C. Field.....	Solano.....
210	June 30, '52	Harvey Porterfield....	Yolo.....
†210	Dec. 6, '58	John W. Porterfield...	Yolo.....

† Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

^a Date not given.

§ Final location in Register's Office at Stockton.

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

† Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

211	July 15, '52	John F. Hinkson.....	Tuolumne.....
*211	May 14, '57	Charles Dallas.....	Stanislaus.....
212	July 15, '52	John F. Hinkson.....	Tuolumne.....
*212	May 14, '57	Charles Dallas.....	Stanislaus.....
213	Oct. 20, '52	G. Vance Weaver.....	Santa Cruz.....
214	Sept. 8, '56	G. Vance Weaver.....	Dubendiss & Hames..	Santa Cruz.....
215	Sept. 8, '56	G. Vance Weaver.....	Dubendiss & Hames..	Santa Cruz.....
216	June 26, '52	Prewett Sinclair.....	Santa Cruz.....
*216	Jan. 8, '56	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
217	Jan. 8, '56	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
218	June 21, '52	S. A. McMeans.....	Seldon A. McMeans..	Sacramento.....
218	July 5, '53	S. A. McMeans.....	Hamilton & Thorne...	Contra Costa.....
221	June 28, '52	Rollins.....	Solano.....
*221	Nov. 6, '54	J. M. Bowles.....	Colusa.....
222	June 28, '52	Rollins.....	Solano.....
*222	Nov. 6, '54	Joseph M. Bowles....	Colusa.....
223	June 28, '52	Rollins.....	Solano.....
*223	Dec. 1, '54	Rollins.....	Colusa.....
224	June 28, '52	Rollins.....	Solano.....
*224	Dec. 1, '54	Rollins.....	Colusa.....
*225	Jan. 8, '56	Elisha Packwood.....	Trinity.....
‡226	May 31, '53	John G. Marvin.....	Ryan & Duff.....	Trinity.....
‡227	May 31,	John G. Marvin.....	Ryan & Duff.....	Trinity.....
228	Dec. 13, '52	John G. Marvin.....	Peter Tracey.....	Santa Cruz.....
229	July 1,	Chas. H. Ross.....	Chas. H. Ross.....	Sacramento.....
230	July 1,	Chas. H. Ross.....	Chas. H. Ross.....	Sacramento.....
231	Nov. 25,	W. Blackburn.....	Santa Cruz.....
232	Nov. 25,	W. Blackburn.....	Santa Cruz.....
233	Nov. 26,	I. C. Woods.....	Albert G. Kimball....	Tuolumne.....
*233	Sept. 28, '57	Thos. P. Madden.....	Tulare.....
234	Nov. 26, '52	I. C. Woods.....	Albert G. Kimball....	Tuolumne.....
*234	Sept. 28, '57	Thos. Madden.....	Tulare.....
235	Sept. 19, '53	I. C. Woods.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Trinity.....
*235	Mar. 16, '55	Jonathan Clark.....	Trinity.....
†236	Dec. 20, '56	I. C. Woods.....	Elmus Nichols.....	Shasta.....
237	Oct. 25, '52	Henry Reed.....	Geo. M. Bonds.....	Tuolumne.....
*237	Mar. 27, '57	Geo. M. Bonds.....	Tuolumne.....
238	Oct. 25, '52	Henry Reed.....	Geo. M. Bonds.....	Tuolumne.....
*238	Mar. 27, '57	Geo. M. Bonds.....	Tuolumne.....
239	July 7, '53	L. D. Vinsonhaler....	Mariposa.....
*239	July 23, '55	L. D. Vinsonhaler....	Mariposa.....
240	July 7, '53	L. D. Vinsonhaler....	Mariposa.....
240	Aug. 3,	J. M. Coughlin.....	A. Lee Bours.....	San Joaquin.....

‡ Final location in Register's Office at Marysville, April 16, 1856.

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

† Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

241	Aug. 3,	J. M. Coughlin.....	A. Lee Bours.....	San Joaquin.....
*241	Jan 7, '56	H. J. Davis.....	Humboldt.....
*242	Jan 7,	H. J. Davis.....	Humboldt.....
243	June 26, '53	Edward C. Downing...	Solano.....
244	June 28,	Edward C. Downing...	Solano.....
245	Nov. 6, '52	G. Allen.....	George Allen.....	Butte.....
245	June 4, '53	José M. Alviso.....	Santa Clara.....
246	Nov. 6, '52	G. Allen.....	George Allen.....	Butte.....
246	June 4, '53	José M. Alviso.....	Santa Clara.....
†247	Nov. 10, '56	Ward Bradford.....	Klamath.....
248	May 23, '53	P. Rice.....	Peter Rice.....	Marin.....
*249	Mar. 16, '55	Annis M. Huestis.....	Humboldt.....
‡250	May 31, '53	C. D. Judah.....	Ryan & Duff.....	Trinity.....
‡251	May 31,	C. D. Judah.....	Ryan & Duff.....	Trinity.....
‡252	May 31,	C. D. Judah.....	Ryan & Duff.....	Trinity.....
*253	July 21, '52	J. P. Vaughn.....	Vaughn & Sozan.....	Solano.....
*254	July 21,	J. P. Vaughn.....	Vaughn & Sozan.....	Solano.....
*255	June 5, '55	Harvey Porterfield.....	Yolo.....
†255	Dec. 6, '58	John W. Porterfield...	Yolo.....
256	July 12, '53	A. D. Patterson.....	Abner Wade.....	Sacramento.....
§256	Sept. 16, '56	Alex. Stevenson.....	Sacramento.....
257	Oct. 27, '52	A. D. Patterson.....	John A. Benson.....	Sacramento.....
*257	Jan. 22, '58	John A. Benson.....	Tulare.....
258	Aug. 12, '56	A. D. Patterson.....	James Hughes.....	Colusa.....
259	Aug. 12,	A. D. Patterson.....	James Hughes.....	Colusa.....
260	July 28, '52	Milton Wolfskill.....	Solano.....
261	July 28,	Milton Wolfskill.....	Solano.....
261	Aug. 8, '53	A. J. F. Phelan.....	James Pratt.....	San Francisco.....
262
†263	Mar. 6, '56
†264	Mar. 6,
†265	Mar. 6,
266	Dec. 22, '58	H. Washington.....	Fred. W. Franz.....	Sonoma.....
267	June 9, '53	J. Walkup.....	Joseph Walkup.....	Placer.....
^a 268	Sept. 11, '52	A. C. Bradford.....	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
268	Aug. 27, '55	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
*268	Ap'l 14, '56	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
269	Oct. 4, '52	A. C. Bradford.....	Allen Cadwallader.....	Calaveras.....
269	Jan. 30, '56	Allen Cadwallader.....	Santa Clara.....
270	Oct. 4, '52	A. C. Bradford.....	Allen Cadwallader.....	Calaveras.....
270	Jan. 30, '56	Allen Cadwallader.....	Santa Clara.....

‡ Final location in Register's Office at Marysville, April 16, 1856.

§ Final location in Register's Office at Stockton.

† Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

^a Final location in Register's Office at Marysville, April 10, 1856.

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

271	Sept. 11, '52	A. C. Bradford.....	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
271	Aug. 27, '55	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
*271	Ap'l 14, '56	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
*272	Oct. 17, '55	Nathan Barbour.....	Solano.....
273	Dec. 22, '58	Fred. W. Franz.....	Sonoma.....
274	Sept. 15, '52	Ed. Byrne.....	V. Seaman.....	Mendocino.....
274	Nov. 16, '54	Isaiah Hanson.....	Solano.....
*274	Mar. 1, '56	G. Van Valkenburgh..	San Joaquin.....
275	Sept. 18, '52	Charles Hooper.....	Napa.....
276	Sept. 18,	Charles Hooper.....	Napa.....
277	Oct. 22, '53	Chas. Scholfield.....	Zachariah Jones.....	Santa Clara.....
278	Fred. W. Franz.....	Sonoma.....
*279	Sept. 13, '53	David T. Bird.....	Yolo.....
280	Sept. 13,	David T. Bird.....	Yolo.....
281	Sept. 11, '52	E. H. Comstock.....	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
281	Aug. 27, '55	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
*281	Ap'l 14, '56	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
282	Sept. 11, '52	E. H. Comstock.....	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
282	Aug. 27, '55	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
*282	Ap'l 14, '56	E. H. Comstock.....	San Joaquin.....
*283	Nov. 23, '55	John Cutler.....	Tulare.....
*284	Nov. 23,	John Cutler.....	Tulare.....
*285	Oct. 26, '57	George T. Shipp.....	Tulare.....
*286	Oct. 26, '57	John Cutler.....	Tulare.....
287	Oct. 16, '52	Jas. L. Carnduff.....	Jas. L. Carnduff.....	San Joaquin.....
288	Oct. 15,	Jas. L. Carnduff.....	Jas. L. Carnduff.....	San Joaquin.....
289	Oct. 20,	Benj. Richards.....	Santa Cruz.....
290	Oct. 17,	G. R. Morris.....	G. R. Morris.....	Marin.....
290	June 23, '57	Jas. F. Griffiths.....	Los Angeles.....
291	Oct. 17, '52	G. R. Morris.....	Geo. R. Morris.....	Marin.....
291	June 23, '57	Jas. F. Griffiths.....	Los Angeles.....
292	Oct. 17, '52	G. R. Morris.....	Geo. R. Morris.....	Marin.....
^d 292	Mar. 6, '57	H. P. Gallagher.....	Santa Clara.....
293	Feb. 10, '53	John Perry.....	N. H. Stockton.....	Santa Cruz.....
*293	Oct. 26, '57	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
*294	Ap'l 25, '53	John Perry.....	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
*295	Jan. 8, '56	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
*296	Jan. 8,	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
*297	Jan. 7, '53	W. Blook.....	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
*298	Jan. 7,	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
*300	Ap'l 25,	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
301	May 14,	A. G. Kimball.....	A. G. Kimball.....	Marin.....
301	Sept. 19, '57	David Haskill.....	San Joaquin.....
302	May 14, '53	A. G. Kimball.....	A. G. Kimball.....	Marin.....

^d Final location in Register's Office at Marysville, May 14, 1858.

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

302	Sept. 19, '57	David Haskill.....	San Joaquin.....
303	May 14, '53	A. G. Kimball.....	A. G. Kimball.....	Marin.....
304	May 14,	A. G. Kimball.....	A. G. Kimball.....	Marin.....
305	May 20,	M. F. Groves.....	Martin F. Groves.....	Marin.....
306	May 20,	M. F. Groves.....	Martin F. Groves.....	Marin.....
307	May 20,	M. F. Groves.....	Martin F. Groves.....	Marin.....
^a 308	May 17,	M. F. Groves.....	Martin F. Groves.....	Marin.....
^b 309	May 19,	Alfred French.....	Alfred French.....	Marin.....
310	May 16,	Alfred French.....	Alfred French.....	Marin.....
310	Nov. 9, '57	Geo. Hansen.....	Los Angeles.....
311	May 16, '53	Alfred French.....	Alfred French.....	Marin.....
311	Nov. 9, '57	Alfred French.....	Geo. Hansen.....	Los Angeles.....
^b 312	May 19, '53	Alfred French.....	Marin.....
313	May 20,	J. S. Chipman.....	John S. Chipman.....	Marin.....
314	May 20,	J. S. Chipman.....	John S. Chipman.....	Marin.....
*314	Jan. 12, '58	John B. Corcanover...	Merced.....
315	May 20, '53	J. S. Chipman.....	John S. Chipman.....	Marin.....
316	May 20,	J. S. Chipman.....	John S. Chipman.....	Marin.....
*316	Jan. 12, '58	John B. Corcanover...	Merced.....
317	May 16, '53	Chas. H. Smith.....	C. H. Smith.....	Marin.....
318	May 16,	Chas. H. Smith.....	C. H. Smith.....	Marin.....
319	May 16,	Chas. H. Smith.....	C. H. Smith.....	Marin.....
320	May 16,	Chas. H. Smith.....	C. H. Smith.....	Marin.....
^c 320	Oct. 5,	John Morrison.....	Yolo.....
321	May 19,	Benjamin Smith.....	Benj. Smith.....	Marin.....
^c 321	Oct. 5,	John Morrison.....	Yolo.....
322	May 3,	Giles E. Strong.....	Giles E. Strong.....	Trinity.....
322	Oct. 20, '58	John Quick.....	Humboldt.....
323	May 3, '53	Giles E. Strong.....	Giles E. Strong.....	Trinity.....
323	Oct. 20, '58	John Quick.....	Humboldt.....
324	May 9, '53	Giles E. Strong.....	T. D. Felt.....	Trinity.....
[†] 325	May 9,	T. D. Felt.....	Trinity.....
326	Jan. 1,	W. Warner.....	Henry Matthews.....	Contra Costa.....
*326	May 30, '56	Jacob Grundike.....	San Joaquin.....
327	Dec. 13, '52	W. Warner.....	Peter Tracey.....	Santa Cruz.....
328	Feb. 10, '53	W. Warner.....	Susan Clark.....	Trinity.....
*328	Mar. 16, '55	W. Warner.....	Susan Clark.....	Humboldt.....
329	Dec. 13, '52	W. Warner.....	Peter Tracey.....	Santa Cruz.....
[†] 330	Jan. 19, '53	Jas. Trimble.....	Cox and others.....	Placer.....
331	Jan. 1,	Jas. Trimble.....	Henry Matthews.....	Contra Costa.....
331	May 30, '56	Jacob Grundike.....	San Joaquin.....

^a Final location in Register's Office at Marysville, May 1, 1856.

^b Final location in Register's Office at Marysville, April 24, 1856.

^c Informal.

[†] Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

332	July 16, '53	Jas. Trimble.....	Hiram Pearson.....	San Francisco.....
333	July 14,	Jas. Trimble.....	Hiram Pearson.....	San Francisco.....
[†] 334	Jan. 19,	C. B. Young.....	Cox and others.....	Placer.....
[†] 335	Jan. 19,	Cox and others.....	Placer.....
336	Nov. 19, '52	Vaughn and others...	Solano.....
337	Nov. 19,	Vaughn and others...	Solano.....
^a 338	Feb. 24, '53	M. Sparks.....	M. Sparks.....	Sutter.....
^a 339	Feb. 24,	M. Sparks.....	M. Sparks.....	Sutter.....
340	Jan. 22, '53	M. Sparks.....	M. Sparks.....	Sutter.....
341	Jan. 22,	M. Sparks.....	M. Sparks.....	Sutter.....
[*] 342	Jan. 8, '56	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
[*] 343	Feb. 14, '57	A. P. Jackson.....	Solano.....
344	July 7, '53	Ira Yale.....	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
345	July 16,	Ira Yale.....	Joseph Ross.....	Humboldt.....
346	Nov. 14, '52	Tobias Kadel.....	Tobias Kadel.....	Sacramento.....
347	Nov. 14,	Tobias Kadel.....	Tobias Kadel.....	Sacramento.....
348	Nov. 24,	J. Woods.....	Solano.....
349	Nov. 24,	J. Woods.....	Solano.....
350	Nov. 24,	J. Woods.....	Solano.....
351	Nov. 24,	J. Woods.....	Solano.....
352	Mar. 30, '53	Joseph Roadhouse...	Monterey.....
353	Dec. 11, '52	A. L. Moulder.....	Thomas Fallon.....	Santa Cruz.....
354	Dec. 11,	A. L. Moulder.....	Thomas Fallon.....	Santa Cruz.....
355	Feb. 10, '53	A. L. Moulder.....	N. H. Stockton.....	Santa Cruz.....
[*] 355	Oct. 26, '55	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
356	Nov. 28, '52	James Kennedy.....	Contra Costa.....
357	Nov. 28,	James Kennedy.....	Contra Costa.....
358	Dec. 21,	R. H. Stinton.....	Kennedy and Campbell.....	Contra Costa.....
359	Dec. 21,	R. H. Stinton.....	“ “	Contra Costa.....
360	Mar. 30, '53	Joseph Roadhouse...	Monterey.....
361	Mar. 11,	Jas. H. Jenkins.....	Addison C. Hinkson...	Santa Clara.....
362	Mar. 30,	Joseph Roadhouse...	Monterey.....
363	Mar. 11,	Jas. H. Jenkins.....	Addison C. Hinkson...	Santa Clara.....
364	Mar. 11,	Jas. H. Jenkins.....	Addison C. Hinkson...	Santa Clara.....
365	July 25,	J. E. Jenkins.....	H. Meiggs.....	San Francisco.....
^d 365	Sept. 8, '56	Hames and Dubenddiss.....	Santa Cruz.....
366	July 25, '53	J. E. Addison.....	H. Meiggs.....	San Francisco.....
[*] 366	Ap'l 2, '56	Solman A. Benton....	San Joaquin.....
367	Ap'l 7, '53	J. E. Addison.....	Hiram Pearson.....	San Francisco.....
368	Jan. 3,	E. B. Clement.....	Robert Dyson.....	San Francisco.....
368	Mar. 31, '57	Alfred. J. Carter.....	Tehama.....

^a Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Marysville, July 19, 1858.

^d Located in San Francisco County and discharged.

^{*} Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

†368	Nov. 13, '58	Alfred. J. Carter.....	Tehama.....
369	Dec. 3, '52	E. B. Clement.....	Charles W. Cook.....	Contra Costa.....
369	Nov. 2, '57	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
370	Mar. 30, '53	Joseph Roadhouse...	Monterey.....
371	Dec. 3, '52	E. B. Clement.....	Charles W. Cook.....	Contra Costa.....
371	Aug. 11, '53	Adams and Welsh....	Santa Clara.....
*371	Nov. 9, '55	J. W. Fitzhugh.....	Merced.....
372	Dec. 6, '52	Tobias Kadel.....	Tobias Kadel.....	Sacramento.....
373	Jan. 24, '53	Nye and Kitteridge....	W. G. Malthus.....	Solano.....
374	Jan. 24,	Nye and Kitteridge....	W. G. Malthus.....	Solano.....
375	Nov. 16, '52	G. W. Crum.....	G. W. Crum.....	Sacramento.....
376	Nov. 16,	G. W. Crum.....	G. W. Crum.....	Sacramento.....
377	Nov. 16,	G. W. Crum.....	G. W. Crum.....	Sacramento.....
378	Ma. 30, '53	Skinner, French and Bennett.....	Monterey.....
379	Mar. 30,	“ “ “	Monterey.....
380	Mar. 30,	“ “ “	Monterey.....
380	Feb. 20, '56	H. C. Skinner.....	Francis S. McGinn....	Santa Clara.....
381	Feb. 20,	H. C. Skinner.....	Francis S. McGinn....	Santa Clara.....
382	Feb. 5, '53	S. B. Sheldon.....	Shasta.....
383	Feb. 5,	S. B. Sheldon.....	Shasta.....
384	Jan. 17, '54	Geo. Gates.....	George Ketchum.....	Tuolumne.....
385	Jan. 17,	Geo. Gates.....	George Ketchum.....	Tuolumne.....
386	Jan. 17,	Geo. Gates.....	Charles M. Radcliff...	Tuolumne.....
387	Jan. 17,	Geo. Gates.....	Charles M. Radcliff...	Tuolumne.....
388	Jan. 20, '53	L. Humphrey.....	Albert G. Lawrence...	Tuolumne.....
388	Mar. 30,	Skinner, French and Bennett.....	Monterey.....
389	Jan. 20,	L. Humphrey.....	Albert G. Lawrence...	Tuolumne.....
390	Jan. 20,	L. Humphrey.....	Green T. Martin.....	Tuolumne.....
†390	May 1, '56
391	Jan. 21, '53	L. Humphrey.....	Green T. Martin.....	Tuolumne.....
391	Mar. 31, '57	L. Humphrey.....	A. G. Carter.....	Tehama.....
†391	Nov. 13, '58	L. Humphrey.....	A. G. Carter.....	Tehama.....
392	July 21, '53	J. B. Devoe.....	Benj. F. Moulton.....	Tuolumne.....
^a 392	June 12,	J. B. Devoe.....	Joseph Haywood.....	Colusa.....
393	Jan. 21,	J. B. Devoe.....	Benj. F. Moulton.....	Tuolumne.....
^a 393	June 12,	J. B. Devoe.....	Joseph Haywood.....	Colusa.....
394	April 23, ...	W. F. Hester.....	Wm. F. Hester.....	Santa Cruz.....
394	Mar. 6, '57	W. F. Hester.....	Hugh P. Gallagher....	Santa Clara.....
395	Ap'l 23, '53	W. F. Hester.....	Wm. F. Hester.....	Santa Cruz.....
395	March 6, '57	W. F. Hester.....	H. P. Gallagher.....	Santa Clara.....
^d 396	July 13, '55	W. F. McDermott.....	Wm. F. McDermott....	San Joaquin.....

† Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Marysville.

† Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Marysville.

^a Final location in U. S. Register's Office at Marysville, May 14, 1858.

*396	Mar. 7,	Wm. F. McDermott....	San Joaquin.....
397	Feb. 5, '53	Wm. F. McDermott....	San Joaquin.....
^u 397	March 7, '56	Wm. F. McDermott....	San Joaquin.....
398	July 14, '53	Hiram Pearson.....	San Francisco.....
399	April 8,	Edward Welsh.....	Hiram Pearson.....	San Francisco.....
400	Jan. 21, '53	W. M. Eddy.....	Wm. Hammond.....	Tuolumne.....
*400	Oct. 13, '55	John E. Calhoun.....	Los Angeles.....
401	Jan. 21, '53	W. M. Eddy.....	Wm. Hammond.....	Tuolumne.....
*401	Oct. 13, '55	John E. Calhoun.....	Los Angeles.....
[†] 402	Nov. 4, '54	W. S. Sherwood.....	David S. Terry.....	San Joaquin.....
403	April 8, '53	W. S. Sherwood.....	Edward Seaman.....	San Francisco.....
406	June 7, '53	Jas. W. Robinson.....	G. H. Gluis.....	Santa Cruz.....
409	Jan. 24, '53	Kitteridge & Nye.....	W. G. Malthus.....	Solano.....
410	Jan. 24,	Kitteridge & Nye.....	W. G. Malthus.....	Solano.....
*412	Sept. 2, '56	Caleb S. Fay.....	Solano.....
413	June 13, '53	W. H. Grayham.....	Chas. Bunker.....	Marin.....
413	Nov. 20, '56	W. H. Grayham.....	G. W. & E. H. Heard..	Siskiyou.....
414	July 7, '53	Phillip Moore.....	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
^a 415	May 31,	John J. Hoff.....	Duff & Ryan.....	Trinity.....
[†] 416	Sept. 20, '56
*417	July 6, '53	A. S. Gould.....	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
418	July 6,	A. S. Gould.....	Elisha Packwood.....	Tulare.....
419	Mar. 9, '57	Charles A. Leake.....	P. A. McRae.....	Butte.....
[‡] 420	Aug. 12,	John R. Nickel.....	Del Norte.....
423	Mar. 31, '58	P. Canney.....	Zenas Coffin.....	Alameda.....
^u 424	July 27, '53	W. S. Hughson.....	Hays & Caperton.....	San Francisco.....
424	Oct. 4, '56	W. S. Hughson.....	Jos. E. N. Lewis.....	Butte.....
425	July 15, '53	Hiram Grimes.....	Hiram Grimes.....	Solano.....
426	Jan. 14, '56	W. S. Hughson.....	J. Warren Hyde.....	Colusa.....
427	Jan. 14,	W. S. Hughson.....	J. Warren Hyde.....	Colusa.....
428	Sept. 1, '53	Jacob Gruell.....	M. R. Barber.....	Contra Costa.....
*428	Aug. 21, '57	John W. Laird.....	Stanislaus.....
^u 429	Feb. 3, '54	Jas. Dill Galbraith.....	San Francisco.....
*429	Feb. 6, '58	John C. Dent.....	San Joaquin.....
^u 430	Nov. 30, '54	San Francisco.....
*430	Feb. 6, '58	John C. Dent.....	San Joaquin.....
^u 431	Nov. 30, '54	San Francisco.....
^u 432	Nov. 30,
434	June 13, '53	W. M. Eddy.....	Wm. R. Wells.....	Marin.....
434	Mar. 8, '57	W. M. Eddy.....	Joseph P. Vaughn....	Nevada.....

^d Floated, original location on Section 36.

* Final location in U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

^u Original location on Section 36 – in Register's Office, San Francisco.

[‡] Located in U. S. Register's Office at Marysville, April 16, 1858.

* Located in Register's Office, San Francisco.

^u Informal.

435	Mar. 28, '53	Joseph Bidleman.....	Leonard Frost.....	Santa Clara.....
436	Mar. 28,	Joseph Bidleman.....	Leonard Frost.....	Santa Clara.....
437	Aug. 31,	J. C. B. Stanley.....	G. H. Woodruff.....	Calaveras.....
438	Aug. 31,	J. C. B. Stanley.....	G. H. Woodruff.....	Calaveras.....
439	Oct. 17,	J. C. B. Stanley.....	Albion F. Gordon.....	Santa Cruz.....
440	July 15,	Hiram Grimes.....	Solano.....
441	May 31,	E. C. Dowdigan.....	Ryan & Duff.....	Trinity.....
§441	Oct. 14, '58	Andrew Harrar.....	Humboldt.....
443	July 5, '53	Wm. M. Smith.....	Hamilton & Thorne....	Contra Costa.....
*443	Nov. 2, '57	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
u444	July 27, '53	V. E. Geiger.....	Hays & Caperton.....	San Francisco.....
*444	Sept. 2, '56	Caleb S. Fay.....	Solano.....
445	July 27, '53	Thos. H. Holt.....	Solano.....
446	June 13, '56	V. E. Geiger.....	Charles Bunker.....	Marin.....
446	Aug. 20, '56	V. E. Geiger.....	Eben Fakon.....	Santa Clara.....
*448	June 16, '53	Isaac Thomas.....	Solano.....
*449	June 16, '53	Isaac Thomas.....	Solano.....
450	Feb. 24, '53	W. S. Peirce.....	Thos. T. Byrd.....	Santa Cruz.....
*450	Oct. 23, '55	J. M. Montgomery.....	Merced.....
451	Jan. 23, '53	M. Biggs.....	E. F. C. Kellogg.....	Napa.....
452	Jan. 23, '53	M. Biggs.....	E. F. C. Kellogg.....	Napa.....
453	Feb. 24, '53	Wm. M. Smith.....	Thos. T. Byrd.....	Santa Cruz.....
*453	Oct. 23, '55	J. M. Montgomery.....	Merced.....
454	Feb. 24, '54	B. McAlpin.....	Thos. T. Byrd.....	Santa Cruz.....
454	Dec. 31, '55	B. McAlpin.....	Alden Daly.....	San Joaquin.....
454	June 22, '58	B. McAlpin.....	James P. Sargent.....	Santa Clara.....
455	Feb. 24, '53	B. McAlpin.....	Thos. T. Byrd.....	Santa Clara.....
*455	Oct. 23, '55	Jenkins & Wheat.....	Merced.....
†456	Sept. 20, '56
457	April 18, '53	E. Berri.....	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
458	July 27, '53	Thomas H. Holt.....	San Francisco.....
459	June 25, '53	W. S. Pierce.....	Peck & Heslep.....	Marin.....
*459	Mar. 31, '56	Madison G. Drais.....	San Joaquin.....
460	June 13, '53	E. Walker.....	W. R. Wells.....	San Joaquin.....
460	Mar. 31, '58	Zenas Coffin.....	Alameda.....
u461	Mar. 15, '53	Jesse Boring.....	San Francisco.....
461	May 23, '54	Gray Ranlett Austin...	San Francisco.....
462	May 25, '54	Jesse Boring.....	Nelson Taylor.....	San Joaquin.....
*462	Mar. 1, '56	G. Van Valkenburgh..	San Joaquin.....
463	Jan. 23, '53	B. F. E. Kellogg.....	B. F. E. Kellogg.....	Napa.....
464	April 2, '53	W. H. Stockton.....	Monterey.....
*464	Oct. 23, '55	Jenkins & Wheat.....	Merced.....

§ Located in Register's Office, Humboldt.

† Final location in U. S. Register's Office Marysville.

* Final location in U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

u Informal.

466	Sept. 28, '53	W. M. Smith.....	O. M. Evans.....	Butte.....
468	Jan. 30, '54	J. P. McFarland.....	Los Angeles.....
*469	Feb. 3, '54	J. P. McFarland.....	Los Angeles.....
470	Mar. 31, '56	Madison G. Drais.....	San Joaquin.....
471	April 5, '53	G. O. Ecker.....	Henry Meiggs.....	San Francisco.....
472	April 7,	G. O. Ecker.....	Hiram Pearson.....	San Francisco.....
473	April 6,	G. O. Ecker.....	Edward Minturn.....	San Francisco.....
474	April 18,	G. O. Ecker.....	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
475	Jan. 23, '58	George Young.....	Tulare.....
*477	Jan. 23,	George Young.....	Tulare.....
479	Sept. 1, '53	J. Gruell.....	M. R. Barber.....	Contra Costa.....
*479	Aug. 21, '57	John W. Laird.....	Stanislaus.....
480	April 2, '53	W. H. Stockton.....	Monterey.....
481	April 2,	W. H. Stockton.....	Monterey.....
481	Nov. 9, '55	G. W. Fitzhugh.....	Merced.....
482	April 7, '53	John Stentzel.....	John Laffly.....	Santa Cruz.....
483	April 7, '53	John Stentzel.....	John Laffly.....	Santa Cruz.....
^u 484	San Francisco.....
484	May 25, '54	T. Browningjohn.....	Jonathan Parr.....	Santa Clara.....
485	Ap'l 24, '57	T. Browningjohn.....	G. P. Swift.....	Colusa.....
486	May 25, '54	T. Browningjohn.....	Jonathan Parr.....	Santa Clara.....
487	May 23, '54	Gray Ranlett Austin...	San Francisco.....
488	May 3, '53	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
489	Sept. 29, '53	John Sedgley.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Humboldt.....
*489	Mar. 16, '55	Jonathan Clark.....	Humboldt.....
490	Sept. 29, '53	John Sedgley.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Humboldt.....
*490	Mar. 16, '55	Jonathan Clark.....	Humboldt.....
†491	April 16, '56
†492	April 16,
494	Nov. 9, '57	R. G. Flint.....	Bernado Yerba.....	Los Angeles.....
497	Nov. 5, '54	T. H. Owen.....	Robert Baker.....	San Francisco.....
499	Mar. 23, '53	Benj. F. Keene.....	Sacramento.....
500	Mar. 23,	Benj. F. Keene.....	Sacramento.....
501	April 19,	Selah Russell.....	Sacramento.....
501	Feb. 23, '57	Selah Russell.....	Sacramento.....
502	April 19, '53	Selah Russell.....	Sacramento.....
502	Feb. 23, '57	Selah Russell.....	Sacramento.....
503	Mar. 23, '53	Obed Harvey.....	Sacramento.....
504	April 19, '53	Selah Russell.....	Sacramento.....
504	Feb. 23, '57	Selah Russell.....	Sacramento.....
505	Mar. 23, '53	Obed Harvey.....	Sacramento.....
506	Mar. 23,	Obed Harvey.....	Sacramento.....
507	Mar. 19,	John Rich.....	San Joaquin.....
*507	Feb. 19, '56	Arthur Gribble.....	San Joaquin.....
508	Mar. 19, '53	John Rich.....	San Joaquin.....

* Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

508	Feb. 19, '56	Arthur Gribble.....	San Joaquin.....
^a 509	Aug. 12, '53	J. M. Leavenworth....	Brower.....	San Francisco.....
509	Mar. 31, '58	Zenas Coffin.....	Alameda.....
^d 510	July 1, '54	James Pratt.....	San Francisco.....
511	Mar. 19, '53	John Rich.....	John Rich.....	San Joaquin.....
[*] 511	Feb. 19, '56	John Rich.....	San Joaquin.....
512	Mar. 18, '53	D. W. Berry.....	Solano.....
513	April 7,	Wm. H. Nash.....	Napa.....
514	April 7,	Wm. H. Nash.....	Napa.....
515	April 7,	Wm. H. Nash.....	Napa.....
516	May 13, '56	R. B. Ellis.....	Solano.....
517	May 13,	R. B. Ellis.....	Solano.....
[*] 518	July 10, '54	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
520	July 20, '53	Eddy, Read, Ludlum..	San Francisco.....
[*] 520	Jan. 4, '58	C. O. Richardson.....	Merced.....
521	July 20, '53	Eddy, Read, Ludlum..	San Francisco.....
521	Jan. 4, '58	C. O. Richardson.....	Merced.....
523	July 28, '53	A. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
523	Aug. 3,	B. Walker Bours.....	San Joaquin.....
524	July 28,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
524	Aug. 3,	B. Walker Bours.....	San Joaquin.....
525	July 27,	Thomas R. Bours.....	San Joaquin.....
525	Feb. 20, '56	John Y. Blackwell.....	San Joaquin.....
526	July 27, '53	Thomas R. Bours.....	San Joaquin.....
526	Feb. 20, '56	John Y. Blackwell.....	San Joaquin.....
527	June 29, '53	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
527	Aug. 3,	D. T. Snyder.....	B. W. Bours.....	San Joaquin.....
528	June 29,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
529	June 29,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
530	June 29,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
530	Aug. 3,	B. W. Bours.....	San Joaquin.....
531	July 23,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
532	July 23,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
533	July 23,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
534	July 23,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
535	April 9,	Wm. H. Nash.....	Napa.....
^a 536	May 27,	George S. Kinney.....	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
537	May 27,	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
[*] 537	Mar. 16, '57	Jonathan Clark.....	Klamath.....
[†] 538	July 12, '56
[†] 539	July 12,

^a Informal.

^d Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco – May 11, 1858, located also in Marysville.

^a Located in Register's Office at Marysville, April 16, 1856.

^{*} Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

[†] Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at Marysville.

540	Dec. 5, '53	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
541	April 20,	H. Merideth.....	Nelson Slater.....	Sacramento.....
542	April 20,	H. Merideth.....	Nelson Slater.....	Sacramento.....
543	May 3,	H. H. Bancroft.....	Rogers, Welsh, and others.....	San Francisco.....
544	Nov. 30, '54	H. H. Bancroft.....	" "	San Francisco.....
544	Mar. 31, '53	Edward Bosqui.....	Alameda.....
545	May 20,	Lyman J. Dutton.....	Yolo.....
†545	Dec. 6, '58	Edsil E. Gordon.....	Yolo.....
546	May 20, 53	Lyman J. Dutton.....	Yolo.....
†546	Dec. 6, '58	Edsil E. Gordon.....	Yolo.....
547	July 6, '53	Patrick Lambert.....	Marin.....
*547	Dec. 22, '54	Joseph A. Tivey.....	Fresno.....
548	July 6, '53	P. Lambert.....	Marin.....
*548	Dec. 11, '55	Martin Murphy.....
^d 550	July 25, '53	G. W. Cook.....	Hays and Caperton... Joseph P. Vaughn....	San Francisco..... Nevada.....
550	Mar. 8, '57	S. E. Allen.....	Santa Clara.....
553	Aug. 21, '57	Robert Allen.....	S. E. Allen.....	Santa Clara.....
554	Aug. 21,	Robert Allen.....	Robert Walkinshaw... Robert Walkinshaw... J. R. Bird.....	Santa Clara..... Santa Clara..... Marin.....
555	Nov. 14, '53	Robert Allen.....	Robert Walkinshaw... Robert Walkinshaw... J. R. Bird.....	Santa Clara..... Santa Clara..... Marin.....
556	Nov. 14, '53	Robert Allen.....	Robert Walkinshaw... Robert Walkinshaw... J. R. Bird.....	Santa Clara..... Santa Clara..... Marin.....
557	Oct. 28, '53	E. Covington.....	J. R. Bird.....	Marin.....
†558	May 19, '56
559	June 18, '54	Samuel D. Leavitt.....	R. F. Stockton.....	Santa Clara.....
560	Dec. 31, '53	Samuel D. Leavitt.....	Grant, Gallagher & Green.....	San Francisco.....
*560	Oct. 31, '57	Wm. Wallace Allen....	Solano.....
561	Jan. 18, '54	R. F. Stockton.....	Santa Clara.....
562	Oct. 22, '53	George Fisher.....	Zachariah Jones.....	Santa Clara.....
563	June 25,	George Fisher.....	Peck and Heslep.....	Marin.....
*563	Mar. 31, '56	Madison J. Drais.....	San Joaquin.....
564	May 23, '53	George Fisher.....	Marin.....
†564	Aug. 12, '57	R. Nickel.....	Del Norte.....
565	June 25,	Peck and Heslep.....	Marin.....
*565	Mar. 31, '56	Madison J. Drais.....	San Joaquin.....
566	June 3,	George Merritt.....	Contra Costa.....
567	June 3, '53	George Merritt.....	Contra Costa.....
567	Sept. 7, '54	Lewis P. Dell.....	Solano.....
568	Sept. 13,	James Ritchey.....	Thomas H. McGrew..	Klamath.....
^u 568	Jan. 4, '56	Thomas H. McGrew..	Klamath.....
^u 569	Jan. 4,	James H. Ritchey.....	Klamath.....
^u 570	Jan. 4,	James H. Ritchey.....	Klamath.....

^d Informal.

* Located in Register's Office, San Francisco.

† Located in Register's Office, at Marysville.

^u Located in Register's Office at Marysville – relocated to conform to U. S. survey.

571	Dec. 20, '53	J. E. Wainwright.....	E. and P. Breen.....	Monterey.....
572	Feb. 26, '58	Amos Buckman.....	Napa.....
573	Ap'l 16, '53	Tisdale and Nurse....	Solano.....
*573	July 18, '54	D. A. Nurse.....	Solano.....
574	Ap'l 16, '53	Tisdale and Nurse....	Solano.....
*574	July 18, '54	D. A. Nurse.....	Solano.....
575	May 19, '53	W. W. O'Dwyer.....	Edward Vischer.....	Santa Clara.....
576	May 19,	W. W. O'Dwyer.....	Edward Vischer.....	Santa Clara.....
577	July 19,	C. Reist.....	Christian Reist.....	San Joaquin.....
^g 577	April 1, '56	C. Reist.....	Christian Reist.....	San Joaquin.....
578	July 19, '53	C. Reist.....	Christian Reist.....	San Joaquin.....
^g 578	April 1, '56	C. Reist.....	Christian Reist.....	San Joaquin.....
[†] 579	May 16, '53	C. E. Wetmore.....	Solano.....
580	May 16,	C. E. Wetmore.....	Solano.....
581	Oct. 7,	Wm. S. Letcher.....	Jas. Houston.....	Santa Clara.....
582	Oct. 7,	Wm. S. Letcher.....	Jas. Houston.....	Santa Clara.....
583	Sept. 30,	J. H. Baird.....	Mariposa.....
*583	Nov. 9, '55	J. W. Fitzhugh.....	Merced.....
584	Sept. 30, '53	J. H. Baird.....	Mariposa.....
*584	Nov. 9, '55	J. W. Fitzhugh.....	Merced.....
585	Sept. 30, '53	J. H. Baird.....	Mariposa.....
*585	Nov. 9, '55	M. W. Graham.....	Merced.....
586	Sept. 30, '53	J. H. Baird.....	Mariposa.....
*586	Sept. 25, '55	M. W. Graham.....	Merced.....
*587	July 20, '53	M. Walthall, Jr.	M. Walthall.....	San Joaquin.....
*587	Dec. 18, '56	H. P. Rhodes.....	San Joaquin.....
*588	July 20, '53	Elizabeth Walthall....	E. Walthall.....	San Joaquin.....
*588	Feb. 8, '56	San Joaquin.....
589	July 20, '53	M. Walthall.....	San Joaquin.....
*590	June 6, '53	Geo. W. Coffee.....	Solano.....
*591	June 6,	Geo. W. Coffee.....	Solano.....
*592	Mar. 19, '56	Thos. Baker.....	Tulare.....
593	Sept. 29, '54	Nat. Thompson.....	J. W. Dougherty.....	Alameda.....
^a 594	June 9, '53	J. Walkup.....	J. Walkup.....	Placer.....
595	July 20, '53	Jas. Burney.....	Tuolumne.....
^d 595	Mar. 20, '56	Thos. Baker.....	Tulare.....
^u 596	July 27, '53	G. F. Lemon.....	Hays & Caperton.....	San Francisco.....
597	July 6,	G. F. Lemon.....	Timothy Mahone.....	Marin.....
598	July 6,	G. F. Lemon.....	Timothy Mahone.....	Marin.....
600	Oct. 8, '56	Law Sturtevant.....	Geo. H. Bodfish.....	Santa Clara.....
602	July 12, '53	Law Sturtevant.....	O. K. Levings.....	Sacramento.....

^g Located in Register's Office, San Francisco – floated.

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

^a Final location in Register's Office at Marysville, May 10, 1856.

^d Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco – floated.

^u Final location in Register's Office at Marysville – informal – floated.

602	Sept. 23, '54	Law Sturtevant.....	Gould & Maclay.....	Santa Clara.....
603	Oct. 8, '56	Geo. H. Bodfish.....	Santa Clara.....
604	Aug. 11, '53	H. P. Bemis.....	Solano.....
^g 604	Feb. 11, '56	J. B. Laing.....	Colusa.....
*605	Aug. 20, '53	Chas. G. Collins.....	Solano.....
606	Nov. 17, '58	H. Van Valkenberg....	Chas. M. Weber.....	Santa Clara.....
607	Aug. 11, '53	H. P. Bemis.....	Solano.....
[†] 607	Feb. 11, '56	J. B. Laing.....	Colusa.....
608	July 12, '53	J. L. Poulk.....	Abner Wade.....	Sacramento.....
[‡] 608	Sept. 16, '58	Alex. Stevenson.....	Sacramento.....
*610	Feb. 18, '54	S. C. Field.....	Solano.....
611	Sept. 28, '53	J. L. Poulk.....	O. M. Evans.....	Butte.....
612	Sept. 15,	J. T. Ewing.....	Stephen Franklin.....	Santa Clara.....
614	Sept. 15,	J. T. Ewing.....	Stephen Franklin.....	Santa Clara.....
615	Sept. 15,	J. T. Ewing.....	Stephen Franklin.....	Santa Clara.....
619	Sept. 29, '54	E. M. Bourn.....	J. W. Dougherty.....	Alameda.....
*620	June 22, '58	A. J. F. Phelan.....	R. C. Sargent.....	Santa Clara.....
*621	June 22,	A. J. F. Phelan.....	R. S. Carlisle.....	Santa Clara.....
^h 624	Nov. 12, '53	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
625	Sept. 8, '56	Charles Lambard.....	Hames & Dubendiss..	Santa Cruz.....
*627	Feb. 21, '56	V. M. Peyton.....	San Joaquin.....
628	Dec. 20, '53	A. Milton.....	James Williams.....	Santa Cruz.....
629	Dec. 20,	A. Milton.....	Gluyas & Coult.....	Santa Cruz.....
630	Dec. 20,	John Wilson.....	Gluyas & Coult.....	Santa Cruz.....
631	Dec. 20,	John Wilson.....	Gluyas & Coult.....	Santa Cruz.....
632	Dec. 20,	John Wilson.....	Gluyas & Coult.....	Santa Cruz.....
633	Dec. 20,	John Wilson.....	Gluyas & Coult.....	Santa Cruz.....
*634	Aug. 12, '53	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
*635	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
636	Oct. 13, '53	T. C. Banks.....	Wm. M. White.....	Santa Clara.....
*636	July 10, '54	Felix Argenti.....	Solano.....
637	Dec. 20, '53	Gluyas & Coult.....	Santa Cruz.....
*638	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
*639	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
^a 640	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
*641	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
^a 642	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
*643	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
*644	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
*645	Aug. 12,	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....

^g Final location in Register's Office at Marysville – floated – first location on Spanish grant.

[†] Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

[‡] Final location in Register's Office at Stockton.

^h Informal.

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

^a Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco; - floated on account of pre-emption claim.

646	F. H. Wood.....	Siskiyou.....
^d 648	July 1, '54	James Pratt.....	San Francisco.....
*649	Aug. 20, '54	Charles G. Collins.....	Solano.....
650	Aug. 19, '53	Chas. H. Heath.....	W. C. Jones.....	San Francisco.....
651	Aug. 19,	Chas. H. Heath.....	W. C. Jones.....	San Francisco.....
653	Aug. 24,	W. C. Moon.....	Colusa.....
*654	July 1, '54	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
655	Jan. 10,	Felix Argenti.....	Felix Argenti.....	San Francisco.....
*657	July 10,	Felix Argenti.....	Solano.....
^u 658	D. S. Roberts.....	Siskiyou.....
^g 659	Aug. 16, '53	Green & Couch.....	San Francisco.....
*659	Jan. 29, '56	G. Van Valkenburg...	San Joaquin.....
660	Aug. 16, '53	Green & Couch.....	San Francisco.....
*660	Jan. 29, '56	G. Van Valkenburg...	San Joaquin.....
^u 661	D. S. Roberts.....	Siskiyou.....
662	Dec. 20, '53	R. E. Woods.....	Patrick Breen.....	Monterey.....
^h 666	Sept. 27, '53	Jas. F. Hibbard.....	Alameda.....
666	Dec. 29, '54	T. C. McMickle.....	Ignacio Del Valle.....	Los Angeles.....
[†] 667	May 1, '56
670	Oct. 28, '53	T. D. Rahle.....	D. R. Bird.....	Marin.....
671	Sept. 27, '53	James F. Hibbard.....	Alameda.....
*671	Dec. 22, '54	Joseph A. Tivey.....	Tulare.....
672	Dec. 31, '53	James C. Leighton....	Hiram Pearson.....	San Francisco.....
673	Dec. 31,	James C. Leighton....	Grant, Gallagher & Green.....	San Francisco.....
674	July 30, '57	Thomas Roche.....	Sonoma.....
675	Sept. 8, '56	Wm. S. Swazey.....	Hames & Dubendiss..	Santa Cruz.....
676	July 30, '57	Thomas Roche.....	Sonoma.....
[†] 677	Nov. 10, '56	Ward Bradford.....	Klamath.....
[†] 678	May 19, '53
679	Sept. 9, '56	B. S. Lippincott.....	Wendell & Gordon....	Klamath.....
[†] 679	Jan. 4, '56	Thos. H. McGrew.....	Klamath.....
680	Mar. 15, '54	Jno. J. Bieber.....	H. Pearson.....	San Francisco.....
681	Dec. 31, '53	Jno. J. Bieber.....	Grant, Gallagher, Green.....	San Francisco.....
*681	Oct. 31, '57	Wm. Wallace Allen....	Solano.....
*682	Aug. 26, '54	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
*683	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
*684	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
*685	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....

^d Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco; - also located in Marysville, May 11, 1858.

^u Returns received July 12, 1854.

^g Informal.

^h Location does not conform to U. S. Survey.

[†] Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

[†] Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

* Located in Register's Office, San Francisco.

* 686	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 687	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 688	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 689	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 690	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 691	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 692	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 693	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 694	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 695	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 696	Aug. 26,	S. C. Hastings.....	Solano.....
* 697	Oct. 4, '53	Sam. L. Dennison.....	Chas. J. Collins.....	Santa Clara.....
699	Oct. 4,	E. McGowan.....	Chas. J. Collins.....	Santa Clara.....
* 699	Sept. 28, '54	E. McGowan.....	Chas. J. Collins.....	Solano.....
702	May 25,	Jas. Miller.....	Nelson Taylor.....	San Joaquin.....
* 702	Dec. 18, '55	Jenkins & Wheat.....	Merced.....
703	May 25, '54	Jas. Miller.....	Nelson Taylor.....	San Joaquin.....
* 703	Dec. 18, '55	Jenkins & Wheat.....	Merced.....
* 707	July 14,	John Richmond.....	R. P. Ashe.....	San Joaquin.....
* 708	July 14,	John Richmond.....	R. P. Ashe.....	San Joaquin.....
†709	Dec. 17,
†710	Dec. 17,
†711	Dec. 17,
†712	Mar. 18, '56
714	Jan. 22,	J. T. Peabody.....	J. P. Sargent.....	San Joaquin.....
714	Dec. 19, '57	J. T. Peabody.....	J. P. Sargent.....	Santa Clara.....
716	Jan. 22, '56	J. T. Peabody.....	J. L. Sargent.....	San Joaquin.....
* 716	Mar. 9, '58	J. T. Peabody.....	Simeon H. Anthony...	San Joaquin.....
721	Oct. 6, '53	J. McMullin.....	John McMullin.....	San Joaquin.....
* 721	Jan. 22, '56	J. McMullin.....	John McMullin.....	San Joaquin.....
722	Nov. 12, '53	A. E. Field.....	Geo. W. Aspinwall....	Santa Clara.....
723	Nov. 12,	A. E. Field.....	Geo. W. Aspinwall....	Santa Clara.....
724	Nov. 12,	A. E. Field.....	Geo. W. Aspinwall....	Santa Clara.....
725	Nov. 12,	A. E. Field.....	Geo. W. Aspinwall....	Santa Clara.....
726	Dec. 18, '55	W. H. Watson.....	Yolo.....
727	June 22, '57	Ed. P. Folger.....	Daniel Gibb.....	Fresno.....
728	June 22,	Ed. P. Folger.....	Daniel Gibb.....	Fresno.....
729	June 23,	Ed. P. Folger.....	Henry F. Pitts.....	Fresno.....
730	June 23,	Ed. P. Folger.....	Henry F. Pitts.....	Fresno.....
731	Dec. 18, '55	Ed. P. Folger.....	Robert C. Clark.....	Yolo.....
732	June 22, '57	Geo. W. Cook.....	Daniel Gibb.....	Fresno.....
* 734	Nov. 23, '55	W. H. Cook.....	Stanislaus.....
735	Dec. 18, '55	Robt. C. Clark.....	Yolo.....
736	Dec. 18,	Wm. H. Watson.....	Yolo.....
737	Dec. 18,	Robt. C. Clark.....	Yolo.....

* Final location in Register's Office at San Francisco.

738	June 22, '57	Nieves Ortez.....	Daniel Gibb.....	Fresno.....
739	Jan. 18, '54	Nieves Ortez.....	R. F. Stockton.....	Santa Clara.....
740	Jan. 18,	Nieves Ortez.....	R. F. Stockton.....	Santa Clara.....
741	Mar. 7, '57	Nieves Ortez.....	Hugh P. Gallagher....	Santa Clara.....
*742	Dec. 28, '53	John R. McConnell...	Solano.....
^a 746	Sept. 11, '54	Wm. D. Bagley.....	Wm. O'Connell.....	Alameda.....
^d 747	Dec. 24, '56	Wm. D. Bagley.....	Elmas Nicholas.....	Shasta.....
*748	Oct. 27, '55	Elisha C. Archer.....	Solano.....
*749	Dec. 28, '55	John R. McConnell...	Solano.....
750	Dec. 18, '55	W. H. Watson.....	Yolo.....
751	Dec. 18,	W. H. Watson.....	Yolo.....
753	Dec. 18,	Joseph W. Winans....	Yolo.....
*754	Nov. 23,	Wm. H. Martin.....	Stanislaus.....
755	Dec. 18,	Joseph W. Winans....	Yolo.....
756	Dec. 18,	Joseph W. Winans....	Yolo.....
757	Dec. 18,	Joseph W. Winans....	Yolo.....
758	Dec. 18,	Robert C. Clark.....	Yolo.....
‡760	Aug. 13, '58	Geo. Crossman.....	San Joaquin.....
‡761	Aug. 13,	Geo. Crossman.....	San Joaquin.....
^u 762	Oct. 13, '53	R. C. Sargent.	R. C. Sargent.	San Joaquin.....
762	Feb. 11, '58	R. C. Sargent.	Rudolph Meyer.....	San Joaquin.....
^u 763	Oct. 13, '53	R. C. Sargent.	R. C. Sargent.	San Joaquin.....
763	Feb. 11, '58	R. C. Sargent.	Jas. U. Castle.....	San Joaquin.....
^u 764	Oct. 13, '53	R. C. Sargent.	R. C. Sargent.	San Joaquin.....
764	Feb. 11, '58	R. C. Sargent.	Jas. U. Castle.....	San Joaquin.....
*767	Oct. 30, '55	Geo. W. Haynes.....	Solano.....
*768	Oct. 20, '55	A. B. Meacham.....	Solano.....
*769	Oct. 20, '57	W. D. Fullerton.....	San Joaquin.....
*770	Oct. 30, '55	Geo. W. Haynes.....	Solano.....
*772	Oct. 23,	Aurelia Williams.....	Solano.....
*773	Oct. 27,	Elisha C. Archer.....	Solano.....
*775	Oct. 30,	Geo. W. Haynes.....	Solano.....
^a 776	Sept. 11, '54	Chas. Wood.....	Wm. O'Connell.....	Alameda.....
^g 776	Jan. 22, '56	Chas. Wood.....	R. C. Sargent.....	San Joaquin.....
776	Feb. 11, '58	Chas. Wood.....	Rudolph Meyer.....	San Joaquin.....
†777	Mar. 10, '56
*778	Feb. 20, '57	Wm. D. Fullerton.....	San Joaquin.....
†779	Mar. 10, '56
780	Feb. 4, '57	Jos. G. Baldwin.....	Napa.....

^a Does not conform to U. S. Survey.

^d Final location in Register's Office at Marysville, December 22, 1856.

‡ Final location in Register's Office, Stockton.

^u Floated December 29, 1857, the land being Pico's.

* Final location in Register's Office as San Francisco.

^a Does not conform to U. S. Survey.

^g Floated Dec. 29, 1856, from Pico's claim.

† Final location in register's Office, Marysville.

*782	Jan. 9, '57	Henry Howland.....	San Joaquin.....
*783	Dec. 18, '56	Henry B. Rhodes.....	San Joaquin.....
*785	Feb. 22, '57	Wm. Nelson.....	Merced.....
*786	Oct. 20, '55	A. B. Meacham.....	Solano.....
*787	Oct. 23,	Aureila Williams.....	Solano.....
*788	Oct. 30,	Geo. W. Haynes.....	Solano.....
789	Oct. 4, '56	Wm. R. Gorham.....	Jos. E. N. Lewis.....	Butte.....
790	Mar. 31, '57	Wm. R. Gorham.....	Alfred G. Carter.....	Tehama.....
791	Mar. 7,	Wm. R. Gorham.....	Hugh P. Gallagher....	Santa Clara.....
792	Mar. 9,	Wm. R. Gorham.....	P. A. McRae.....	Butte.....
796	Aug. 21, '57	John G. Nichols.....	Los Angeles.....
797	Nov. 11, '53	R. P. Ashe.....	R. P. Ashe.....	San Joaquin.....
*797	Feb. 8, '56	R. P. Ashe.....	R. P. Ashe.....	San Joaquin.....
798	Nov. 11, '53	R. P. Ashe.....	R. P. Ashe.....	San Joaquin.....
*798	Feb. 8, '56	R. P. Ashe.....	R. P. Ashe.....	San Joaquin.....
799	Sept. 1, '53	J. P. Treadway.....	Jas. P. Treadway.....	San Joaquin.....
800	Sept. 1,	J. P. Treadway.....	Jas. P. Treadway.....	San Joaquin.....
801	Sept. 1,	J. P. Treadway.....	Jas. P. Treadway.....	San Joaquin.....
802	Sept. 1,	J. P. Treadway.....	Jas. P. Treadway.....	San Joaquin.....
803	Dec. 17, '53	Jno. Middleton.....	Jno. Middleton.....	San Francisco.....
*803	Oct. 26, '56	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
804	Dec. 17, '53	Jno. Middleton.....	Jno. Middleton.....	San Francisco.....
*804	Oct. 26, '56	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
805	Dec. 17, '53	Jno. Middleton.....	Jno. Middleton.....	San Francisco.....
*805	Oct. 26, '56	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
806	Dec. 17, '53	Jno. Middleton.....	Jno. Middleton.....	San Francisco.....
*806	Oct. 26, '56	F. H. Woods.....	Solano.....
*809	Mar. 22,	R. P. Ashe.....	San Joaquin.....
*810	Mar. 22,	R. P. Ashe.....	San Joaquin.....
*811	Apr. 5, '56	Henry Cain.....	San Joaquin.....
*812	Apr. 5,	Ichabod D. Hamilton..	San Joaquin.....
*813	Apr. 5,	I. D. Hamilton.....	San Joaquin.....
†815	Apr. 28,
816	Feb. 4, '57	Jos. G. Baldwin.....	Napa.....
*817	Jan. 16, '58	Moses Swan.....	San Joaquin.....
*818	Jan. 16,	Moses Swan.....	San Joaquin.....
^a 819	Mar. 25, '57	A. C. Peachy.....	Napa.....
^d 819	May 18,	A. C. Peachy.....	Napa.....
820	Feb. 4,	Jos. G. Baldwin.....	Napa.....
821	May 18,	A. C. Peachy.....	Napa.....
822	Mar. 7,	E. Hunt.....	H. P. Gallagher.....	Santa Clara.....
823	Mar. 7,	E. Hunt.....	H. P. Gallagher.....	Santa Clara.....

* Final location in the U. S. Register's Office at San Francisco.

† Final location in Register's Office at Marysville.

^a Abandoned by error in location of Warrant No. 115.

^d Same land located.

†824	Feb. 25,
†825	Feb. 25, '57
826	June 23,	W. Neely Thompson..	W. Neely Thompson..	Fresno.....
827	June 22,	W. Neely Thompson..	W. Neely Thompson..	Fresno.....
828	Dec. 30, '57	D. J. Burnett.....	D. J. Burnett.....	Santa Clara.....
829	Dec. 30,	D. J. Burnett.....	D. J. Burnett.....	Santa Clara.....
830	Oct. 19,	J. G. Kenyon.....	J. G. Kenyon.....	Humboldt.....
831	Oct. 19,	J. G. Kenyon.....	J. G. Kenyon.....	Humboldt.....
‡834	Oct. 1, '58	Philander Arnold.....	Solano.....
‡835	Sept. 8,	Wm. F. Shepard.....	Solano.....
‡836	Sept. 8,	Wm. F. Shepard.....	Solano.....
837	June 1, '58	D. J. Burnett.....	Santa Clara.....
838	June 1, '58	D. J. Burnett.....	Santa Clara.....

‡ Final location in Register's Office at Stockton.